

COURT OF PERUGIA COURT OF ASSISE

Dr. Giancarlo Massei President
Dr. Christian Judge in the margins

MINUTES OF HEARING ISSUED BY FONOREGISTRAZIONE

MINUTES PAGES: n. 215

PROSECUTION N. 08/08 RG

AGAINST: KNOX AMANDA MARIE +1

SITTING ON 13/03/2009

Outcome: Referral to March 14, 2009

INDEX PROGRESSIVE

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - Barbadori MAURO -	
.....	
4	
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	
.....	4
DEFENCE - AVV.	
DEFENCE - AVV.	
DEFENCE - AVV. BY	
PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE	
.....	46
PRESIDENTE.....	
.....	46
DEPOSITION OF HEADS - MOSCATELLI DANIELE -	
.....	46
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	
.....	47
DEFENCE - AVV.	
DEFENCE - AVV.	
DEFENCE - AVV. BY	
PRESIDENTE.....	
.....	65
DEFENCE - AVV.	

PRESIDENTE.....	67
.....	67
SPONTANEOUS accused STATEMENTS - RAFFAELE REMINDER -	
.....	67
DEPOSITION OF HEADS - D'ASTOLTO FABIO -	
.....	68
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	68
.....	68
DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga	
DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW	
PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE	84
.....	84
DEPOSITION OF HEADS - Colantone AIDA -	
.....	84
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	85
.....	85
PRESIDENTE.....	100
.....	100
CIVIL PARTY - MR.	
DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW	
DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga	
DEFENCE - AVV.	
PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE	131
.....	131
PRESIDENTE.....	133
.....	133
DEPOSITION OF HEADS - DONNINO ANNA -	
.....	135
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	135
.....	135
CIVIL PARTY - MR.	
DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga	
DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW	
DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga	
DEFENCE - AVV.	
PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE	169
.....	169
PRESIDENTE.....	170
.....	170
DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW	
ORDER	
DEPOSITION OF HEADS - VOLTURNO ORESTES -	
.....	177
177	
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	177
.....	177
DEFENCE - AVV. BY	
DEFENCE - AVV.	
DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW	
PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI	206
.....	206
PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE	206
.....	206
CIVIL PARTY - MR.	
PRESIDENTE.....	210
.....	210

DECLARATIONS SPONTANEOUS of the defendant - AMANDA KNOX MARIE -
..... 214
ORDER

COURT OF PERUGIA - COURT OF ASSISE

Criminal proceeding no. 08/08 - 13/03/2009 Audience

Dr. Giancarlo Massei President Dr. Christian Judge on the fringes Dr. Mignini -
Comfortable Prosecutor

Bertini Stefania Chancellor
Amedeo Salvatore Auxiliary technical

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST - AMANDA KNOX MARIE +1 -

PRESIDENT - promptly putting away the tools that are running by following the instructions on the order are encouraged not to linger further so as to enable the start of the hearing. Sitting on 13 March, at 9.40 ... sorry if you can store ... apologies, also are requested to follow the instructions which will Ordinance. Is called the process of the Court of Assizes, against Amanda Knox and Raffaele Sollecito both Excuse me, please, otherwise we should change the way to go. Thank you.

There are both defendants assisted by
defenders of their faith, is also present for the defendant Amanda Knox interpreter already mentioned, are provided for the office of the public prosecutor and the doctor Comfortable Dr. Mignini, present Civil Parties also established.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PERNA - Is the lawyer also in Perna replacement Advocate Maresca.

PRACTICALLY - Yes, yes, the Registrar shall take place. It's
This Lawyer Lawyer Magnini and Pacelli.

The today's hearing is set for the continuation of the investigation with the texts of the prosecution.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - President excuse me, my colleague, lawyer Magnini, I pointed out that was deposited instance ...

PRESIDENT - Then maybe we would put the same available to the parties to capture the feedback of the same, an instance of release from seizure of the property that I think has remained in private.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - We wanted to give some indications now, I do not know if it is the case now, or ...

PRESIDENT - Even the resumption of the hearing, however, the important thing is that the parties already have at their disposal so that when this aspect will be invited to express their opinion are well prepared.
Let's get the heads.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - Barbadori MAURO -

THE WITNESS, a warning under ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Assistant Chief of the State Police Barbadori Mauro, under the Flying Squad of the Police of Perugia, Perugia born February 2, 1969.

PRESIDENT - Please prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - You have carried out the investigation into the death of Meredith Kercher?

ANSWER - Yes, I confirm.

QUESTION - What acts of investigation has made?

ANSWER - So in particular I have participated in the search of the home of November 6 by Della Pergola and I have acquired and viewed the images from the cameras of the car park right in front of the house itself and all the cameras in the center of downtown.

QUESTION - You did also to verify the travel time?

ANSWER - Yes, that's right. Before capturing images I walked, I simulated the path of Meredith, virtually by Bontempi ...

QUESTION - from the house of two English girls up? ANSWER - Up to Via Della Pergola. Practically starting from

by Bontempi where was the home of friends

I continued by Piccinino, I took a little break because it emerged from the statements that Meredith had exchanged a greeting before you leave, to leave alone.

QUESTION - With English friend? ANSWER - Yes, with the English friend.

QUESTION - Where does that, she stopped? You can look ...

PRESIDENT - Yes, he is entitled to consult their own acts or the acts to which he has contributed.

ANSWER - In front of the entrance of Via Del Lupo, after I later continued by Piccinino and I turned right without getting to square Grimana the steps that we are on the right, and I came to the house on Via Della Pergola.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - How much did you take?

ANSWER - Ten minutes.

QUESTION - That started from? That is ten minutes in total?

ANSWER - Yes, altogether.

APPLICATION - The determination that made the cameras? ANSWER - I practically acquired the images of cameras around the city center at the traffic police and also the transit square Grimana, especially those in the parking lot in front of the home on Via Della Pergola. You must have a premise, the cameras are not positioned to identify people, but they are put there to check the traffic, such as that of square Grimana if there is no traffic, if the machine does not move the camera does not start. The same applies to the car park via Della Pergola, since the entry, that is the home on Via Della Pergola is positioned a little lower than the entrance to the parking lot, the camera moves only through such a vehicle enters or or a car enters a subject within an entry, but if it passes a person is not activated because the function of that camera is for the entry of passenger cars.

QUESTION - not active in the sense that ...

ANSWER - Can not record. However I see the images I captured and displayed images of the car park and there are two important data, a figure of 12.30 is ... First, the time is shifted forward ten minutes, then ...

QUESTION - This is the parking in front of the house by Della Pergola?

ANSWER - Yes. The first finding is that of 12:36 which correspond to 12.26, at 12.36 is the time in which we see the police car Postal making the maneuver to position itself inside the parking lot, the other significant data according to me is that of

20:51. At 20:51 is the first time that passes car in the parking lot and shortly after,

because the camera continues to record, does not stop immediately, but continues for at least thirty seconds, you can see a person coming from Grimana square, then left as you enter the camera walks, is a person who hypothetically could correspond to Meredith, is dressed in a jacket light, but the camera does not allow us to identify precisely the premise that I did before, the camera is not a camera of a bank and therefore does not identify the person. After you see that this person falls practically disappears because the house in Via della Pergola is preceded by a small salitina, then drops down, but it is a given, as a matter of investigation, it is probably not a given ...

QUESTION - Let me get this, she said that the camera takes up this person who comes to square Grimana? ANSWER - From Piazza Grimana, say from the area of the square

Grimana, since then there could be square or Grimana or more precisely in the light of the path that I had done from ladders via Degli Scortici But still from that area, or from ladders or via Degli Scortici ...

QUESTION - And what does this person? Then comes from that part, looking towards the outside of the parking area, from left then?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And from the right looking towards the entrance? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So coming from the left? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Then what do you do, go down? Cross the road? ANSWER - You can see that ... she had already crossed the road, then it was already the other side of the roadway, not near the car park, but on the other hand, it is likely that you will fall. This figure is

also confirmed by another fact, that the camera positioned ... this should be, if I'm not mistaken, the camera number 7, then there is another camera, which is a camera out of the car, this person is not seen, is not registered to the next step, but even this is a fact its because if at that moment, in that the camera is not passing car camera does not record.

PRESIDENT - You said thirty-second, thirty seconds have passed?

ANSWER - Yes, but if records ... practically there are several entrances into the parking lot, but every camera operates independently, ie it is possible that a camera records but the other not, why not pass the other car.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - But standing with your back to the parking lot and looking towards the house, as you enter the house? That is, you pass through the gate or there is a path that allows access to the pitch and then the apartment?

ANSWER - No, the only access is the front facing

the entrance is a gate and there is a discesina. REQUEST - But in this case the gate is left? ANSWER - The gate compared with the output of the parking lot, which

then exit ... the cars come in, at that point the machines can only enter. The gate is roughly located almost opposite, but it is a little hidden the gate, ie, the ground level is not the same entrance to the parking lot, the gate is positioned lower and not at the same level of the camera.

QUESTION - is slightly to the left?

ANSWER - Yes, but that figure disappears, the camera does not let you see the entrance of the house, because even moved even further.

QUESTION - But this person as she describes it, it was dressed? She was a woman?

ANSWER - He was a person who had a light jacket, we consider that it is the 20:51, 20:41 would be considering the ten minutes, the mean person.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry is 20:51 or 20:41?

ANSWER - The 20:51 But compared to summer time there are ten minutes ago, so are the 40.41.

PRESIDENT - Real?

ANSWER - Yes, real. It is night, it is dark, so the jacket can be clear, but it could also be heavenly.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Pants? His pants or a skirt?

ANSWER - This is not ... cameras do not is that they allow, with certainty, whether they have a skirt or trousers.

QUESTION - You see the face?

RESPONSE - Very little, is an image very fast, because probably take the discesina home, disappears almost immediately. It is a fraction of a second substance.

QUESTION - You can give a precise indication of the identity of this person or not? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Can not. You have made this assessment on the cameras, the cameras here have found something else?

REPLY - Actually no, we acquired the cameras also the city, the old town, but there was no sensitive data, an interesting fact for the survey.

QUESTION - Then she said she took part in the raid, 6.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember who he was?

ANSWER - In search of the six was Dr. Profazio, Inspector Monica Napoleoni, Inspector Bigini, Gubbiotti Superintendents and Assistant Chief Zugarini.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, when you say "search" is a search on 6 November?

ANSWER - Yes, November 6th, exactly.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - So what?

ANSWER - In essence we arrived and before entering Dr. Profazio made us wear shoes and gloves.

APPLICATION - They were new? ANSWER - Yes.

APPLICATION - They had not been used?

ANSWER - No, absolutely not. He told us that it was better to avoid confusion divide, essentially Dr. Profazio, Inspector Monica Napoleoni Bigini and would search the room of Meredith, the Superintendent and the Assistant Chief Gubbiotti Zugarini to Amanda and I would have searched the room Mezzetti and Filomena Romanelli. Just made this premise we entered, I went inside the room of Filomena that was accessed just entered the hallway, immediately on the left. The room looked ... there were many things on the ground, it was obviously a room of a student because ... there were windows on all sides. Practically there was a bed, a wardrobe and a desk on the right and a glass at the entrance, there were lots of glass on the floor. In front there were a variety of clothes on the floor, so there was a lot of confusion,

above the table was a computer, there were a stone under the table which was a stone big enough. On the left was a table, there was a bottle of water in front of the floor there was a beauty, though there was much confusion and many windows.

APPLICATION - The glasses where they were?

ANSWER - The glasses were especially, at the entrance, at the front, so close enough to the glass.

PRESIDENT - Close enough to?

ANSWER - At the window, yes. Again there were windows on all sides, there were boots, if I'm not mistaken, there was a jacket on the ground. The thing that I noticed maybe more maybe it was this rock that was under, there was a chair and a desk and it was under this desk, there was a laptop. From there I went, I moved and went into the other room, the room of Mezzetti on the contrary, seemed to be in great order, there was a bed ... essentially had the same ... a bed, a mobile and a small desk, such as that of Romanelli, however, was probably not been ... There was big order.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - You have taken something from these rooms?

ANSWER - No, I have not taken anything. QUESTION - Has moved or made?

ANSWER - No, no, I've looked but I have not moved anything.

QUESTION - Do you know if they were taken from other objects? Particularly those who were in Meredith's room?

ANSWER - No, when we went out of the room after you went outside Mezzetti and colleagues told me that those who were in the room Amanda had seized objects, but from Meredith's room I do not think so.

QUESTION - Do you remember what was kidnapped in Amanda's room?

REPLY - Honestly ...

PRESIDENT - You can look at the record.

ANSWER - Yes, there was a diary, a camera, a computer. The only thing, well, now I remember, the entrance into the hall we found the keys on the floor below.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Where in particular? ANSWER - If I'm not mistaken at the entrance on the left,

were attached. QUESTION - Attach to the wall?

ANSWER - Yes, I think so, there in the hall, were in availability of all in substance. Then other things, but what they kidnapped colleagues ...

QUESTION - She has done other investigations, participated in eavesdropping?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember which ones? On what days?

ANSWER - In the days immediately after the murder I did technical work, that I installed bugs in the office and later in prison.

QUESTION - Do you remember if they were on 4 and 17 November? ANSWER - Well, 4 sure.

QUESTION - Let us pause for a moment to this the 4 without the content I ask ... I ask only this, while among those who held the conversation?

REPLY - Look, you did, I do not remember exactly how many, I think that he definitely un'ambientale in office between Amanda and Raffaele Sollecito.

QUESTION - I wanted to know that if in the meantime the two spoke always in the same tone, or if at some point they

lowered the tone, the tone of voice.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - If maybe ask you to describe rather than ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Di describe. REPLY - Look, the general feeling was that ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - The general feeling just does not care, believe me.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - I speak of the tone.

PRESIDENT - Yes, only the objective data.

ANSWER - Yes, the tone could be a bit 'higher, a bit' lower, as if they had them the feeling that they could ...

PRESIDENT - That is, was or was not?

ANSWER - Yes, they could have the feeling ... There was a tone higher and lower.

PRESIDENT - The feeling is an evaluation, then

is a subjective element so much that is not usable. The tone of voice was always the same, high, low?

ANSWER - No, in some cases fell.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - I ask you something else, not about the content, but concerns on the basis of which items you have then developed the investigation with respect to this environmental interception. In particular, it turned out the name Patrick?

ANSWER - The name of Patrick if I'm not mistaken it turned out, that Amanda made reference to a person, I do not remember exactly, a person ... did not mention their Patrick, quoted a black man, I do not remember.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, the question of the Public Prosecutor is only to ask on the basis, that is, by listening to this conversation have taken cues investigation and if so which ones?

ANSWER - That could be, Amanda was referring to another person, another person could be, yes.

PRESIDENT - So you what you have, what activities investigations have established with reference to such other party that the conversation had somehow evoked?

ANSWER - There is speculation as to whether there could be another person in the home. After subsequent data were given by the lab.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - If you remember, lowering the tone of the two conversants occurred at these statements or other?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember.

QUESTION - The other interception remember? PRESIDENT - This is one of 4.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Now the other one, if you remember it.

ANSWER - No, honestly I do not remember. Among other things, the intercepts that were in English were translated by interpreters.

QUESTION - spoke English? In these two interceptions ...

ANSWER - She spoke in English, so the content has been

translated by the interpreter. QUESTION - And Raffaele anyway?

ANSWER - No, I do not think so. I honestly do not remember. QUESTION - It has done nothing she?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - Defence of Civil Parties have questions.
Please defenses of the defendants.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - Lawyer Giulia Bongiorno. She said that first made these findings on the cameras and the cameras are activated when a car passes.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - if I pass or not pass a person? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I wanted to show her the photos, perhaps before the Court to show ... are extracted from the captured movie the file and ask where the acquisition is not our material, is not material of Defense, is material in the file of the Public Prosecutor. From these photos you actually see that the cameras are activated when there is only one person.

ANSWER - That is, if a person or a vehicle enters

the parking lot, as you can see if it comes ... This is the camera that I mentioned earlier, if a person ...

PRESIDENT - This is the camera in or out of the parking lot?

ANSWER - It is input to the parking lot. Previously I mean, if a car passes on the road the camera is activated, it is obvious that also activates both when passing car and go into the parking lot, which is when a person enters the parking.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - So we have to be clear in the examination because she said: "attention to the camera, keep in mind that we will have some pictures when passing cars."

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Since I am on record that how do we explain that there are people, not machines?

ANSWER - It is activated whenever a person or ... ie if a person spends on the road is not active, if a person enters the car park is active when a car passes on the road or in the parking lot is activated, if a person passes, walking in the park or out the parking lot is active, but if a person passes along the way does not work. So much so that the image I mentioned earlier, the 20:51 and then 20:41, that picture is taken because a car is passing, because the camera continues to record for a certain period of time, recording the image of that figure, and probably goes down.

QUESTION - But I find myself even more frames instead when you see people that do not really come ... that is, the sensors are placed where? So we understand.

REPLY - Look, the camera is the first of the bars.

QUESTION - not the camera, where the sensors are placed? If them out in the photo so we understand each other.

ANSWER - The sensors in my opinion ...

QUESTION - Do not "in my opinion"! The problem is this, I would like to know if you have made preliminary investigations and it is safe because there are several things that I should ask her and what she knows and what alleging distinguamolo.

ANSWER - The sensors are positioned on the camera, ie the camera is activated at the moment when ... obviously this does not see the camera because it is

above.

PRESIDENT - He says that the camera does not see it, see the picture.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - begin to say, compared to what we have said before, we will find, as there are in the movie, both images with only the people, both with images of the car?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So is activated even if there are people, she says, when they come?

REPLY - But I repeat, for precision, which does not start if a person passes along the way.

QUESTION - You mentioned a black viewing this point movie, she noticed that this black point enters the car park at 12.26, then tell me what visionary, what does this black point? Because there are a continuation of which she spoke.

ANSWER - The black point ... if you can make me see where I wrote it, I can show? In the record that I do I do not speak ...

QUESTION - You are viewing these movies?

ANSWER - You read my note? In my note there is no data of the Punto.

QUESTION - You have watched the movie or not? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Is there a black point in the movies?

ANSWER - It is written somewhere in the black point?

PRESIDENT - But what kind of day, Lawyer? ANSWER - Which day?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - First of all I think he had nevertheless made a declaration of character

comprehensive and that is: "I have watched these movies," and then I, having viewed even, I wanted to know, having viewed both, if we had seen the same things. She saw the black point or not? Regardless of whether he wrote it or not.

ANSWER - I point the black I did not write and I do not I remember the black point.

QUESTION - but today you came to report ... what do you remember these movies? Because it is an important point to deepen the black.

ANSWER - I've said what I remember and what I wrote.

QUESTION - I mean she only refers to what he has written in the policy, not on what's in the movies.

ANSWER - I'm talking about what I consider important, since is a given investigative ...

PRESIDENT - No, what has determined otherwise enter the field evaluations.

ANSWER - What I discharged.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Then the speech is this President, as you know, in recent hearings, reference was made to a black point. We are told: "Look there is no way even to speak of this point black", today there was a witness who is here to talk about the movie and it seemed natural to ask questions about black point, then if she considers it irrelevant. ..

PRESIDENT - No, no. She has so far talked about what he saw the night at 20:41 or 20:51.

ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - The vision of the movies taken by these cameras is limited to evening or covered

even the day before, the next day? ANSWER - No. ..

PRESIDENT - In particular, the next day because so far she said of the 20:41 when?

ANSWER - The November 1. I looked after all night, the night of November 1.

PRESIDENT - Until now she has stopped watching these images?

ANSWER - Until the morning of 2.

PRESIDENT - On the morning of 2 up to now? ANSWER - I'll look up at eight in the morning. PRESIDENT - Only until eight o'clock in the morning? ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - After this time she no longer viewed?

ANSWER - No, I looked at a lot more because I watched until the evening of 2.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - For this, as in This annotation is written among other things, I remind you that you said that it has acquired a copy of the images recorded on November 1 and 2 fixed cameras. So I was interested in what we were talking about all she has seen, can not it?

ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - So we go over, we arrived at 20:41 of the first, see if there are ... Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I wanted to know if she is not in a position then shows us another entity that is capable of answering the point black.

ANSWER - I ... please.

QUESTION - I am interested to know if he saw that the black point that goes into these images, which at this point

ask to be shown, so let's see if she remembers them or not, there is a point that goes black at

12:26 I want to know if this actually black point

can be seen entering and turning towards Via Della Pergola or see, on the contrary, he turns back, goes over and pulls straight without turning to the left, that is the question.

ANSWER - I do not remember this point black.

QUESTION - I ask the President that we all see, instead of living in the intercept these images.

ANSWER - In this time of course there were so many cars that ...

PRESIDENT - Lawyer if we can also specify this Point black or dark in that day would pass.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - On the 2nd of November, as is evident from these movies you're talking about the witness, and in any case, as we have been told there would be a black point, which could be that of the Postal Police is seen

through the camera. So since we had to decide, based on this point black, time, and reference was made on the times of cameras, this black point we wanted to know which path he did, that's all.

PRESIDENT - you on this aspect?

ANSWER - I look ... I do not remember, but we can very well play back images.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I do not know if they are equipped, we can President, if you have difficulty at the moment ...

THE PRESIDENT - We have prepared for tomorrow

because tomorrow there had been foretold need. Maybe we can also suspend ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Chairman, if you think I can safely go ahead so as not to waste time at the Court, but maybe then ...

PRESIDENT - No, we return to this aspect.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - On this point, if he remembers this point black or even see it.

PRESIDENT - The pictures we have them, we can project the screen.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - The images are those of the camera number 7 which has referred the witness, whether the witness was telling me the path we had already concluded.

PRESIDENT - Yes, we can set aside this issue and taking it up again when we will be able to view using ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - that's around 19.30 -

19:40 you see a parking pass from the person who goes to Via Della Pergola? Remember this picture?

PRESIDENT - What day?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - We are talking about the afternoon of 1 November.

ANSWER - People traveling through or passing vehicles, obviously the car park which insists on the street Pergola is quite popular.

QUESTION - I explain, since we're talking about the hours before a crime and a camera which incorporates access to the house on Via Della Pergola and since in reality in that hour there is this passage of people, there are few people go, then I asked her if it is perceived in a few hours before the murder, from 19.00 to 19.30 was attenzionata, you have done the investigation, you have identified, she's memory?

ANSWER - Yes, I remember that there were several vehicles, several Persons.

QUESTION - I'm not talking about the vehicle, I'm asking a person walking at 19.30, walking, she remembers that there is in these pictures a few hours before the murder? Investigations that have done this?

ANSWER - I personally meaningful data ... no, I remember that.

QUESTION - On what grounds she argues that the time indicated by the cameras of the parking lot is not correct and shows ten minutes ahead?

ANSWER - The I verified, I went on the internet and looked at the time legal.

QUESTION - I explain this finding, "looked at"?

ANSWER - I looked at the summer time, I saw through the captured images, which were given to me by the technicians of SIPA, there were ten minutes ...

QUESTION - How do you make sure they have ten minutes? Without suggest!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, asks the defendant, she said: "20:51, but in reality were 20:41," this "actually" from what came out?

ANSWER - The analysis of the cameras, I saw that it corresponded in substance.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - She said: "I went on the internet and I saw daylight saving time."

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Daylight saving time is first time that means that if a time is 12 becomes 11, the summer time is ten minutes.

ANSWER - No daylight saving time, the time of actual substance.

PRESIDENT - So the real time? ANSWER - Yes, the real time.

PRESIDENT - This real time as he pulled out? This difference in ten minutes? What kind of assessment? This calls for the Defense.

ANSWER - I honestly do not remember what was given.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - In his statement, I submit it to him if he wants to, she does not explain how.

PRESIDENT - is authorized to consult it. ANSWER - No, no annotation.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - There is a record that in a lapidary she concludes, "it is necessary to consider that the time the equipment is moved about ten minutes ahead of daylight saving time." What does that mean?

ANSWER - No, daylight saving time is in fact an error.

QUESTION - So is legal to read real? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - We establish, however, that there is a claim under which you are rebuilding times and things like that that we must now analyze in detail, and determine why these ten minutes, how did you count these ten minutes are so important.

ANSWER - I do not remember how I became aware of this difference

of ten minutes. A memory I can not remember.

QUESTION - How do we do this to rebuild his assessment?

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - He did not he established.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - So who did this finding ten minutes?

ANSWER - It was probably done by the technician of the SIPA, that the technician from whom I acquired the images of the parking lot is the one who told me this information.

PRESIDENT - Do you know the name of this technical SIPA? ANSWER - No, I could trace, definitely yes. PRESIDENT - I could trace but at the moment he does not know the

name: ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Given that in some way it was coming out this hypothesis ten minutes of time out of phase with respect to what we have in the camera, she has raised the issue of making a finding quite easy, that is, to compare the time of the cameras, for example with another established fact that the phone records which point of time?

ANSWER - I have not worked in the phone records, the comparison can be done in many ways but ... QUESTION - Given that the record is still of course her signature, she does not indicate this technical SIPA, I would ask you to give us at least the elements that allow us to determine with whom you spoke.

ANSWER - Okay, now I can not remember the name, though

surely I will be able to provide it.

QUESTION - In the images we are talking about remember that on November 2 we see the passage of a vehicle of the Carabinieri and you can clearly see the Police in the side of the car because there's just the word Police, Carabinieri and this car comes with a camera 13:22 hours?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember.

QUESTION - Do you know if indeed this time ... she did the investigation and these ten minutes worth for both day 1 and day 2 or for only one of the two?

ANSWER - No, to both.

PRESIDENT - Well worth for the 2? ANSWER - Yes, there were always ten minutes.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I'll give you these questions, let's see if I can answer this, because it really is by how it turned out, both from the printout of phone that Amanda 13:29 to the Regional Command of the Carabinieri call to Meredith's house and the time of call is 13.29. At 13:29 the Police actually still were looking for the house on Via Della Pergola, so the discrepancy of these tabulated data is there, but is the exact opposite of what you say, and that is the delay between the time the camera is ten minutes back. He has done this kind of assessment, print-outs

- Time cameras, or you have faced this problem of seeing this car Carabinieri?

ANSWER - I've already said that I have not looked at the records, I did other activities, work on the printouts and other people have, so it's not that I compared the data records with data from the camera.

QUESTION - Based on the organization that gave you this technical

further evidence that she did, no? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - However I show the witness, right after the see and do acquire also to acts arrival time of the Carabinieri, ask to be acquired, because maybe then it will not be easy for the Court to see more movies, obviously interested in the relevance of this acquisition to show the time in they arrive at the Carabinieri, which is a different time from the call.

It is recognized that the documents are scanned proposed by of protection

PRESIDENT - The two first pictures show?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - The two images of people obviously are not identified, which have nothing to do with the process, you need to see ... The first is that when you have acquired the video camera were not acquired all video and still camera number 7 was not available, that could not be viewed?

ANSWER - No, no.

QUESTION - is not the nothing?

ANSWER - No, we acquired all the images.

REQUEST - The request that the defense was then acquired a number of documents relating to the cameras?

ANSWER - I do this ... I participated in the initial phase of the investigation, and then I was ...

APPLICATION - A day we find out who participated in important stages! You on 6 November was, he said, in the room of Filomena.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - He tells me how his clothing? ANSWER - I dressed as I was?

QUESTION - Yes, if he had any precautions. ANSWER - of course I had the boots and gloves. QUESTION - What kind of gloves?

ANSWER - Latex gloves.

APPLICATION - These latex gloves used the same for the search or raised them every time he touched an object?

ANSWER - The gloves that I had in the room of Filomena ... I used the same type of gloves.

QUESTION - It changed his shoes every time he passed from the corridor or had ...

ANSWER - I did not go into the hallway. QUESTION - You made a jump then?

ANSWER - No, I jumped, I went out of the room and went to the lobby of Filomena's room Mezzetti.

QUESTION - I mean she never walked on the corridor?

ANSWER - No, I did not go to that part of the corridor.

QUESTION - Is there a piece of the corridor that it must be done.

ANSWER - Yes, but then again I moved from room to room of Filomena Mezzetti, I did not go to the left on the corridor.

QUESTION - Yes, but when I ask her she will say, "yes, the entire corridor, part"?

ANSWER - Yes, a small part of the corridor I did. QUESTION - Do you know if at that time there or between you

you ... you were there for a search, you

notice if there was a knife in the bathroom? ANSWER - I did not go to the bathroom.

QUESTION - You entered only in this room

Filomena and did nothing?

ANSWER - Yes, in the room of Filomena's room and Mezzetti, so he ordered the manager.

APPLICATION - The manager with the others who were present in the room, as communicated in the house?

ANSWER - Everyone had precise rules and adhered to such rules.

QUESTION - In fact the question was another, how they communicated between them? Every now and then she saw them that were seen, which were spoken or each took initiatives?

ANSWER - No, everyone left the room when he was informed of the search in the hallway.

QUESTION - She also conducted a search of the local Lumumba?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - On what elements the investigations were focused on Lumumba?

ANSWER - According to the statements of Amanda.

QUESTION - What kind of feedback have been made of the results? ANSWER - I did a search in the room and just after

I did other acts.

QUESTION - How many times have you been in Via Della Pergola? ANSWER - Only at that time.

QUESTION - I ended President.

PRESIDENT - If we have a media that contains the recording we can also ... Sorry Lawyer contains the records for the day?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - are official documents in the file of the Public Ministry and it would be the video that reflected the parking lot cameras. I would not really want to show you all of course, we will try to find this person who goes to see just

if you remember, and then the passage of the Postal Police. PRESIDENT - And the machine of which the point. Are we ready?
It is recognized that we proceed to the vision of the movie in the classroom.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I think so. Then this is November 2 ... Chairman of us here see it, do not know why you do not see him here appeared to us the sequence.

PRESIDENT - It is perhaps connected.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President'm sorry if we delayed but we were not ... then this is the official image of these cameras, at 12:41, for now you can see in the background the machine which we will discuss now, this is the car. As I said before, seems at who is coming into the parking lot, at first it seems that this car is going to enter the parking lot, if we go on in reality after being stopped for a few seconds it goes straight, then come back a little 'back and then, if you go along, do not go to the left where there would be no way Della Pergola, but goes straight.

PRESIDENT - The Law of 2 November 2007 at 12:41.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I'll do review because maybe I've seen it several times. Then this is the point, is 12:36 according to the schedule of the camera, this point is looking away from the cameras Della Pergola, is about to enter the car park, this is very clear and the 12:41, and then goes straight. I'll show the last time calmly, but I think that is clear, let's see if he has done on this investigation. This is

the point ...

PRESIDENT - The pictures are in black and white? ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO - Frame number 1 arrives the black point and 12:36 is the first time that we see it, it seems that enters.

ANSWER - This is the normal speed, which is slower?

ANSWER - There is no speed, it is a movie, however, did not alter frames are as below you can see. Among other things, there is the arrow that lights up, you can see here is that you see an arrow that lights up? It goes back and back, but after taking a step back, then disappears, the data that had not previously acquired is this:

12:41 pass but not take Via Della Pergola, goes straight because by Della Pergola is where the circle. This was because I was interested in and that is that it goes straight and not take Via Della Pergola.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Where is the circle is Battistelli went down, while the other ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - All right, then your things you can of course ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No, but she also makes considerations Lawyer!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Look, we have highlighted just the circle to make, were the following questions, these feet that passed, for now the first finding is that this car goes over and goes straight. Then

these feet which of course could be, I think so, but of course I have not the certainty, of Battistelli see them.

ANSWER - It is as I said before, even when passed the girl that I had said to 20:51 ...

PRESIDENT - You say: "that girl." ANSWER - A girl.

PRESIDENT - You sure it was a girl or a boy?

ANSWER - A person, actually a person, the cameras do not allow to identify them, because actually there at that point, at the top right, there down.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Excuse me first finish the first movie and then do the questions on the feet. The movie now remember this sequence, and then the point in fact, on the first occasion, try to get to the parking lot, go back and then goes straight?

ANSWER - Yes, seeing her so, but then again, the images I've seen so many that ...

QUESTION - Okay, I did see him, it will remain so without its specification.

ANSWER - The data there were so many that we should be here for weeks.

QUESTION - Okay, we're here to do a job. Then the sequence of the movie there are already legs because as for me this is a doubt because I see that this camera is active with the legs, but I do not see the cars.

ANSWER - This is the passage that I have explained before, continues to record again because the car is first passed.

QUESTION - But are definitely things to understand.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, before we do the questions and then feel considerations.

ANSWER - It is as I said before.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry that circle what it contains, if he has seen, or the're seeing now for the first time?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - The President was another question, since he had previously said: "People will see them only if you enter the parking otherwise no," that you enable this sensor ...

PRESIDENT - He said a car went by and people are taken if within thirty seconds of the passage of the machine there are people who occupy the roadway object of shooting.

ANSWER - Yes, the system continues to record. If you

go to see the images of 20:51, I was talking about earlier, a car comes in, there is no one, suddenly appears a person who passes. They should record, however it continues to register record.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - This is consistent with what he had said before.

REPLY - And here is the same case, you showed me earlier that the car passes, record your own feet because they continue to record, but after they stop, not continue to record forever.

QUESTION - On this person that you see listed in this

circle here ... person, are the legs, of course, is a person who walks is 12:41 and this person walks up here, you did the investigation? She knows who she is?

ANSWER - That person should be the Inspector

Battistelli.

QUESTION - You know at this point that route is? These sneakers are actually the arrows are our President.

ANSWER - Nell'immagina you saw the person is assumed to descend towards the gate of the house on Via Della Pergola, but do not see how all of the data if it concludes that path, arrive at the entrance, he stopped in the parking lot in front, is a given partial Therefore I tell you.

QUESTION - Now I'll show you another image, which is

this, these two arrows indicate two people, the people you have identified these two?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - One of the two we have identified before. REPLY - OK, it's the Inspector.

QUESTION - It is 12:48. ANSWER - Yes.

APPLICATION - The second person you know who is coming?

ANSWER - No, I do not know. 12:28 is the patrol was already in place, that is

...

QUESTION - So here was given to ask if they had identified the two people in this hour, one of them is Battistelli think so, too, the other I do not know who he is. Then we move on to other frames, here are the 13:22, here is the next step ...

REPLY - But know we can not identify all the people passing!

PRESIDENT - only the answers to the questions!

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President in reference to the production my question to the arrival of Police, Carabinieri arrival time, these legs, you can see the legs with stripes on the pants, I do not know if

you can see where there is a red arrow, was the question in which I referred at 13:22 if she had noticed the passage of this policeman.

PRESIDENT - The you noticed this passage?

ANSWER - No, it was a given to me important for the continuation of the investigation, it was 13:22, so the patrol had already occurred.

PRESIDENT - Only a matter of fact if you noticed or

not. So long.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Now if we can see the car, get the Carabinieri patrol, let's see well ... these are the Carabinieri because you see that this is written on the side and time is the 13:22:37.

ANSWER - Yes, I see.

QUESTION - If I'd show you a passing man.

PRESIDENT - Sure we conclude that in a unified way this time of the examination.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - So, this photo President, the picture you see is of course taken from the file, this man here, at 19:41. "It's 19:41 and then record the passage of this subject, hands in pockets, etc.. etc. ", what kind of tests were done, what have we done about this man who arrives at 19:41, you know who he is?

ANSWER - No, it has not been identified.

QUESTION - It was of investigative interest? ANSWER - Not for me.

QUESTION - Okay, President. We call for the acquisition of these pictures of the parking lot.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No, we say the movie.

PRESIDENT - Yes, we produce the movie.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Sounds good to me the whole movie, that's fine with me Journal.

PRESIDENT - Concerning the first and November 2.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - All the videos of the cameras.

It is noted that the Court has even now the acquisition of the movies that the office of the public prosecutor has the right to make material available.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga

QUESTION - Lawyer Ghirga defense of Amanda Knox. I speak interception environmental police on November 4.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You did the technical operations? ANSWER - Yes, the location of bed bugs.

QUESTION - even while listened to the police station or elsewhere course? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - There was also an interpreter for these? ANSWER - No, I ...

QUESTION - Do you know if there was an interpreter who then helped anyway ...

ANSWER - The listening was delegated to an interpreter. QUESTION - Do you remember the name?

ANSWER - interpreter in the police force.

APPLICATION - The application that has already been done and I can

do it again, you have to point the investigative section ... how long, how many stages lasted this environment? On the day of 4, - a.

ANSWER - I remember that was interrupted when, if

I'm not mistaken, Amanda and other roommates did an inspection in Via Della Pergola, then was interrupted and was later restored. There was the interpreter who listened to the communication.

QUESTION - With her?

ANSWER - Yes, of course.

QUESTION - What ideas investigative relatively Amanda Knox and Raffaele have taken from quell'ambientale?

ANSWER - Ideas, I repeat what I said before, Amanda was referring to a third party.

QUESTION - Do you know the fate of the case of this third person?

Development that has had, if he had?

ANSWER - No, the fact that it came out that Amanda is not identified this person said the first or last name.

QUESTION - No, as Amanda did, he did several times with some apprehension, if I may use the term apprehension. Reference to his memory as Amanda did, you remember that? There is a name.

ANSWER - There is a name, however, is not applicable. QUESTION - It has become ever investigated this person? ANSWER - not identified neither Patrick nor Rudy Guede.

QUESTION - I asked it became investigated? This

person appointed by Amanda several times during the environmental, you know, following this process, you become a witness in this process, it has become ever investigated?

ANSWER - It is a subject that she did not identify so ...

QUESTION - No, she has identified!

ANSWER - He was referring to a third party.

QUESTION - She named him, is a witness to this process! PRESIDENT - You know him this name?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - What do you mean he does not know!

ANSWER - No, I did not say the name. QUESTION - without violating ...

PRESIDENT - Do not say the name?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember the transcript was made by the interpreter.

PRESIDENT - That you remember did not mention a specific name.

ANSWER - It is expressly not say the name exactly.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - What date was his record which has made frequent reference?

ANSWER - On November 4.

QUESTION - We talk about the camera number 7, we changed the subject.

ANSWER - Yes, November 4.

QUESTION - Do you remember that development of the case had This annotation?

Where is merged? She went to the head of the Flying Squad, has become ...

remember his remark that this development has had in relation to the outcomes of the camera number 7?

ANSWER - I have delivered this report to the executive

of the Flying Squad, together with discs that I had acquired.

PRESIDENT - On and after she was asked to carry out further investigations of the contents of this always?

ANSWER - No.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - I think the question can do it: its conclusions, the findings in the record of its November 4, about the possibility of identification of a person, she is saying is not properly correct woman nor man, but a person, as are negative are positive, are uncertain?

THE PRESIDENT - We're talking about the person of the November 1 to 20?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Yes, Mr President. ANSWER - It was not possible ...

QUESTION - If I say that the pictures do not identify?

ANSWER - Do not allow to identify it.

QUESTION - In This annotation, I can show it to him ... PRESIDENT - Yes, it is allowed to see.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The annotation for it; November 8, there is a statement of offense is the leader of the Flying Squad where there is the Article ... that is, the Judicial Police shall notify the Prosecutor of the Republic, the news of crime and there is also the part that concerns the assessment of the camera number 7, among the various elements that build a story of crime. We remind you this act of his manager?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - So when I say that is 8 November to see there?

ANSWER - I repeat ...

QUESTION - I can show it to him. Do you know why I ask this President, it is a monumental occasion, but it is to understand a little '...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Excuse me, before you speak, given that this is a communication of news of crime is not signed by the witness, which is attached to the service record of the witness, Inspector Barbadori with regard to video, etc. ., and ten minutes ahead of the actual time he wore the watch of the parking lot, I can not understand what is the question. I would like to understand what is the use of communication to show this offense.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - It was a historical premise of a document of the Judicial Police to ask: do you know if the Judicial Police broke the news to the press of this act?

PRESIDENT - What kind of action?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The results of the camera number

7, you know?

ANSWER - I'm not totally aware of.

QUESTION - If the President will allow me, for the first time and I think I'll do it if you allow me a second time, I would like to attach two newspapers on November 12 that are Il Corriere della Sera and La Stampa, ie the following Monday ... 8 because, gentlemen of the Court, the date of validation, is the day of the order ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Yes, but what is the question President! There are too many assumptions, what is the question to the witness Barbadori!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The question is whether a communication was made ...

PRESIDENT - Do not interrupt, however, we come to the question.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The question is if it was made any communication to the press ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Answered! DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - But there in the press! PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, please, have the heads.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The results relating to the establishment the camera number 7.

ANSWER - I exclude in a categorical manner.

APPLICATION - The rule, then ask to be able to attach two daily newspapers in original Monday ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No, I obviously excludes for what pertains to him!

ANSWER - Yes for what concerns me.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - can not vouch for all the police in Italy!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - No. ..

PRESIDENT - Lawyer Excuse, please, avoid interruptions, avoid the overlapping of voices, are the questions to the witness, hear the answers and if there are then the productions will be asked to carry out the production and it will cause, but we try to go with order.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - He answered the witness, I ask you to be able to produce original copy of two national newspapers reported on Monday where content

different from those of the minutes and who was angry at that time these things here?

THE PRESIDENT - We note that asked to produce these ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Newspaper articles that contain ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - "The camera fits Amanda"! If I make them produce ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry! Please attorney are documents, they can produce.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Yes, but I did not understand, the documents at issue are the statements of the witness?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - I just asked them to produce in order to assess, when the time comes, and comment a certain way of investigation!

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - But this is unbelievable President!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry! Do not talk to me!

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - I object! It's unacceptable this kind of comment!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - It is not acceptable at all, is a document!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry prosecutor, the parties, all parties, are called to avoid any comment,

production code allows it, there is the 234, the take, the time of the assessment will be and what we will, but right now it just creates a difficulty in proceeding with the hearing. We have the heads, run out of the examination of the witness and then we will make the production of the documents you want to produce.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - I tried to make a speech that made sense.

PRESIDENT - Yes, but we avoid the more you do not need and indeed is negative.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - You have properly limited, and thank you, in the production and nothing else, I tried to do a dialogue on a historical fact. I'll give you one last question: she works at Police Headquarters Perugia? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - When opening the bar of the Police Station in the morning? To your knowledge, if you know.

ANSWER - It will open at seven. QUESTION - Thank you.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - Lawyer Carlo Dalla Vedova, point in the survey that you have made on 6 wanted to know she remembers the front door of the apartment from Via Pergola, the house on Via Pergola, under what conditions it was?

ANSWER - The entrance to the apartment via the pergol

QUESTION - Yes, the question is this: if you remember, and have done research on the front door of the house on Via Pergola?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember. I remember the door, but I do not

remember the details.

QUESTION - I do not even remember the conditions, if there were any signs of forced entry?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Then he reported that in the room of Romanelli has seen a computer.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Can you be more specific? Where?

ANSWER - If I remember correctly it was a laptop, was leaning on the desk.

QUESTION - What kind of investigation did you do? ANSWER - It was not seized, then I do not know if

He was later kidnapped or has been activity, I do not know.

QUESTION - So day 6 was not kidnapped?

ANSWER - No, it was not seized.

QUESTION - On the other hand the way she looked back simulating the last car of the victim, returning home in the evening, she did other simulations route? For example, I refer to the distance between the house on Via Pergola and the house where they were found the two phones?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Do you know if anyone has done this type of assessment? ANSWER - This I can not say.

QUESTION - But on the conversation of 4 November, where she has installed the equipment for intercepting environment, she reported that there were several people in the room.

ANSWER - In that room? That is in office?

QUESTION - It appears that there is a place prepared for that purpose. ANSWER - No, they were bedbugs furniture.

QUESTION - I have here in front of a record of the conversation done by the interpreter, who is the person that you mentioned, that speaks most to a local purpose

prepared.

REPLY - But perhaps it is in prison. Can I see? QUESTION - No, it's the police headquarters in Perugia.

PRESIDENT - Yes, this is a report, which bears date?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - November 4, 2007 in the premises of

Police of Perugia.

PRESIDENT - The question is whether it was a prepared environment.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - At this point, yes, I ask if ...

ANSWER - It is a well-known interpreter, not the report transcription, this is only a interpreter.

APPLICATION - The environmental interception how did the 4 from technical point of view?

ANSWER - From the technical point of view the bugs can be placed at any point, there is a room for the Flying Squad in this activity, but because they are the furniture we can put wherever we want.

QUESTION - It has provided her in person to install these bugs? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And do you remember where it installed? In the entrance, the stairs, the rooms, the bathrooms?

ANSWER - No, inside the room, I do not remember exactly where, but in the room where they were the subjects.

QUESTION - Individuals exactly who they were?

ANSWER - It seems to me that there was Amanda and Raffaele Sollecito.

QUESTION - Only two of them? She speaks in the plural thought ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Two is plural.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, just the two of them, or were there other people?

ANSWER - No, there were no other people.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - You do not even remember if they enter the Italian girls?

ANSWER - I do not remember, the activity was so intense it is not easy to remember all the steps.

QUESTION - So do not exclude the possibility that there were other people that night?

ANSWER - It is difficult, but do not rule it out.

QUESTION - Then she said that the conversation was, especially in relation to Amanda Knox, in English.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Excuse me for asking but she understands English?

ANSWER - No, this was the interpreter who listened.

QUESTION - But she said that Amanda would tell other people. This who told the interpreter?

ANSWER - Yes, he told me in relation to what the interpreter wrote.

QUESTION - So you did not hear? ANSWER - No. ..

QUESTION - He could not understand? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - In relation to the statement that she did this morning states that a boy of color, is sure to remember?

ANSWER - I do exactly the word, since it was made by the interpreter, referring to a third person, but ...

QUESTION - So the question is: are you sure that he meant to a third party that has been defined color?

ANSWER - No, I'm not sure.

QUESTION - And you do not remember, if ever reported by the interpreter, there have been the names?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember. QUESTION - Thank you.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE

QUESTION - Only one question gloss of what has been said so far about the movie. She personally has recognized some of the subjects or feet, legs or shoulders were displayed in the movie itself?

ANSWER - No.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - Civil Parties have questions, there are no further questions. Only a small element, the person whom she says in the video camera visionata November 1 at 20:41, his hair was long, short?

ANSWER - I think that could have dark hair, but ... QUESTION - were long or short?

ANSWER - could have long hair.

QUESTION - There are no other questions, we can dismiss the heads.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - MOSCATELLI DANIELE -

THE WITNESS, a warning under ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Deputy Superintendent of State Police

Daniele Moscatelli, born in Rome on 15 May 1972 and soon employed by the Central Operational Service of the State Police.

PRESIDENT - Please prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - You have carried out investigations into the death of Meredith Kercher? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember when he came to Perugia and activities carried out?

ANSWER - I arrived in Perugia on November 2, in the late afternoon, from Rome, together with the Vice Quaestor Added Giobbi, Dr. Edgardo Giobbi, in the late afternoon. We arrived in Perugia and we took in Via Della Pergola, outside the house where we found already in place Mr. Prosecutor, the Flying Squad in Perugia and Scientific Police. Personally, I was sent, almost immediacy at the offices of the Flying Squad to conduct summary information of any witnesses that gradually were sent to the offices of the squad. This happened on Day 2.

QUESTION - You entered the apartment on Via Della pergol

ANSWER - No, absolutely not, I was sent immediacy ... then there's also other colleagues from Rome and were predisposed to this type of activity.

QUESTION - Then?

ANSWER - The next day I was personally sent to the Marche, Porto San Giorgio, if I remember correctly, in order to verify the evidence, the testimonies given by the tenants of the apartment that was under the apartment where he was the murder took place the day

3. To implement

APPLICATION - The next day I served normal activities in the office, always testimonies and various things until day 5, with the accuracy on day 5 in the evening, when

heard in summary information Mr. Sollecito. QUESTION - Can you tell us ... at what time you heard it?

ANSWER - In the evening, around ten-thirty, ten and forty evening, 22:30 to 22:40, because I remember that it was called on the phone, I do not remember who, and he said he was having dinner so he was given the time to have dinner and then to come to the offices of the Flying Squad Perugia.

QUESTION - What time you have completed the report?

ANSWER - The minutes of the 3:30 to 3:40.

QUESTION - I urge you asked to have a

Lawyer, to terminate the record? ANSWER - Absolutely not.

QUESTION - So you have closed the minutes normally, quietly, and he did not ask for any of this?

ANSWER - No, everything it asked for water or anything, he was put completely at ease, I had everything in his possession.

QUESTION - Remember that attitude had?

ANSWER - The attitude was quite confused because ... The report then lasted so for this reason, I repeat, was put at ease then with long pauses, so much, as for us, so very quiet. In fact, he had an attitude quite nervous.

QUESTION - After the report on the basis of his statements what have you done?

REPLY - Look, personally finished the report I was sent by my superiors, my officials and my inspectors, I was sent together with staff of the Flying Squad in Perugia in search of Mr. Lumumba as it had emerged from the statements of Miss Knox the position of Mr. Lumumba. So then when I got back to the office was in the morning, I was

made aware of the firm of Mr. Sollecito and sequestrai a pair of shoes and a knife he had with him.

QUESTION - Knife like?

ANSWER - A knife ... APPLICATION - A switchblade?

ANSWER - I do not remember if it was a knife, a knife was still long enough, now the technical details of the knife does not remember them.

QUESTION - I was carrying?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, I was carrying. He had in his pocket and under precisely this attitude that he had, even after the interrogation, I remember that the Deputy Commissioner Napoleoni asked him if he was armed or what and he gave us the knife.

APPLICATION - The've wondered why the bore?

ANSWER - He said he was a lover of guns, knives. QUESTION - Then what did he do? What do you remember? You Amanda saw that morning?

ANSWER - I saw her later because I personally have dealt activity on Sollecito, I saw it in the morning when he was already in custody.

QUESTION - Remember that attitude had?

ANSWER - It was very confusing, I tried a lot, but it was felt mainly because of his statements, but he had an attitude important than who knows what.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - The Parties Civil any questions, please defenses of the defendants.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI

QUESTION - Lawyer Maori defense Sollecito. You Superintendent said before, at the request of the Public Prosecutor, who

have made the seizure of the knife and shoes.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Why were seized these shoes? There was something that made you bring to the crime these shoes? They were bloody, there was some element?

ANSWER - A view were absolutely bloody, but the shoes were seized because they were seen, in a position that assumed Sollecito, sitting with his legs crossed, in a very natural, and were noted concentric circles under the sole of these shoes that investigation level could lead us to something. In this case was from the Scientific Police reported a footprint with these concentric circles, then why were seized.

QUESTION - What time were seized these shoes?

ANSWER - In the morning.

QUESTION - she Superintendent November 7 participated in the kidnapping of computer Meredith and clothes that were inside the washing machine?

ANSWER - Yes, the clothes that were inside the washing machine.

QUESTION - was made at that time also a search or just ...

ANSWER - No, no, I went to the bathroom on the instructions in first bath right in the house, by fitting gloves and shoes, I went there and took the role indicated by Mrs. Filomena Romanelli, and brought them into the washing machine in the office.

QUESTION - Can you explain the path, with who he was and what he did?

ANSWER - I entered the house, put on gloves and shoes ... QUESTION - First, removed the seals?

ANSWER - I personally do not have it taken away with me was the

Vice and Deputy Questor Questor Profazio Giobbi. QUESTION - You were in three then?

ANSWER - There were four if I remember correctly, there was also the Superintendent Dear my office.

APPLICATION - Please.

ANSWER - We went in, went to the first bathroom right with gloves and boots shod, we opened the washing machine, weights clothes with gloves, we put them in an envelope and took them in the offices of the Flying Squad.

QUESTION - You said: "I went and we opened," that you went?

ANSWER - We went and I Superintendent Gentiles in the bathroom. QUESTION - And these shoes where they were located?

ANSWER - In an envelope, in a Bustone. QUESTION - And this bag where it was taken? ANSWER - The envelope?

APPLICATION - This envelope where it came from? ANSWER - From the offices of the Flying Squad. QUESTION - What kind of bag was it?

ANSWER - It was a black bag, so much so that then the role of the other were centrifuged and washed, however, we we adopted all precautions. Then I remember that in the offices of the Flying Squad were divided according to whether Miss Romanelli recognized them as belonging to the victim or his or other tenants of the house.

QUESTION - This is a black bag garbage bag so to be understood?

ANSWER - Yes, type garbage bag. QUESTION - What did you find ...

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - You went to the house of the crime, taking with him this envelope?

ANSWER - We had the envelope. QUESTION - What was found where?

ANSWER - In the offices of the Flying Squad.

QUESTION - In a drawer? It was a bag specifically for this type of operation, or you found there and you thought ...

ANSWER - No, we did not find there was an envelope that had never been used, like all the things that were provided, and where they were placed on the role centrifuged and washed in the washing machine.

QUESTION - You before that date, November 7, was never entered into by Della Pergola? ANSWER - No, no.

QUESTION - She was present at the execution of the statement of boarding course.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - this report has been signed by 36 members of the Police stop of Perugia.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - were all present?

ANSWER - Yes How were all present Lawyer?

APPLICATION - All those belonging to the police station in Perugia, starting from the Assistant Vice Quaestor up, so they were 36 people who signed the statement of boarding, they were all there?

ANSWER - I do not I counted them, but certainly they were all present, it is not that I've started to count there were 36 people.

QUESTION - Also because it had nothing to do in the room. Thanks.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - Raffaele Sollecito when he was arrested? ANSWER - On the morning of November 6, at eight o'clock in the past

I was ordered by the Public Prosecutor the latch and then the next twelve I think he was notified.

QUESTION - As soon as the record was closed at the time when he was arrested were performed other

investigations?

ANSWER - The lawyer for me I have already explained to the Court, I closed the report was sent to search for the suspect.

QUESTION - While still ...

ANSWER - So I was not physically there.

QUESTION - So just do as many questions as she was present. When she happened to be present among you that there scambiate police information about what was

happening in the room where you could hear the Knox and what happened in the room where she felt urge?

ANSWER - I personally do not.

QUESTION - No "personally" I said, there is someone to say something exchanging information from one to another room?

ANSWER - Well maybe when Miss Knox made his final statements do not remember if someone left the room, that's why I say personally because I speak for me.

QUESTION - No, in fact I'm asking if these two acts were made in such a way that the people were clad in two rooms or if you and there was an exchange of information, someone said, "going so Sollecito, going so Knox "?

ANSWER - We have been, but not ... QUESTION - If you know me says yes otherwise no.

PRESIDENT - Do you remember exactly. ANSWER - I do not remember with precision.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Remember if someone said, "are emerging contradictions"?

ANSWER - Compared to what, sorry?

APPLICATION - These statements were made. ANSWER - No, I do not remember, I do not.

QUESTION - You do not remember, do not ... he wants to say about this? ANSWER - I mean, I do not remember because I was focused on the activities that I was doing at that time.

APPLICATION - The activity you were doing was taking a brief information Sollecito, it was nothing to do with if someone said, "there is a contrast with what happens in the other room", which is why I ask.

ANSWER - I do not remember.

PRESIDENT - You do not remember if she was doing during this activity against Raffaele Sollecito someone is, he says, "but they're ..."?

ANSWER - I remember towards the end, when we were the statements of Miss Knox, someone came but did not tell me this because I continued to take summary information Sollecito.

PRESIDENT - But you heard her?

ANSWER - No, not because I felt we were only in the room ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I did not understand then, that person comes in, he says this thing and who says it?

ANSWER - No, no one has entered, if anything came out someone Lawyer. Maybe it will be released the Napoleoni Deputy Commissioner now I can not remember.

QUESTION - In the context of the entire report Sollecito were of the complaints made to Sollecito? ANSWER - Discussion of what kind?

QUESTION - By inconsistencies, something was wrong. ANSWER - No, but it was he who said to us ... QUESTION - were made of disputes or not? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - It has been said: "Look, this is not so"?

ANSWER - No, "Look, this is not so" has never been said, absolutely. It was he who said to us: "No, I'm wrong, I told you so, I told you in another way."

QUESTION - When he said something like that in the minutes you have decided to break the record? ANSWER - No, no, never.

QUESTION - There were no grounds to call a lawyer? ANSWER - There were at that time the details for break the record.

QUESTION - When is that they are occurring extremes indizianti against Sollecito?

ANSWER - The extremes indizianti against Sollecito were given by all the investigations, which were given not only by the minutes of summary information, so much so that the minutes of summary information was opened and closed regularly.

QUESTION - No, in fact that is open and normally closed is peaceful. I asked her why in the report was not stopped since then the catch was made.

ANSWER - Because obviously at that time at the end the report did not reveal the elements to be able to communicate ...

PRESIDENT - has already answered this.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Okay.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - I wanted to ask if she is to have occurred via Della Pergola has noticed the front door of the dwelling?

ANSWER - On November 2, you say? QUESTION - Yes.

ANSWER - No, I have not noticed, we met out there

with Mr. Prosecutor and with officials and colleagues of the Flying Squad is, there was a short briefing, then I was sent immediacy at the offices of the Flying Squad, I was not there on the spot and I have not noticed .

QUESTION - Then said he went to Porto San Giorgio?

ANSWER - Yes, in Porto San Giorgio the next day. QUESTION - Can you tell more about this investigation? ANSWER - I mean? Activity in Porto San Giorgio?

QUESTION - Yes, that investigations have done.

ANSWER - We went to check the alibi that had been given during the information from witnesses of the tenants of the house below who said that were present in the evening, the night of the murder in Porto San Giorgio, and these were verified alibi with other texts.

QUESTION - So you have checked the alibis of the boys lived under? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Through investigation work always with witnesses?

ANSWER - Always with witnesses, minutes of summary information.

QUESTION - Controls the phone records?

ANSWER - No, I personally have not worked on printouts.

QUESTION - Do you know if there were such activities in against children?

ANSWER - There had each of the different tasks, I took care of my Whereas there were two officers, including one of the Central Operational Service, one of the Flying Squad, other colleagues.

QUESTION - Who is who coordinated the investigation at that time?

ANSWER - The investigations were coordinated by officials from Vice Quaestor Added Profazio, Vice Questore

Added Giobbi and vice Quaestor Added Talk, officials logically with the Public Ministry.

QUESTION - Do you know if you have made investigations the phone records of the boys downstairs? ANSWER - Lawyer, draws me is the question.

QUESTION - No, the question is whether she is aware if they were facts.

ANSWER - I'm not aware of.

PRESIDENT - You have already answered, she made them. ANSWER - I do not have it done.

PRESIDENT - But the lawyer asked if they know ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I asked if someone else made them.

ANSWER - I do not have to know, I am limited to those provisions which I was given.

QUESTION - Obviously the survey in Porto San Giorgio what they have confirmed about the alibi of these guys?

ANSWER - What the boys were in the night, between 1 and the second, in Porto San Giorgio.

QUESTION - Can you be more specific? What was the element that has provided this presence?

ANSWER - Information witnesses and investigating.

PRESIDENT - The information of witnesses is one thing, investigation is the same thing or another?

ANSWER - No, the witness information in the sense that they were once people felt totally unrelated to the case confirmed the presence of children in Porto

...

PRESIDENT - So this survey?

ANSWER - Yes, investigation I had placed in

this course, in this type of activity.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - For this activity you have done a report, there are documents?

ANSWER - There are reports of summary information. QUESTION - Why are not suitable, then wondering ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - The minutes of the statements of the boys as there are!

PRESIDENT - No, sorry, ask the lawyer to the limited information of the people who have confirmed ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - These are also recorded.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I note that the prosecutor says they are in acts. Instead I wanted to ask a clarification on the evening of 5, she said that at 3:30 am about 6 now discontinued the examination of Sollecito and made another investigative activity.

ANSWER - No, I have not stopped the activity of Sollecito, a

After closing the report then I was sent on the orders of my superiors.

QUESTION - I was interested in the activity immediately after, what did you do as investigations?

ANSWER - I'm telling Lawyer.

QUESTION - I ask you to respond.

ANSWER - Yes, we went in search of the character that had emerged from the statements.

QUESTION - The other character is Patrick Lumumba? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Exactly which activity you completed?

ANSWER - We tried with colleagues in Perugia,

We gave support to colleagues in Perugia. QUESTION - And you found it?

ANSWER - We found it so.

QUESTION - About what time?

ANSWER - I do not remember exactly, but it had been ...

PRESIDENT - How long after? How long did it take? ANSWER - It had been a while', surely two hours, two hours and half good.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - So the three and a half about two and a half hours after you have found Patrick Lumumba?

ANSWER - A house.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Excuse me, excuse me President, I'm sorry to intervene but unfortunately occurs in the classroom, and it is not the first time, arriving suggestions down below, to the witness, honestly I do not like!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I had not noticed and I find it a very serious thing!

THE PRESIDENT - We take this opportunity to invite anyway, honestly I was looking at the heads ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Even I wonder why then they have the possibility to be resentful?

PRESIDENT - All parts, all subjects ... give a general indication that it can always apply for ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Maybe President, for

practical, if we could move the chair and the chair on the other side so the heads ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, all are requested to avoid any feedback, with the voice and with both the mimicry against the heads which must remain absolutely immune from any input that can come from the outside, you say that now, but for always, throughout the trial. If perhaps there are these concerns the witness and also the subsequent texts will be invited to look solely to the Court.

ANSWER - President only look at her with respect.

PRESIDENT - In fact I'm constantly looking at the heads, however, if the parties have noted that this may be lost on those who now speaks.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - We can change the position of the heads.

PRESIDENT - Yes, we can change the position of the heads, it laps well with the chair, and the parties are still requested, regardless of the positioning ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Do not I pointed out the prosecutor. PRESIDENT - No, but it is for everyone.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - Then tell us the Advocate Bongiorno who he is.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - (Unintelligible - overlapping voices).

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - As has been mentioned the name of the Napoleoni seems very possible that there is a

junction view.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, we avoid the more and we are just what you need. We can continue, we continually look, the parties do not speak as if it will not look, you will continue to look at here.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Superintendent Moscatelli However I would like to go back to my questions. I would like to understand better, exactly when the next three and a half, you you are visiting in search of Patrick Lumumba and you have found it.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Where exactly did you find? ANSWER - Inside the house.

QUESTION - What was he doing?

ANSWER - I think because he was asleep in clothes ... QUESTION - In your pajamas?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember if it was in pajamas or not, it was certainly not in the clothes from the first night.

QUESTION - Who else was there that morning was with him in the house? ANSWER - There was his wife and child.

QUESTION - You did investigative findings of Patrick Lumumba before heading home, about the phone or determination of any other kind?

ANSWER - I personally do not.

QUESTION - Do you know if anyone else has done this kind of investigation?

PRESIDENT - Other investigations asks the lawyer, then if you know if you have made maybe ...

ANSWER - I think that someone has made.

PRESIDENT - What kind of other tests have been done? ANSWER - I think investigations on the phone number or something

Such, however, the President is very sectoral activity survey so complex, so I can answer with precision about what I did.

QUESTION - Superintendent Moscatelli who else was present with you when you are visiting at the home of Patrick Lumumba?

ANSWER - were with me, I remember my colleagues in my office, but there were other colleagues of the Flying Squad in Perugia, but do not ask me names because I do not remember.

QUESTION - Then what happened? Have you taken Patrick Lumumba and what happened? From his house, where did you go?

ANSWER - At the offices of the Flying Squad. QUESTION - And then you have notified the catch?

ANSWER - No, the firm was notified later, there was the prosecutor on the spot, so all the activity was then determined and coordinated by Mr. Prosecutor.

APPLICATION - This morning we are talking about the 6? ANSWER - Yes, the morning of the 6th.

QUESTION - Were you present to the detention of Amanda Knox? ANSWER - The firm ...

QUESTION - In the notification of the detention?

ANSWER - The notification of the detention, I signed the retainer in a room, we were all these people, then the notification was there because I was there in the office of the Flying Squad.

QUESTION - Do you remember at what time? Vaguely remember him?

PRESIDENT - You can look at the documents, the minutes since he has attended.

ANSWER - I would like to see the documents.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - It was noon the record, is

was done at noon ... ANSWER - around noon.

QUESTION - This is a question in reference to Patrick

Lumumba, gave excuses when you have done so to take it away from home?

ANSWER - No, no. Absolutely.

QUESTION - What kind of reaction have you had? ANSWER - Normal.

QUESTION - Normal for a person who is arrested?

ANSWER - Normal for a person who is arrested ... that is not normal he was happy.

PRESIDENT - He was sleeping he said.

ANSWER - No, he opened the door and of course you could see that he was asleep, then he was told he had to follow the police station, got dressed and came with us to the police station.

PRESIDENT - Without giving special events that you know of?

ANSWER - No, I meant, not such big ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - In conclusion, what has been the element of clue or evidence that led you to the home of Lumumba and the Lumumba research on which you have worked, and if there is more than one what are they?

ANSWER - Surely the statements of Ms. Knox. QUESTION - What's Next?

ANSWER - What ever, then I do not know if there had been
Althought

PRESIDENT - If you know the lawyer asks if you know if there were other elements.

ANSWER - As for me, I followed the instructions received and the fact that Miss Knox had given elements to assist in identification of Lumumba.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - This item has been reference to Lumumba immediacy when you stopped?

ANSWER - I do not. I told him I did not. QUESTION - Do you remember if someone said? ANSWER - I do not remember Lawyer.

QUESTION - None of his colleagues, he does not remember if any of the people present?

ANSWER - I do not know Lawyer, I as ... I do not, then again I can answer for the work I've done myself.

QUESTION - But she does not remember if someone has made a complaint?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember because we were different people, there was certainly ...

APPLICATION - For his experience when he makes a stop becomes a challenge?

INTERVENTION - There is opposition President! Did questions about the facts!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, please ... We allow that the question is asked.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - not with false premises!

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, I am not mistaken assumptions! PRESIDENT - Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - There is a willingness to respond in a very vague so I'm forced to investigate, it is clear that everything is on record, however, the

question was precise, it seems strange that a person is arrested without anyone to tell him why.

INTERVENTION - Answered!

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - As I asked the witness if this information had been brought to the attention of the accused.

PRESIDENT - Do not overlap the items but also avoid the feedback, "it seems strange," here is the "strange" togliamolo, questions are bare and raw.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Well, the question was if you remember if anyone has questioned the reason why they went to arrest him.

ANSWER - The answer is: I did not do it, someone will have made sure.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - I wanted to ask at some point in her reply said that Raffaele Sollecito were removed shoes.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I ask you, the shoes he was wearing? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Then he was ... how he felt? He was made available to other shoes? He stood barefoot?

ANSWER - At the approach was barefoot, but I think then were given shoes.

QUESTION - You know that shoes that were given away as time ran out?

ANSWER - If he was not was not for long because of

the other the arrest was made in the morning, then he was accompanied by subsequent acts and then it remained barefoot has been barefoot for a while.

QUESTION - Just what that means?

ANSWER - The time then go and get a pair of shoes.

QUESTION - You alone questioned Raffaele Sollecito or someone else was with you?

ANSWER - No, no, there were other colleagues, my superiors and Saturday ordered.

QUESTION - It appears from the minutes of?

ANSWER - Yes, the minutes relative. QUESTION - Okay.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - Superintendent then you took your shoes, you got shoes outside or even have waited a visit at home, then I urge you gave him his shoes taken at home?

ANSWER - No, no, I did not wait any inspection, I went back to the seizure of shoes.

QUESTION - I'm sorry, I explained badly. Have risen shoes Sollecito and then remained without shoes, the President said: "you have procured other shoes, you waited, what have you done?" and she said: "I do not know after all that, however, we have procured the other shoes."

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I ask these other shoes you have retrieved because the police were, you've purchased etc. or in fact he has been barefoot until there was a visit to his house?

ANSWER - This I do not remember.

QUESTION - Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - Are you aware of the seizure of the knife that was made, or rather two knives in the house in those first days of November Raffaele Sollecito in Perugia occupied. If you know, Raffaele Sollecito at that accompanied the operating on their way to carry out, who were operating the Dr. Chatting and Finzia?

ANSWER - I do not know the President.

QUESTION - Okay, you can go.

The Court shall suspend the hearing. The Court resumed the hearing.

PRESIDENT - At 12 repeats the hearing, inviting all present to avoid making noise, to speak, to allow for smooth, quiet resumption of the hearing.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President a brief statement.

PRESIDENT - Okay, there are spontaneous statements by Raffaele Sollecito.

SPONTANEOUS accused STATEMENTS - RAFFAELE REMINDER -

Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the Court to reiterate, point out the things I've heard about, especially the last witness, about the fact that I have heard that I am left very little even without shoes. I repeat that I could call my father, I could not tell neither my father nor the lawyer nor anyone because I did not allow to call, I was without shoes, they removed

shoes, said the last witness, I was without shoes practically just before the end of the minutes until I arrived at my house, during the inspection, where they gave me another pair of shoes that was My, but I was barefoot! I walked barefoot to the police station, I walked barefoot even on the road until they came to my house, so no one gave me a pair of shoes made! That's all, I thank the Court Mr. President.

PRESIDENT - So we can bring in the next witness.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - D'ASTOLTO FABIO -

THE WITNESS, a warning under ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Assistant Fabio D'Astolto, under the Police Perugia - Section Steering, born 22 July 1972 in Sydney (Australia).

PRESIDENT - Please prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - You as of November 2, 2007 serving in the Police of Perugia, in which office in particular?

ANSWER - I Section Steering Police Headquarters.

QUESTION - You were born in Australia? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You are a native English speaker?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, I have lived in Australia until the age of 14 years, I've done some studies ...

QUESTION - I wanted to know this, she remembers the crime of Meredith Kercher, she has participated in the investigation or at least has been called in relation

survey that were taking place?

ANSWER - I was just called as knowledge of the English language on the afternoon of 2.

QUESTION - Do you remember the time?

ANSWER - It was late afternoon but honestly the exact time, I do not remember exactly.

QUESTION - You were in the Police?

ANSWER - I was at home and then I got a call from the police saying that they needed a person who obviously knew the English language, I have done no more than take the car and go to the police station.

QUESTION - She knew she was dead and how Meredith Kercher was dead?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - What did he know?

ANSWER - I knew there was a death, but as ... QUESTION - He knew who?

ANSWER - Yes, then when I got to the police station I entered the office I was told that it was an English girl, but I did not know it was absolutely dead this girl.

QUESTION - So it could also be a natural death?

ANSWER - For me it could be a natural death, suicide, I do not know, whatever.

QUESTION - So no one had informed? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - So you get to the police station and then what happens?

ANSWER - I come to the police station, within the office of the Inspector, jump into, I sit next to the Inspector and the beginning, in quotes, to translate what I asked and then referring, ie ritraducevo the words of the young lady.

QUESTION - You spent ...

ANSWER - This was my job. Miss Amanda.

QUESTION - You have spent many hours in the police station?

ANSWER - Quite a lot, until seven o'clock in the morning, more or less.

QUESTION - You practically acted as interpreter? ANSWER - Yes, simply to translate what was

asked for and then the answer. QUESTION - From Amanda Knox? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Can you tell us what behavior was Amanda?

ANSWER - The behavior was, in my opinion, quite ... INTERVENTION - No, not in his view, we avoid the judgments!

PRESIDENT - As a photograph.

ANSWER - Yes, the behavior was only one, in the sense that it seemed to me a rather quiet, as if nothing had happened absolutely nothing, this was the behavior.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - There were other girls?

ANSWER - Yes, there were other friends, acquaintances think, however, there were other girls in the police station, the Mobile and all were obviously try.

QUESTION - What did they do?

ANSWER - They were sitting quietly, they were really ... who cried, who was a little 'afflicted course of the incident.

QUESTION - Amanda she saw her also waiting to be heard or saw it only in the ...

ANSWER - Amanda I've seen for the whole time I was there in the police because of course then we were there with other people, sometimes I accompanied a girl below to get a drink, to take to eat, we have a machine

automatic vending machine downstairs, so if they needed anything we were of course always available.

QUESTION - Did you see her together with Sollecito to the police station?

ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - This is when, before a hearing or after? RESPONSE - After.

QUESTION - And then what did he do?

ANSWER - There is a waiting room there to the offices of the Flying Squad where there were of course all of them, who was waiting to be heard etc. etc., behavior, kissing, embracing, occasionally laughing.

APPLICATION - They spoke to each other?

ANSWER - Yes, also spoke to each other. QUESTION - spoke out loud?

ANSWER - In a low voice, since I have not heard anything of what they said. Talking amongst themselves.

QUESTION - But they said something at that time or one of the two ...

ANSWER - Every now and then, I remember that Sollecito asked me once, "but now we end up?" and I've just said, "a little 'patience, let's finish as soon as possible," One moment of being quiet, the time it takes.

QUESTION - She has heard it before beginning, that has translated questions and answers.

REPLY - I simply translated the questions that made the Inspector and then I obviously referring all'Amanda always said, "Do you understand?" and then as Amanda gave me the answer I just ritraducevo Inspector.

QUESTION - You proved to partly understand the language Italian

ANSWER - Yes, yes, I understand because more than once I asked, "do you understand? Shall I repeat the question? "Then.

QUESTION - Then she saw even then? There are were the findings?

ANSWER - Yes, then I think it was around four o'clock, I can not remember well, in the morning of course, I took her downstairs where there is scientific police to take fingerprints, for fotosegnalamento in substance. We went down, slowly, then at some point down the hall, very near to Scientific is a corridor, she walked up and down in a rather nervous and occasionally took her hands and placed them so the head, beat a bit 'so. I at some point I started to worry One moment, if you felt sick, I do not know. Then I asked her: "needs a bit 'of water? Would you like a coffee? One moment you want to sit? Do not worry, do not worry "and I remember that she turned as if to say ... in fact I said: "no, no, I do not want anything, I do not need anything." I left him alone, I said, "okay, please, if you do not need anything."

APPLICATION - These shots you gave ...

ANSWER - Practically made this gesture here. QUESTION - were strong?

PRESIDENT - a gesture that brought both hands simultaneously at the temples?

ANSWER - Yes, the head. PRESIDENT - repeatedly? ANSWER - Yes.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - He beat his head with his hands or he brought his hands to his head and that's it?

ANSWER - No, no, pounding.

QUESTION - You stated in the minutes of the December 21, 2007: "strong enough", he said he was beating quite strong, on page 10.

ANSWER - Yes I confirm.

QUESTION - She tried then he insisted?

ANSWER - I saw this scene I was worried and asked: "You need a bit 'of water? Need a coffee? Need something? One moment we want to go to the vending machines to get something? ".

PRESIDENT - This is when you ... time that we are, that day we, experience may be more specific?

ANSWER - It was around four in the morning of 3, then the night in substance, at around four in the morning if I remember correctly. No, I asked her if she needed something, she turned and said: "No, I do not need anything!", "Sorry, all right."

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - She overheard what was said, what she said?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - What is said not only to urge, but possibly other girls present, the English boys, for example?

ANSWER - No, I do not remember to have heard anything because he spoke quietly under his breath.

QUESTION - And when she brought her one of the Scientific gone?

ANSWER - Yes, then I seen her on.

QUESTION - What was her after fotosegnalazione practically? ANSWER - It was fairly quiet and has riaccomodata in waiting room.

QUESTION - So these blows on the head if she gave them before going to the Scientific?

ANSWER - While we were in, we went down under the

Scientific ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President excuse me because while I speak with Sollecito, also asking him questions, we have the documents to your computer, an electronic ...

INTERVENTION - is connected on the internet President!

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - (Unintelligible - overlapping voices).

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry! Please do also the order of the hearing of this moment it is ... also allowed the defenders speak, there are reasons why particular safety should have a position other than the accused, they can stay where they are, they can talk and also see the documents they're looking at. Please continue.

ANSWER - So while we were practically under the Scientific, again around four in the morning, more or less the time was, I took her downstairs with the elevator, we went down below, when we stepped inside the corridor where there is the door of the Scientific began to walk up and down the hall making this gesture to move your hands.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Several times this?

ANSWER - Several times, yes.

QUESTION - But she had any questions? There had been something he had met someone?

ANSWER - No, he had not met anyone, I have socket and accompanied below. QUESTION - It just came down ...

ANSWER - When I first arrived we walked down into the corridor where there is forensic team began to make this gesture and of course walking nervously up and down the corridor.

QUESTION - You did not get involved in the issue?

ANSWER - No, absolutely not.

QUESTION - Then he just seen her?

ANSWER - I've seen her on it and then I did. QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - The Parties Civil? No question.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga

QUESTION - Lawyer Ghirga defense Amanda Knox. It was on November 2 when they call, right?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - 15:30 or 16:30 we are now at police headquarters. She was at home did he say?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - He ended his turn in the morning, or it was a holiday for you? She was at home?

ANSWER - Yes, I was at home anyway. QUESTION - And they call for? ANSWER - They call me saying ...

QUESTION - was at home, but I have asked had finished turn, was a day of celebration?

ANSWER - I honestly do not remember. I was just at my house where I got a phone call from the police saying that they needed someone who knew the English language. No problem, I did not do other than take the car and get on to the police station.

QUESTION - So she takes the car and goes to the police station.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Why is she still says, "for me it could be an accidental death"? If the call in the first

afternoon, go to the police station ... ANSWER - Just a minute.

QUESTION - You used the expression.

ANSWER - Well, just a moment ...

QUESTION - President can not criticize me!

ANSWER - No, I'm not challenging anyone, if I am responding explain.

PRESIDENT - It is challenging, wait to answer.

ANSWER - If I do answer I'll explain everything.

PRESIDENT - Asks the defender why they call home ...

ANSWER - They call me at home. PRESIDENT - You knew if there was ...

ANSWER - No, absolutely not, I got into the police station, I entered the office of the Inspector, I sat down and I started to translate it.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - I am a first step, she said: "For me it could be an accidental death," but says, "come to the police station I was informed of something", right? With regard to the death of the girl.

ANSWER - So after about ten minutes, twenty minutes, I can not remember perfectly, of course I tried to understand what had happened, but I was aware that there had been a death, but I did not know why.

APPLICATION - A couple of questions about the procedures for the exercise of the interpreter. ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I mean she is called because he knows the English language, she said, and could not be otherwise, who translated the questions Inspector Ficarra.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So questions in Italian translated into English to Amanda Knox, Amanda answered and she translated into Italian the answer in English?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Is that so? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - translated the questions and translated the answers? ANSWER - Exactly.

QUESTION - I in the first three pages of minutes of the second, which is deed, I do not see a question, you know why? RESPONSE - A questions means, obviously at the moment when

were taking the summary touched a attimino say, "what's his name ...".

QUESTION - No, no, no ... sorry if I interrupted, go ahead.

I do not see a question asked by the Inspector and translated by her, why?

PRESIDENT - He asks the lawyer, the minute she said ... DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - no personal data or the residence.

PRESIDENT - You said that translated the questions that were addressed to Amanda Knox, but says the lawyer, "I do not find in the record the questions."

ANSWER - When she asked me to translate his name, where he was obviously touched formalize the summary.

PRESIDENT - Yes, but in the time of exposure of the fact who I transcribed the minutes? ANSWER - The Inspector.

PRESIDENT - She translated in Italian and in English? ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Then you do not remember, do not know why there were no questions translated.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - But translated or transcribed? DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Look in the first three pages is not ... PRESIDENT - Lawyer is clear! DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - It was just to see how that's all.

PRESIDENT - You know why the minutes were not even given the questions?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - When he says, "I translated the questions" does not tell the real thing because the applications are not there.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry Lawyer, please! Why in the report were not also lists the questions that she said translated, if you know.

ANSWER - I do not know.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - And the last three are: "ADR - a question is answered," here too you know why?

ANSWER - I do not know.

QUESTION - Do you know what time the bar opens the Police Headquarters in the morning? ANSWER - The bar of the police varied times every now and then vary, in the sense that if there is a service or a anything, a particular service, I do not know, usually open even earlier, usually around a quarter past seven, seven and twenty, I do not know precisely times because I'm not going almost never.

PRESIDENT - Who is holding the bar? Personnel within the The police station?

ANSWER - No, no, I believe they are outside, they have a contract

if I'm not mistaken.

PRESIDENT - are then brought in for a particular need?

ANSWER - It happens, it happened often.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - No, I did not understand then. ANSWER - Times ...

QUESTION - on time responded, there is a bar inside the
The police station?

ANSWER - Yes, there is, right! Of course there is! QUESTION - She said no now.

ANSWER - It is on the first floor.

QUESTION - Who runs it? A private individual? ANSWER - I think it's an
individual. QUESTION - Do not know the timetable?

ANSWER - Absolutely not, because I'm not going almost never there
I'm only a few times. QUESTION - Well.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - Just a clarification; exactly remember the time when she came to the
police station?

ANSWER - I honestly do not remember because I was not there with the clock, you
usually do not harbor even the clock.

QUESTION - It was in the afternoon or evening?

ANSWER - No, no, in the afternoon.

QUESTION - We can say about five or six?

ANSWER - No, it was before, at five or six I was already in
Police, was the first, much earlier.

PRESIDENT - It was daylight again? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

PRESIDENT - It was still day? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

PRESIDENT - It's November, it was still day, afternoon.

ANSWER - Yes, but I repeat the exact times can not remember them because I do
not wear the watch, just habit, and I was not even there to look honestly, they
called me, they need it, take it and go, so I had no particular need to home and
went.

PRESIDENT - I do not remember. Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - She did function as an interpreter for the other
girls who were in police do not speak Italian, right?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Exactly what did he do? Translated questions for them?

ANSWER - I repeat, my job was to translate ...

APPLICATION - This I understood, I asked her if she did function as an
interpreter for the other girls, for example, the Jade Bidwell?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And other girls too?

ANSWER - Yes, I remember to have done the translation to other girls.

QUESTION - was always an interrogation with an Italian official who asked
questions in Italian and translated into English and then she replied in English
translated into English and she was Italian or ...

ANSWER - They were summary information.

QUESTION - It was just a question whether he needed anything, as reported
before, because she is also concerned to offer coffee, water, to bring them
down.

ANSWER - Yes. Then the question is this, we are human beings to begin with, so
if a person needs something we ... need a coffee, a glass of water, whatever,
there are

the machines below, it goes down and you give them, just. We're not ...

PRESIDENT - Yes, but asked the lawyer, in addition to this activity, which she had first described against Amanda Knox, she also served as an interpreter and examination of Amanda Knox and the examination of other English girls.

ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - How many English girls if you remember him?

All or ...

ANSWER - No, I can not remember, I think there were three, now I do not remember exactly.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - you remember that you have participated in the minutes of summary information of Jade Bidwell, mentioned earlier, on day 2 at 21:30?

ANSWER - It may be so, but I repeat the names I really do not remember them. The names of these girls do not remember them.

QUESTION - Always a clarification in relation to its activities to the police station, when she brought the Scientific Knox to do the reporting had informed her that what you went to do?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And what did he say?

ANSWER - I told her that we were going in, we had to take these prints and just as we have done for many others.

QUESTION - And she also accompanied the other English girls in this activity?

ANSWER - I do not remember, but I think not. QUESTION - Do not you remember?

ANSWER - I do not remember, I honestly do not remember.

QUESTION - But the other girls had the same

need to do the alert with the fingerprints?

ANSWER - I think so, I think.

QUESTION - But were foreigners, there was someone who watched the girls in explaining what was going on? If she was with Amanda as she did? There was someone else?

ANSWER - The speech is also this, that some of these girls also included a little 'Italian language, then surely my colleagues have explained it to him for sure, then again I'm just one person.

QUESTION - Were there any other performer that night?

ANSWER - I think not.

QUESTION - So you're in the afternoon from two until four in the third was the only official interpreter who served in the police for all foreigners, all foreign girls?

ANSWER - Yes, I think so.

PRESIDENT - She still was the only one that asks you to know?

ANSWER - What I think I know that was just me.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - you in this time how long it has been close to Amanda?

ANSWER - During the summary and then when I brought her fotosegnalare, then while she was there with other friends and other people were in the hall of Mobile, in the waiting room, so I was there by the wall, I was there I watched. QUESTION - And listened to the conversations?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - But if he was there ...

REPLY - Obviously if you were talking loudly felt something, but it is not that I was there ...

QUESTION - Do you remember if Knox's cell rang,

received phone calls? ANSWER - I do not remember.

QUESTION - Do you remember if Knox has made phone calls?

ANSWER - I do not remember this sincerely.

QUESTION - Do you remember if it was in translating the questions addressed the subject of sexual activities of Amanda Knox? If someone asked questions about this topic?

ANSWER - I do not remember.

QUESTION - You do not remember this topic? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - And remember instead the subject of Vaseline? If this question in relation to an alleged use or in any case the presence of this material was made?

ANSWER - This absolutely do not remember it. It comes to me new this, I do not remember.

QUESTION - Do you remember if you still had a cell phone Amanda Knox?

ANSWER - If I remember correctly, yes, I think so. QUESTION - What about the other guys had a cell phone?

ANSWER - I think so, someone has used it, I think he does

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - But this is cross-examination, no questions ...

THE PRESIDENT - We can narrow it down to what was the exam.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But since revolved behavior and was made a hint that ...

PRESIDENT - In fact, these questions are asked.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - As the acts are a number of phone calls made a bit 'all, I needed

to understand how can he say that the boys were quiet, so I wanted to know if anyone had made phone calls to parents, for example, or at least at that time.

PRESIDENT - So remember that these were, for the behavior in this respect.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - That's right, under the aspect of behavior, when he said that the other girls were quiet, I understand from what you stated, that's all. Thanks, I finished the exam.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE

QUESTION - Just one question, when police in the bar is closed, if you want a coffee, a tea, a croissant, a bottle of water, etc.. you have to leave?

ANSWER - No, virtually zero floor on the ground floor ... QUESTION - What is the same floor where there is also a bar?

ANSWER - No, the bar is the first floor. So the ground floor there are three machines, one for drinks, food etc for the other course. etc.., then there is the other of the coffee, as these outside.

QUESTION - What work 24 hours on 24? ANSWER - Yes, yes, 24 hours 24.

QUESTION - O is interrupted electricity? ANSWER - No, H24, always work. QUESTION - Thank you.

PRESIDENT - Okay, you can go.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - Colantone AIDA -

THE HEADS, warned PURSUANT TO ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Aida Colantone Giustina, born 27 February 1956 in Pontecorvo (Frosinone), are in service as an auditor

- Translator - interpreter at the police station in Perugia

Since 1987, I was taken first to Siena and then my service at the Ministry of the Interior as an interpreter - translator goes back to 22 years ago, I live in Magione square Simoncini 19.

PRESIDENT - Please prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - She has worked as an interpreter in the investigation into the death of Meredith Kercher?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - In the meantime we describe the moment when she was called for the first time, tell us what he did, what he saw.

ANSWER - point out that since the time of the dead, I had gone in my home country of course to visit my loved ones and on Friday I heard on television that this terrible thing had happened in Perugia and I figured that so I had to settle for this. However on Friday evening potetti not do so, on the morning of immediately

3 I came to Perugia in the car and in the afternoon I was in the police station where my colleagues, I have been informed of some interrogations that were made, I was shown a attimino the situation. Immediately translated a press release in English by order of the commissioner, I remember that Saturday 3 pm, and then in the evening I attended practically the summary information of Sophie Purton, I think it was dear friend of Meredith Kercher. Then my second surgery took place

on Sunday 4 November, about three o'clock in the afternoon, when he heard Amanda Knox.

REQUEST - Tell us about the behavior of Amanda

occasion.

ANSWER - When was heard in an office, in a room of the Flying Squad, was questioned about the men frequenting the house on Via Della Pergola, I was sitting next to me, I was here and she was here, I saw . . . certainly had an attitude proved, however, was tested responded to all questions and it seemed absolutely quiet.

APPLICATION - The 4?

ANSWER - This Sunday when we talk about the ... Amanda was heard on November 4 at three in the afternoon at police headquarters.

QUESTION - You are never brought in via Della Pergola? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - On what occasion?

RESPONSE - the same day. I remember that after we left both finished with Amanda, the girl was made to accommodate in the room, near the various offices of the Flying Squad, then after a while 'I was asked to take in a particular room because it was prepared a environment, in another room of the police station, where they were seated Amanda and Raffaele. So about four o'clock, half past four,

16:00 to 16:30, I started listening with headphones

of what was said by the two boys in this room prepared for them. Then there was an interruption to the gold 18 was lost because environmental Amanda had to be conducted with Laura Mezzetti and Filomena Romanelli, all should be conducted again in the house for the recognition of the knives in the presence of the Public Prosecutor. So I went with the same, along with an operator of the Flying Squad Amanda in the car, we went on in via Della Pergola. I must tell you then?

QUESTION - Yes.

ANSWER - then it was night, of course, I remember we arrived, there were so many cars, so many journalists, we are not just revenue, but when we did get asked us to put the overshoes, gloves both before entering first, and then we walked into the house and there were the prosecutor, were the leaders of the Flying Squad, were the two medical examiners, a man and a woman, and Amanda was asked to ...

QUESTION - Before going further I wanted to know this: all

had boots and gloves or not? Or there was someone who did not have one?

ANSWER - Well I think that if we made them wear them wore them too.

QUESTION - Do you remember this?

ANSWER - I've noticed this question because I was the impact of entering a house where he had been convicted of a crime horrendous, but if you have made them wear us assume that the other wore them.

PRESIDENT - Just the facts which she established.

ANSWER - Amanda was immediately asked to move near the kitchen sink to make sure that the cutlery baskets were present all the knives, not missing anything, then she was asked to open a drawer or two drawers there always near the sink to make sure it was alright, not knives or missing, and she said, "nothing is missing." After that, however, after this request was made to the girl, one thing that struck me that the girl was taken by strong disturbance at the time. I was behind him ...

INTERVENTION - What hits the disturbance!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, please, Attorney at Law. Go on.

ANSWER - It was taken by a strong disturbance, began to tremble, and presumably to cry so much so that the two forensic ...

PRESIDENT - Presumably, crying or not crying?

ANSWER - I had it behind, but I saw that ... then I saw that she was crying because at some point the two medical examiners now have stepped forward for a moment to calm her, to calm her, to ask: "are you okay? How do you feel ", etc.., They made sit on a bench near there, I do not know if they have tested the wrist and at that point I saw she was crying, which was visibly upset. Then when they realized the medical examiners and the public prosecutor that she had recovered, his presence in the house was finished, at which point some of the Flying Squad has secured, put something in his head, a jacket or a something out because it was full of journalists, and the girl was protected, it was covered, and was carried away out and then brought back to the police station. Then you take the famous environmental mentioned earlier.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - I wanted to know this: she remembers other attitudes Amanda at that time?

ANSWER - An attitude, something that Amanda me hit at that time was just after the interrogation say, when it was heard at about three in the offices of the Flying Squad, then we're done and she was made sit in a waiting room virtually. At one point, I do not know if I was away for a moment to speak with someone in Mobile or passing in this room, returning to this room where I remember she was

alone there, there was only her, I was pretty much ... I realized that this girl was really tested, exhausted, she was tired because practically I found, was leaning on the chair with his head resting against the wall, his face white, his eyes closed, white, have been greatly affected by this pallor and I realized that this girl was sick. Point out that in addition to the pallor of the face and neck I noticed a red mark on my neck has been printed and that I did not attach any importance because obviously I was struck by their pallor, this red mark, I realized that the girl was evil, I approached and asked: "Amanda, how are you? Are you okay? "She at that point you are shooting, you should be reconstructed also in the position, posture and said:" Yes, I did not sleep, did not eat, this morning I have come menstruation and are exhausted. "

QUESTION - What day was that? The 3?

ANSWER - It was Sunday, November 4, and just finished the interrogation, have been half past three, four, I do not know. After that I said, "listen to the police station the bar is closed at this time, we want to go below that there is a machine where you can get something? We want to go? "...

QUESTION - What time was this?

ANSWER - Doctor, since the environment began at half past four, 16.30, must have been just before, then from 16.00 to 16.30. I say, "we want to go below so you take something?", "Yes", then we took the elevator, we went down to the ground floor to the machine. I say, "what do you want? A cup of coffee? ", "Cappuccino ", I believe that Amanda should remember if you then say, " you want to take a croissant, something else? ", " No, no, thank you. " I had a coffee,

I stretched out, and then we returned to the third floor, at the squad. She is left where he was sitting and immediately got someone who told me: 'Look, you have to put in this room because you have to listen etc. etc..' "and then began to intercept the environment to which I referred earlier.

QUESTION - I wanted to know this when she was in the house

Via Della Pergola well as crying, shaking, Amanda has made other gestures that you remember? He touched his head?

ANSWER - No, no, gestures as if to curl up in itself, in this sense.

QUESTION - She then stood there by Della Pergola or ... ANSWER - No, soon after the girl was brought out

with something on his head to hide the eyes

the press, myself, I do not remember who, I returned to the police station at about 19:00 where is the recovery environment on Amanda and Raffaele.

QUESTION - This is the November 4? ANSWER - We always talk on November 4.

QUESTION - Do you remember ... then talked Amanda and Raffaele?

ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - Remember that tone had? If the tone was always the same, if at some point the tone is turned down?

ANSWER - Doctor I remember this, so we talk about the second part dell'ambientale, not before, when then there was the break to go in via Della Pergola.

QUESTION - Yes.

ANSWER - Why do we say that there are some content '

.

REQUEST - Yes, both before and after.

ANSWER - In the first part, so before you go away

Della Pergola guys have talked about this and that

less, a little 'in Italian, which is a bit' Amanda spoke in Italian, a little 'Raffaele spoke English, but they have talked about this and that. Then Amanda got a call I do not know by whom, certainly an interlocutor English because it is expressed in English, after the phone told Raffaele who it was, then asked if they could have a ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - He's telling the interception environment!

PRESIDENT - No, he is representing what was happening, not the content of the same.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - He also said the content, said it was a foreign correspondent and reported the content to Raphael, is telling exactly the content.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, the content of the interception can not tell, I do not think he was referring to, the ways in which these conversations took place, eavesdropping on the Public Prosecutor in particular was asking her tone, it was always the same tone, treble and low, softly, and then she was introducing the evidence on which it can report, and received the phone call about this.

ANSWER - We say that in this first part dell'ambientale,

then stopped to go in via Della Pergola, the guys have talked about this and that, Amanda received a phone call, they ordered a pizza.

PRESIDENT - can not report on this. The tone.

ANSWER - In the second part dell'ambientale when we came away from Della Pergola, at some point, of course Amanda was absorbed and silent,

Raffaele and asks: "What is it? How are you? PRESIDENT - But you hear from eavesdropping? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

PRESIDENT - can not report on this. On tone, if the tone ...

ANSWER - The tone is that at some point the two boys are made almost whispering to each other, then obviously it is understood that they were close, they began to whisper and Raffaele asks for a someone to Amanda ...

PRESIDENT - You can not tell because maybe can be acquired these items.

ANSWER - He was named a certain (Sceic) several times, but ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - You can not tell, I'm sorry if I insist, he also created the name!

PRESIDENT - Only the tone, if there have been interruptions, what he was saying first ...

ANSWER - breaks every now and then someone entered the Police because he had to get something from your desk. PRESIDENT - He had received a phone call?

ANSWER - He had received a phone call from someone, then Raffaele briefed on the contents of the phone call ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Before this question I did and was also made by the defense, without her stories the content, but the reference to the people has been done or not?

ANSWER - As I said before, he was appointed the owner of Le Chic and then some (Sceic) several times.

QUESTION - Who?

PRESIDENT - But she was just listening, you may report this if it has determined ...

ANSWER - I just prepared a transcript of this environment.

PRESIDENT - And this is fine if determined to understand of further investigations. You know ...

ANSWER - Yes, it is on record transcription dell'ambientale. PRESIDENT - To your knowledge as a result of listening to these conversations were immediately carried out investigations and if so which ones?

ANSWER - I know then that (Sceic), which was nominated several times, the police conducted the investigation.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - You have stated before even Patrick has spoken?

ANSWER - How?

APPLICATION - The owner of Le Chic. ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - He did Amanda and Raffaele?

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - ask for things that can not respond. PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - But on the basis of investigations ...

PRESIDENT - You said that as a result of this listening have been investigated with respect to the contact.

ANSWER - In this (Sceic).

PRESIDENT - I have made inquiries in relation, in relation to other scenarios that palesavano, which opened to other investigative assumptions?

ANSWER - This I can not say it is not for me to say.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - She has heard, he said, there have been these two names, the voice was the same as the average of the conversation or

it is raised or lowered?

ANSWER - No, it was lowered, that is, if I can not relate to the content ...

QUESTION - No.

ANSWER - It is as if the boys were lowered, at least Amanda has made it clear that there was a him that she was worried.

PRESIDENT - And at this juncture the tone is lowered? ANSWER - Yes And they were certainly close.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President I would like to say one thing, I think we can, if you believe, if there are grounds, a type of evaluation of this kind in my opinion is up to you, and certainly not to an expert.

THE PRESIDENT - We can also hear it.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - If there are prerequisites feel, but I would not filter this evaluation through a subject, ie valuterete you think.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - We can do it.

PRESIDENT - But the behavior that could perceive by listening.

RESPONSE - Mr President, the behavior or words, or at least a summary of the content?

PRESIDENT - The contents no, not even at a glance, however, at certain times, in certain passages fell this is what he was saying.

ANSWER - We lowered.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - is lowered in relation

When the

ANSWER - I felt, however, that they were talking about something he wanted.

QUESTION - Do you have other documents translated?

ANSWER - Several. I kept listening and transcription of 25 environmental that have been made in prison during the months of November to December 2007 and then in March

- April-May 2008. Then I translated the various memoirs written by Amanda, I have translated some 600 letters that have been acquired from prison, the letters that normally Amanda wrote from prison were scanned, photocopied and brought to the attention of the Flying Squad, so I watched and translated those . Then an e-mail Amanda wrote on the night of November 3 and 4, at 3:25 at night, this is one thing that struck me because the two had happened that had happened, in all its tragedy, all boys were in police throughout the day, 2. The day of the third again to the police station, and in the night between Saturday 3 and Sunday, November 4 at 3:25 am Amanda sent an e-mail to friends, relatives and acquaintances in America with a length of five pages telling with extreme rigor and accuracy pretty much everything that had happened on November 1, 2, 3, what happened when ... everything pretty much what you know as shown by the records, but in an extremely lucid and rigorous. As we became aware of this e-mail? Because among the various recipients Amanda had sent also to the employer, a former employer of Seattle, the proprietor of a bar. This gentleman on November 15, so she sent her on the night of November 4, at one point he thought, has decided to bring this e-mail he had received, as it is already well known what was

success

Perugia,

Sh

brought

this

e-mail to

Police

Seattle,

the

Which one?

Police,

via a

Italian-American cop who knows Italian, then phoned the police station, was this thing, so the Flying Squad asked them to send this text almost to the police in Perugia, then the Flying Squad came into possession of this e-mail , its contents and all. What is a little 'strange is that Amanda would be exhausted after two days ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Continue to comment on what he has translated, just please!

ANSWER - How I managed to write five pages ... DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - But can not comment!

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - President whenever this Defense said the word "weird" I was interrupted, so I must intervene because it is unacceptable! If it is for me it is for the witness!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The produce this e-mail!

PRESIDENT - Please. The witness is called for the feedback should be avoided, when introduce "appeared" etc.. should not be exposed of course, can not be used, if somehow remains in the transcripts is a non-usable, you might as well not be ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - I would like it to appear in the heads this document, if it recognizes and then we

ask the production.

PRESIDENT - The parties know what it is? DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Yes, we know.

ANSWER - Yes, this is the e-mail translated, there is also the original.

PRESIDENT - Is this it?

ANSWER - Yes, I do.

THE PRESIDENT - We have it already, however we can ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - was already on record this secret!

Have you filed in June 2008 and there you left in November!

PRESIDENT - Excuse me please, Lawyer, avoid! Please, go on then.
Public Prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - I would not be interrupted in this way.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - No, I never stopped!

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Continue with the controversy made ... In these eavesdropping of which she oversaw the translation remember those who have given a hint investigative especially when she has no memory?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Which of these?

REPLY - Obviously when these were prepared in prison was for environmental

ascertain, was hoping would come out useful course for which the Flying Squad practically me I questioned, there interpellavano, I speak in the plural because I have worked with my colleagues who have my same qualification, there interpellavano the time to find out: "c 'is something interesting? ". I must say that almost immediately after the first environmental, November 10 and November 13 of that were quite interesting in the sense that Amanda has expressed regret for having brought up Patrick.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - President of the witness is here as the interpreter instead of the investigative reporting is directly carried out by the same, then

I would understand the role primarily because I understand that she has been cited in relation to the activity of translator instead of doing individual evaluations!

ANSWER - No, no, no ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - He also reported the results of requests from his colleagues about the investigation! So I want to know if it was the investigator or did the interpreter.

ANSWER - I'll explain it ... Lawyer

PRESIDENT - Sorry, not interloquisca exceptions.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Just to remind me that the transcripts made in the preliminary hearing of November 10 to 17 are to file directly with the full translation.

PRESIDENT - Yes, we have already acquired.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - No, I have told you.

ANSWER - I want to clarify this Mr. President. PRESIDENT - Before you specify any, it is noted that the content of these conversations you can not tell ...

REPLY - But the prosecutor asked me ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry. The prosecutor asked her if she had emerged from listening to these conversations ...

ANSWER - Yes, certainly, so much so that the Flying Squad ... PRESIDENT - One moment, the evidence from which immediately or shortly after such investigation and investigative activities, this can refer, which you know, were determined. So you neglect what is ahead, say what came out investigative activities on the basis of overheard conversations carried out, and then neglect the content of the conversations, say what they were.

ANSWER - How do I say ... then arose data

useful for the investigation and simply, without specifying what?

PRESIDENT - And what investigations have made it possible, if you know it.

ANSWER - Because ... virtually Patrick Lumumba had previously been accused of the murder of Kercher competition had been translated into prison and at the time was still in prison, when Amanda talks about Patrick, to be terribly sorry to have brought up, that makes us understand Patrick has nothing to do virtually, that was an extremely useful referred to the squad.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - That is, the data for these intercepts of conversations that were carried out were done in English, who led them to

knowledge of the Judicial Police? ANSWER - We.

QUESTION - Do you?

ANSWER - Yes. I mean the Flying Squad of course we wanted to know if there were things interesting for the investigation and therefore we do not is that we have

only translated sterile words, we were extremely attentive, even to grasp, that there were elements useful for the investigation Police.
QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDING JUDGE

APPLICATION - These conversations occurred when if you remember?

ANSWER - In November and December 2007, then there was the ... APPLICATION - The date.

ANSWER - We were made between November 5 and December, then March - April - May 20 others, 25 of them were made in all.

QUESTION - Dates reminds? November when?

ANSWER - November have 10, 13, 17, 20 and 24 and we're done, then nothing in December.

QUESTION - Civil Parties?

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI

QUESTION - I am the lawyer Pacelli, defender and patron of Patrick Lumumba. Dr. I seem to have realized that he translated all the memorials written by Amanda.

ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - I refer to the 6th of November, in the afternoon, you were there on that day, that afternoon when Amanda asked all'Ispettrice Ficarra blank forms?

ANSWER - Yes, I think that it was not just in the afternoon, late morning, however, it does not matter. However

yes, absolutely yes.

QUESTION - We can report exactly what Dr. Amanda said on that occasion?

ANSWER - I called I was pretty, I think it was the Inspector Ficarra because practically he was set in place by the decree of the Public Prosecutor which had to be translated and communicated to Amanda. So I went in this office where Amanda was sitting at a desk and I was made to see the order of the public prosecutor and I was asked to translate it, I have translated it to him, I tried to make her understand what possessed that official document to Amanda because after a look of amazement asked, "give me the paper and a pen that I want to tell what happened? I want to write what happened. "

QUESTION - At that time, in that circumstance Amanda churches sheets spontaneously?

ANSWER - Yes, absolutely. He did not have a reaction ... QUESTION - Amanda was asking that spontaneously these sheets were delivered?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You also had to witness the return of the memorial by Amanda all'Ispettrice Ficarra?

ANSWER - No, because it took a bit 'of time before Amanda ... I almost wrote that I left it, then of course I had other things to do, always related to the case, but as soon as it was completed the memorial was immediately passed to our office for translation.

QUESTION - So is she who had to take care of the translation of

this memorial?

ANSWER - No, no. The translation was prepared by Mrs Anna Pennoni but since we work as a team, especially in an emergency situation as it was

that, practically it often happened that one of us was dictating and writing to the other computer, then there was one of the two who did the review, correction, etc. .. Here I have managed the revision of the translation done, thrown down by fellow Pennoni, then I have regarded, I correct.

QUESTION - If the President will allow me I would like to show

This memorial is the translation, Mr. President, in the file which made the defense, was admitted on November 16, number 3 is the document in the file.

PRESIDENT - To avoid go find him if he has ...

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - No, I do not have with me physically.

PRESIDENT - The question is what?

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - If you recognize him, if that's what the memorial and recognize him, is the document number 3 of the dossier Civil Party.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - has already been identified.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - I'm sorry Mr. President, since then I've done I can safely give the word to colleagues of Defense and return of this question ... Here he is, Mr. President of the document number 3, with the translation.

PRESIDENT - Please.

It is recognized that the document is shown to the heads of the three productions of the Civil Party.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - What should I say?

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - is that in English, from the record before dell'Ispettrice Ficarra followed by translation. I on the other I extrapolated from the file.

PRESIDENT - Please facciamoglielo see if she remembers, is a photocopy but ... it is that document?

ANSWER - This is the record ...

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - There is the written translation in English and then to follow.

ANSWER - Yes, absolutely yes.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - Please defenses.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - First lady Colantone I wanted to understand better its expertise in this office where she has now said he served for several years. He works and translations?

ANSWER - I believe that my qualification itself tells you that what I do, reviewer, translator interpreter Ministry of the Interior.

QUESTION - She also makes simultaneous?

ANSWER - It happened to me, then each of us has his own ... we would need for the simultaneous ...

QUESTION - Can you give us a translation translation between normal and simultaneously?

ANSWER - The normal translation there are two types of translation, there is the almost whispered when there is a person who speaks, the interpreter gets behind him

and practically translates chuchoter, whispers, almost whispers the foreign person who is speaking, the interpreter whispers practically the questions that

are addressed in the language, then translates his language and vice versa, the same interpreter translates to those who made the question the answer by the foreign person. Simultaneous that takes place in the cabin with headphones, usually in large scale conferences are simultaneous interpreters who are new to this work, there are several booths with headphones, so people, the attendees of course they themselves have a headset to hear the simultaneous translation in their own language, because there are conferences where sometimes the audience is composed of people from different countries of the world, so there are interpreters who translate in different languages, everyone has a headset to hear the translation of what is being said in their own language.

QUESTION - In particular, the activities to be carried out in this investigation was her translation or simultaneous?

ANSWER - There was no need to field a virtually simultaneous because there was a person who was questioned by the prosecutor, by the staff of the Flying Squad and I who acted as translator. So, usually in these cases the translation is carried out by the operator who is asked a question by the prosecutor or the police, I will translate it into the language known by the person who is beside me, the person who stands beside me respond in their own language, I ritraduco in Italian what he said, that the police station.

QUESTION - This is the procedure that you refer to in Minutes? ANSWER - How?

QUESTION - When making a transcript of the report is the original question, the English translation?

ANSWER - When ...

QUESTION - I'm sorry is the question in Italian, the translation of the question in English, the answer dell'interrogata? In the minutes for your practice, as explained exactly how the mode of translation, as these are transcribed his translations? That is, I repeat the question in Italian is translated into English, the answer is collected in English, she is the translation of the answer in Italian?

ANSWER - Exactly.

QUESTION - But this is in the minutes? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I'm sorry but I have to dispute this ...

REPLY - But I have to answer! QUESTION - She said yes!

PRESIDENT - The application is terminated Lawyer?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Yes.

ANSWER - Since I am an officer of PG, which we support, we are specialized technical, so to speak, then on those occasions that require our intervention, however, we are auxiliaries of public safety, we support the police in various needs in which they find having to deal with people who do not speak Italian. So when people questioned us our work is that we are told to ask a person a certain thing, well, we translate the question in the language understood by the person concerned, we report on the response, and so on, we do not we write the verbal material because then this is an officer of the judicial police.

QUESTION - So the question that I asked, in the minutes this step is yes or no?

ANSWER - It's not about me, I do not know, I draw up the minutes that the Judicial Police ... If they give me something to translate I translate it, but not for me.

QUESTION - I do understand.

ANSWER - It is obvious that when a report has been prepared on a questioning me at the end, when it was written report, I read it to the person concerned, I translate it, etc. I read it. also because it is my interest to ascertain, since I have to sign the report, it is my interest to ascertain that it was all written down for good, to the extent, that is not missing important things, that there is nothing distorted, this does not rain, because I have to read it and sign it translate to the person concerned because I was asked by the officer of its PG, "translates this all'interessata heads" because even the person concerned, in turn, must sign the document.

QUESTION - But I have to have a clarification on an issue I think because they are not just procedural acts questions in English ever, or those placed on recruitment of SIT Amanda Knox nor those that I have about the British that the interpreter participated. So I wanted to ask the President if I can show, for example, an extract of the minutes of taking Butterworth where there is a question there is an answer, but there is a question in English, so how can we know translated. This documentary purposes only.

REPLY - But not for me, for I have

limited to.

PRESIDENT - He has already responded, so the question on this ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - (Unintelligible - off microphone).

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Exactly'm analyzing this too, thanks to the Public Prosecutor. So also the response dell'esaminata not apparent.

PRESIDENT - The acts are written in, but we can see.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Do you know if there are protocols in terms of translation and also simultaneous translation with the distinction that we have done before for what concerns the documents? Protocols established by international associations, for example, organizations such as the United Nations?

ANSWER - In this case about what?

QUESTION - How must contain the questions and answers when there is a translation?

ANSWER - When I translate a text into English or from English into Italian I have a number of pages to be translated and it is my duty to translate completely and correctly. We're talking about a verbal translation, done by the interpreter, during an activity to assist the public security so ...

QUESTION - We can say that at that moment she was more than an Auxiliary during the investigation phase rather than an interpreter?

ANSWER - Okay, interpreter, auxiliary interpreter.

PRESIDENT - Please, let us, maybe will be evaluated by the parties. Refers, however, Article 109.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Another question always in relation to the protocols on this matter. She did, in relation to environmental November 4, of which he mentioned earlier, started at 16:30, stopped at 18.00, then resumed at 19.00 and ended at 20.00, This is at least, made a report. The question is, according to what ...

ANSWER - Which report? Sorry Lawyer.

APPLICATION - This. Based on what she sets out what is important and what is not important to put in the report?

PRESIDENT - The report did not know the content. DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - is on record.

PRESIDENT - The report is prepared by the Attorney heads?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Yes, it is an act that is in the file of a 415.

PRESIDENT - It concerns what?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - It concerns the account given by the interpreter interception environment.

PRESIDENT - The Court does not have it, but it is the content of interceptions.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, I asked her if there are protocols to evaluate ...

PRESIDENT - What is the rough draft of interception? DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, it's the report.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - No, I would like to know what are these protocols, here is the code of criminal procedure.

PRESIDENT - But the question what is this?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I want to know the criteria by which the interpreter decides that certain facts are omitted because the report is written, "interesting conversation" and fails to translate. So my question is, since it is a professional what is the criterion on which it is established that information is translated and the other was not.

PRESIDENT - So in regards to this condition "not interesting," she asks the heads.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Exactly.

ANSWER - I answer quietly because basically we were, that we were constantly being told by the staff of the Flying Squad who practically had to take and report to the aspects that could be of interest for the investigation, omitting, synthesizing, even omitting the immediate things we thought not interesting. The fact of the pizza I mentioned, I could also omit it because he was not interested.

QUESTION - So the evaluation in order to identify during the survey of the clues did you? ANSWER - I was translating, I reported then they ...

QUESTION - No, said she decided what to translate! ANSWER - No, I decided I did not ...

PRESIDENT - No, excuse me, we are the responses that we are

dates and what we are.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The question is this: Because you have listened to the environment 4.

ANSWER - Taking notes and then ...

QUESTION - He then decided that some of the information that you have had through the English text were important for the investigation and has given in Italian.

ANSWER - Why so I was told by the police.

PRESIDENT - aspects that ended.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - My question is this: the assessment of the important aspects she did or she referred to a colleague who then decided if that aspect was better to put him in Italian, or as you said no pizza.

REPLY - Maybe I'd better report this. That day

I have put the headphones on his head to listen quest'ambientale, I repeat that quest'ambientale was not easy to listen to some points because the boys were silent, whispering or anything else, so for me it was ... ie I got there anyway trying to bring what they said slowly. Then I have to say that, I realize, this was the first listen and a first note-taking, hand in hand when someone was asking: "Is there something? Is there anything? " and I was able to say yes or no, doing this but I say: "look at that sometimes whispering, sometimes it's not clear," I am strict in my work. So after doing all this I have listened, and then later I was asked to listen to this tape pretty much at that point I was able to fill gaps, rework and put down this

translation, this version.

PRESIDENT - This is clear, however, asked the lawyer, as in this report are the brackets with the words "not interesting," then asks the lawyer that "not interesting" based on what she apponeva?

ANSWER - If this I mean ...

PRESIDENT - The evaluation she did this or did it to him ...

ANSWER - This I did, I would listen to the tape and you might say Raffaele and Amanda asked "why did this peluccio on the jacket." That is not ... if I mentioned ...

PRESIDENT - You knew about what was being investigated?

ANSWER - Yes, certainly.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The same situation is repeated in the same documents translated. She has participated in the translation of some memorials, then explained that she was a team work together with other colleagues, and that made the revision. For example, in the full translation of the diary, the famous diary of prisons, there are observations where precisely it refers to "circumstances not relevant," even in this she decided that it was not necessary ... based on what information coming dall'Amanda decided that Knox was not relevant to put it in Italian?

ANSWER - Lawyer there are several ways to do our work, if practically to anyone who requires a particular job involves a full translation and grants us all the time we need to be able to fully do we proceed to do a full translation. If, however, those who tell us to do something, he says: "Look, we understand that the immediacy of

what is written in this exercise book of 80 pages, so we want to know if there is something we are interested in the investigation, "it is logical that this is a huge work, because I remember him the job, then one you read and fully translate and extrapolate what you think could be of interest and other parts which are not relevant to summarize because otherwise it would take a month to do that job and the police needed immediate news.

QUESTION - At this point, however, I have to make a complaint because Mrs. Colantone results in various verbal quote Butterworth on February 8 in Bergamo, always as the interpreter of the English language. It seems to me, from what he says, that his activities were also those of assessing the evidence coming to know, so it's an investigation.

ANSWER - No, I did not say that.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Yes he said this, right.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But as it is needed, when there is a stranger, the presence of an interpreter is the third Mr. Chairman, that is a third party and that of course has all the qualifications that we do not contest, however, must be third in terms of formal exercise of question - answer, instead it seems to me that the doctor who is always referred, and I repeat this "is the English language interpreter" and then it is recognized that it is employee of the Ministry of the Interior, has in fact exercised investigation activities as he has done the feedback documents, establishing what was interesting and not pure interpreter. So I make a complaint in this regard ...

ANSWER - No, no, no ...

PRESIDENT - No, please.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Who makes it? At the Office? PRESIDENT - The dispute in the legal sense, as an application the witness?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I do it by way of demand, wonder why when it comes to a foreigner gets a role as an interpreter. I ask, for example, she went to Bergamo?

ANSWER - Yes, I do. QUESTION - Together?

ANSWER - Together with Deputy Commissioner Napoleoni.

QUESTION: - What were your duties at the time and what you did?

ANSWER - My duties were the same that I had already completed the police station several times.

APPLICATION - For "same" you mean the provision of interpretation or agency investigating police?

ANSWER - Always auxiliary PG. The operators of the Flying Squad did not know English, there is an official interpreter to the police station and took him with them.

QUESTION - You could not find an interpreter in Bergamo? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - is not this normal? ANSWER - No. ..

PRESIDENT - But we ask the heads ...

ANSWER - The Ministry of Interior has set procedures to support the police.

PRESIDENT - ask the witness questions which can be answered.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I do not understand the importance ...

PRESIDENT - This is an evaluation of course, all arguments are fine, but the head can not say, "because you could not find" that seems to me ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Can you tell us what you did in Bergamo? Who have you heard?

ANSWER - We did some things that we had already done, routine.

PRESIDENT - That is what she did?

ANSWER - The prosecutor had to hear again some of the British girls.

PRESIDENT - What did she do?

ANSWER - What I always do, the Deputy Commissioner Napoleoni asking questions in Italian, I was translating the English to the girl of the moment, because they were four or five, the girl on duty responded to the question that had been made in English, I in translating the Italian operators of the Flying Squad and then there were those who verbalizzava the questions and answers and translations.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - There was a recording of these?

ANSWER - Yes, I think that had been recorded for the limited time.

QUESTION - So there was a verbalization in writing as well as a verbalization with registration?

ANSWER - I think the minutes ... these are things techniques, but I think it was ...

PRESIDENT - He asks the lawyer, we all understood this as it was an act in which she took part in carrying out the activities described. He asks her: there was also a audio recording of the activity that you were doing?

ANSWER - Yes, yes. Instead of drawing up the minutes of the was told in writing that would have required a huge amount of time was all recorded.

PRESIDENT - So the minutes are not there or was there also Minutes?

ANSWER - I do this if there is a record to do ... these are things that concern the police.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - However, there are a complete record of taking Butterworth January 28 and then are transcribed.

PRESIDENT - So there.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But I wanted to know if this is a new transcription from the coil or if there was someone who took notes, since it was the witness who reported that there was a person who verbalizzava, I understood that there was one who wrote, so I asked for a clarification, it is normal. In relation to e-mail she has translated from Knox sent to 25 family members and friends, she raised the problem of how did you send the email?

ANSWER - Using the computer I guess.

QUESTION - You did surveys on which computer? ANSWER - I was given a document to be translated ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - The lady is an interpreter, not un'ufficiale Criminal Police!

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - When it suits is an interpreter, but when does the feedback is ...

PRESIDENT - Please! She is a witness, it is true that has been cited to report on some aspects, but as she spoke of this e-mail asks the lawyer if she knows how ...

ANSWER - How ...

PRESIDENT - The e-mail was sent and the next question ...

ANSWER - By Amanda in America?

PRESIDENT - You have already said that it was transmitted by computer, the lawyer asks her: she did the investigation or the results?

ANSWER - It is not for me to investigate, the Flying Squad I passed the witness to translate, I have translated perfectly just.

PRESIDENT - No problem, except that the lawyer does all the questions that it believes, in the course of the relevance and limits of cross-examination, however, and she is responding well with confidence.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - In view of the comments of the prosecutor do not challenge because they are here to make the defense of Knox and sometimes ...

THE PRESIDENT - We have a position, so to speak, of privilege and we do not hear, so we also invite the parties to drop items that can be behind. We are currently essential unless there are elements of noise, but I do not, then we can continue.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - In relation to the translation of

documents that came from the prison's Huts ... ANSWER - The letters do you mean?

QUESTION - Well, I ask just that. What was it?

What kind of documents were they? Letters and nothing else?

ANSWER - Amanda were letters he sent to his friends and relatives in America and vice versa letters, Amanda received answers to his own letters.

QUESTION - How many did she say? ANSWER - A 600 we never have counted.

QUESTION - Can you tell the time range - when she was given this assignment to translate?

ANSWER - I think immediately after the catch until late spring.

APPLICATION - These documents were then evaluated by his colleagues in the investigation?

ANSWER - I answer in the same way as before, practically, we are limited to examine and translate this material, highlighting, for so we were asked: "If there is something useful for us we put it out otherwise summarized or omitted" because there was always the immediacy, the urgency to hear now and then we did this, we highlighted the important things and sottoponevamo the attention of the Flying Squad.

APPLICATION - The application is necessary, perhaps repeat it because it is in

relation to what I said before, but since these 600 letters are not in the file, I do not think I do I have to ask, because it has made an assessment of what was relevant or was not relevant, how does she stressed the relevance of these letters, which were the subjects of a cultural nature, of a sexual nature, personal, emotional character ...

ANSWER - I mention these topics ...

PRESIDENT - Dr. aspects, you must always leave me end and then she responds.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - So in relation to these assessments wanted to know what were the criteria that you have adopted to emphasize the parts that seemed relevant in addition to doing the translation.

ANSWER - Let's say that in general, through these written letters of Amanda is not that interesting things have emerged for investigatory purposes, because we understand that it was informed that the letters might be intercepted and she put it in the letters. So interest issues that have emerged, could emerge from those related to environmental ...

PRESIDENT - Letters.

ANSWER - The handwritten letters of Amanda, the aspects that could be of interest to those concerned with this girl's personality, his interests, his way of being, its way of being, even through writing, how to write, The topics covered and that we have highlighted because of the fact that not much has emerged.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Doctor she has a special expertise to identify the elements of a person's character? That has a psychology, a competence in this regard?

ANSWER - I have a degree in foreign languages and literature in Rome, La Sapienza University in Rome, so apart from the knowledge of languages say that my passion are the humanities, and literature, history, philosophy, psychology which I also

taken exams for psychology speak. I am a person who likes to figure out who stands in front of what kind of person he is, I'm so, so I think I have been able to ... but then I mean I submitted my ratings, our evaluations, my and my colleagues on the personality of Amanda, we have submitted to the proper authorities, and then use that to do is their affair.

QUESTION - So you did assessments on the personality of Amanda.

ANSWER - I'm not an uncritical reader, a translator uncritical, I mean a text hits you in some way.

THE PRESIDENT - We're not just talking about the activity as a translator with the omission as he told us.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - He said that of the 600 letters she stressed that she considered to be important aspects.

THE PRESIDENT - We explained what we have gained.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But I wanted to understand, when you make an assessment of a behavior through the documents you must first have the expertise, both in psychiatry (..) to understand the power it has.

ANSWER - There was an assessment by an expert.

QUESTION - Then I wanted to see what kind of follow-up activities have been made in relation to these assessments of these elements.

PRESIDENT - If you have made in the meantime ask follow-up activities. Do you know if as a result of this activity as a translator?

REPLY - Just to inform.

PRESIDENT - If you know if they were made as a result of subsequent investigations of these that she was offering reading and translating this correspondence.

ANSWER - I do not know, we have announced, so we were asked, "what do you think? What do you think? What do you say? ", Then what they did not concern us.

PRESIDENT - You do not know that investigations were carried out?

ANSWER - No, no, but there were important elements for the investigation.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The other question is always in relation to eavesdropping that have been placed in November-December and then again, until May I think. I wanted to know if you have participated in the translation of these eavesdropping?

ANSWER - You mean the same when they were recorded?

PRESIDENT - No, no, if they have the translation, then we'll see if there is a next question.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - First if they have, then I will ask ...

ANSWER - Yes, yes, many of them.

QUESTION - How participated in the translation of conversations in prison The huts between Knox and parents or relatives, I wanted to know if he was physically present or if there was a recording of the conversation which was then brought to its attention, and she then made a transcription of the English text in Italian.

ANSWER - Yes, this is already clear from the record made by the police about these various environmental, however the environmental performance of these was that each time, twice a week, usually during visiting hours provided for relatives of Amanda's same, I and an operator of the Flying Squad on our way to the prison, we went to prison where she had been prepared a room, a room adjacent to another room where she had been prepared for visits obviously placed a bugging device. I and the operator of the Flying Squad in the adjoining room basically had a video, there was a video, and then we had the same way of seeing what is going on, to listen and at the same time, at the same time, the conversation was from time time recorded. I was asked once, "they said anything interesting? It turned out something interesting? ", " Yes, no ". After that I was returning to the office and to defer to listen, but always ... I saw that, I had both the video on my computer that I could listen to the conversation through the oral disc, and proceeded to the transcription of all the content that emerged dall'ambientale. I must say that this is a job that took a long time, 25 environmental 25 hours of work which then became 50 for listening, transcription, perhaps even 75 etc. ..

QUESTION - Can you tell me the time period from when up when were these 25 environment?

ANSWER - I said earlier, in November ... 10 November 13 novembre, 17 November, 20 November and 24 November, and five environmental in November. Then there was the break, were taken in March, March - April-May and in the last three months I have been made other 20 dates frankly I do not remember.

QUESTION - Lady Colantone was made the same activity for the other defendant in this process? She attended?

ANSWER - No, it is not a foreign person I concerned.

PRESIDENT - What other accused Lawyer?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I asked if she had participated in the environment.

ANSWER - What environment?

APPLICATION - The environmental were also made against the other defendant who obviously speaks Italian, but she attended?

ANSWER - Absolutely not, what reason was there!

APPLICATION - The correspondence mentioned earlier was also one of the two defendants, Knox and Sollecito?

ANSWER - I'm not sure, maybe there was a letter that he wrote to Amanda Raffaele, but I'm not absolutely certain.

QUESTION - Do you remember the correspondence between Knox and Patrick Lumumba?

ANSWER - Absolutely not, no.

QUESTION - And do you remember the correspondence between Knox and Rudy Guede?

ANSWER - No, no. We talk about the correspondence in prison, no.

APPLICATION - These 600 letters. ANSWER - No, no, no.

QUESTION - There was correspondence with lawyers? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - You said the e-mail that Knox sent to several people, remember who were the people?

REPLY - Look, I do not know, at the time virtually no

even know the names of the father and mother of Amanda, sisters or something. Obviously As we proceeded with the environment and with the letters we got to know the names of the various members of the family of Amanda. However, judging by the person who then sent back, that turned to Seattle police, who then sent us this e-mail I believe it had sent to other acquaintances etc., But at the time did not know anyone Certainly not, after we'd been able to identify this is the father, this is the mother, this is the grandmother, this is the cousin, not all then.

QUESTION - I would revise it to him this e-mail just because

she could read the first line and confirm that it is actually an English translation.

ANSWER - Right.

QUESTION - In fact, the first paragraph is a line and a half. ANSWER - This is a translation, this is the text

Original ... What do you want me to do Lawyer? APPLICATION - The first paragraph ...

ANSWER - I'm translating it from English?

QUESTION - Even if he wants to see its translation ... PRESIDENT - The question is what?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I wanted to know if you recognize translation as the one that made her English text. If can read.

It is recognized that the witness shall read in English with translation into Italian of the first paragraph of the letter referred to.

ANSWER - "This is an email addressed to all, for all, so I need to get out and do not want to have to

repeated hundreds of times ... ".

PRESIDENT - Do you recognize her as the translation? ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I ask you all to read the first paragraph, in Italian, if it recognizes the text.

ANSWER - "This is an email sent to all because I need to get out and do not want to have to repeat hundreds of times as I have done to the central police station. Some of you already know something others do not know anything, what I have to say I can not say to journalists or newspapers, so I ask each of you not to disclose this information. This is my story of when I found my roommate murdered etc. etc " ..

PRESIDENT - However, she recognizes the translation as she prepared?

ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - What date is this?

ANSWER - We must take the original though ... here we have the date of November 15 and the date on which the former employer of Amanda in Seattle turned to the police.

QUESTION - There's just the English text with the date above. ANSWER - Then on November 15 this guy in America

sent to an operator of the Seattle police this

text, this e-mail. Then we have all the recipients of the email, and there are many ... Lawyer what should I do?

APPLICATION - The date of the e-mail because you said the first two, I just wanted to confirm that the date is?

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - The night between 3 and 4.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, the purpose of the transcript said before ...

PRESIDENT - However, there is recording.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Is there a transcript prosecutor, we'll see, I just wanted a confirmation of the date of sending this email and if there is time, for example.

ANSWER - No, I tell you now that there is no paper, it seems that something is missing ... I precisely beyond have my folder ... I can get it?

QUESTION - Yes, if I can see the document it seems strange to be missing ...

PRESIDENT - You may take your folder so see what time it is sent to this e-mail. There are other questions Lawyer meanwhile we provide this folder to the heads?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I note that the President on page 2 there is a point in time to November 4

2007, 3:24:19 am, and this is the first e-mail and so is the e-mail that sends Amanda. I just wanted to confirm if you think this is the date, because then there are the e-mail addresses of those who sent to Seattle.

ANSWER - This is the one I submitted to the Advocate,

now I have ... the date on which it was sent this, Sunday, Nov. 4, 2007, 3:24:19 am, that would be in the morning. This is the step where it is revealed the precise time of sending this e-mail.

APPLICATION - This President is a document already filed and is the same date as reported, so I just wanted to confirm the date. One last question related to the fact that the content of some documents ... a

clarification if you can help us, the content of the memorials and the diary were used by the media on several occasions. I wanted to know if she is aware or has had dealings with the media and if ... has never had relations with the media on this topic?

ANSWER - Absolutely not! Lawyer this was a

something that ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, just "absolutely not."

ANSWER - What we do not have interviews with the press, God forbid.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I have no further questions.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga

QUESTION - A question, if the doctor knows Colantone, always e-mail 4. You know when the American Police and when I say Nadia Fiorini ... is this?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Send the Police of Perugia, the e-mail that the gentleman had given him, more or less know the date?

ANSWER - I do not remember exactly ...

QUESTION - when the Police Of American Police of Perugia refer to the e-mail?

ANSWER - I do not remember exactly, but it certainly is somewhere in the acts of the Flying Squad, certainly based on the date of dispatch of November 15 by the Seattle police to the police in Perugia I think that has been taken note of this and what we have been entrusted by the translation was on the 16th, November 17th, at the latest.

QUESTION - I would remind you that Richard (Welk) November 17 refers to ...

ANSWER - So it must have been 18 or 19, I will not know

...

QUESTION - On 17, I tell you.

REPLY - But I know when she was sent to the e-mail. QUESTION - When the police headquarters in Perugia sends this message to Prosecutor's Office?

ANSWER - It is not for me to know, I do not know. QUESTION - Thank you.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - I wanted to ask you this, the lawyer who preceded me, has made reference to this famous account in which, as we have said, there are the claims

of some talks obviously relevant and there was this need to write undergone things and so some things were obviously omitted.

ANSWER - What account Lawyer?

QUESTION - Report of a conversation intercepted in the environment took place Sunday, November 4.

ANSWER - Yes.

APPLICATION - The lawyer had made a little 'questions by saying, "because here it says sometimes irrelevant" and she has already said that its ratings are. My question really is behind all of this and that is to ask you, you said before: "obviously saw that there was a hurry I could not stand to write, I had to do a sort of summary of relevant points." My question is: which elements of the investigation had been transferred to her to do this kind of evaluation? He knew what were the elements that had to be deeper in order to judge the relevance?

ANSWER - So what I was told was that,

that is: "feel if they say something about what has happened in the house, on what happened that day," I have said similar things.

QUESTION - Can you say whether she had been transferred, what were the things that at the moment, we are at the date of

4, emerged and were therefore deemed to be relevant to the investigations?

ANSWER - Absolutely not, I have to say that the police have always maintained strict secrecy with which we collaborate with the police for many years but obviously their investigations, their findings they always kept secret.

QUESTION - So you were able to express this opinion

of relevance or non-relevance based on this one since it was given ...

ANSWER - Well ... Lawyer

QUESTION - Can I finish the question and then she will respond!

Since there is a "significant and substantial", you know that here there are a number of things which are written, it is clear that when the Court will understand what she considered relevant or not, at this time I have to ask you some questions so the listen.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - If the police had only said, "what we believe is relevant what they say happened at home," she then would have to write if they were things that happened in the house, but here it is not so because there are a set of annotation.

ANSWER - It is my habit, even if the content is irrelevant to account for the work I have done because if I was an hour, two hours with headphones on his head I can not limit myself to verbally say "nothing," I'll show you that there is nothing writing what is there, albeit at a glance if their is irrelevant.

QUESTION - So you're saying that what he wrote were sometimes even things that he wanted to write irrelevant because they do not refer to the photograph that had given the police?

ANSWER - What is photography?

QUESTION - Those indications that he had given the police, that is: "tell me if they say something that has happened in Meredith's house."

ANSWER - They asked me this ... Excuse me, I started with the headset to listen to, of course, when one listens and takes notes at the same time is not easy to take all everything, so you focus on the things practically, in case something important emerges, based on what you've been pointed out. So I took some notes but also through concentrating listening for any items of interest, and then

later I proceeded to the playback of the same tape to deepen listening and verifying if there were actually useful data or not.

QUESTION - In what basis, because some things are written and other says "not relevant", what was the boundary between relevant and irrelevant?

ANSWER - Irrelevant that maybe spoke "I sleep, I eat something, I did not eat for four hours," so I do not know.

PRESIDENT - Doctor, I'm sorry, she's first in answer to the lawyer did the phrase "on the basis of what had been done this", maybe if you could tell us, and perhaps is what is required now Defense, what had been done on the basis of this and ...

ANSWER - a girl had been murdered, the police was interviewing all possible witnesses to this event for ...

PRESIDENT - The things that were done this. Therefore was killed a girl and then what had been done this?

ANSWER - not that I have had to this: "Look,

was killed a girl, "I already knew me.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - We want to know if there is a list "was killed with a knife or no knife" ...

ANSWER - No, no.

QUESTION - Can you let me finish! ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Just you listen to me, answer my questions

and run out immediately. She was made a list of circumstances to be able to evaluate this significance, given that it was also entrusted with the task of evaluation, if you have to evaluate three hours of talk time, you have to understand what is important I can tell you, "eye, the roommates were these, Raffaele and Amanda are engaged, was killed with a knife, assessing the relevance, currency only ... ", that was given some circumstance which has allowed it to tighten the field of relevance or she was just said, "a young girl was killed in a house"?

ANSWER - I have not said, "was killed a girl young man in a house "because he knew that all Perugia and I knew it too well. They did not say anything definite, says: "listen only emerge if something relevant," but I think I have said this lawyer because they are 22 years that I work at police headquarters, now I know how it works for the police of what interest of the police.

QUESTION - But I'm asking the judgment of materiality should be based on a certain area of things that may be relevant, such as the directions said to entrust this judgment?

ANSWER - They told me: "There un'ambientale - was not the first time in my working life, professional

that such a thing happened - if it emerges feel something useful to the investigation. "

QUESTION - Thank you Chairman.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - A preliminary President, if it enabled, but this report is produced the documents before the Court?

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - ask if the acquisition is not a product like this ...

PRESIDENT - There is at least a memory I seem to remember that it was ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - We produce us.

PRESIDENT - There is required to produce it and feel the consent of the parties.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - So we will request a full transcript of President, the appointment of an expert.

PRESIDENT - But if there is no consensus acquisition we can acquire.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Certainly.

It is recognized that the report is accepted, to which reference has been made several times, the consent of all parties.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE

QUESTION - When she is called as an interpreter is alongside those who are or will be heard alongside the

Minutes of the Meeting?

ANSWER - Absolutely, always on the side of those who must be heard.

QUESTION - In the end she said she reads the report. ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Of course, at that what has been heard.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - I reread translating it because obviously the record is in Italian?

ANSWER - Sure, sure.

QUESTION - Amanda Knox has made comments with respect to the verbalization of the acts that she has translated?

ANSWER - Absolutely not.

APPLICATION - Once its various tests say.

ANSWER - No, if I may say this, ever in my 22 years as an interpreter in the police, I learned to speak according to the knowledge of the person in front of me, if English is not a native speaker there are problems, if a person from a third country that knows a little 'English, I evaluate how speak English, though I realize that this person knows little English but then I make myself understood, to be certain that he understood what I was told by the police to translate I'll tell you half Italian, half English, what he knows, with their actions, we write it on a piece, so I'm mathematically sure that they have understood what Amanda that has been said because it is English-speaking.

QUESTION - Do not just get it, I had also applied for has

challenged the content of verbalization? ANSWER - No, no.

QUESTION - He asked them to be written down the questions and answers in their native language, in English?

ANSWER - No. QUESTION - Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - I wanted to just ask a circumstance to which she referred, said that when he entered the house on Via Della Pergola was Amanda Knox ...

ANSWER - No, we got together.

QUESTION - And more, but I'm interested in this, this, and described the attitude of the same, in particular, is busy, especially among other things has also referred to this a red neck. If this could be more precise, give description, if it was red, red, was a boil that had been flushed. What was this red mark?

RESPONSE - Mr President I became aware of this detail before, however, not in the house on Via Della

pergol

QUESTION - When she noticed?

ANSWER - We need to take a step back, always in the same Sunday, November 4, after Amanda was heard by the Flying Squad, about three in the afternoon apparently ended the interrogation by the various people of the Police was made to accommodate a room where it is generally made to accommodate the public. I then I must have fallen away, we had finished the interview and went to smoke a cigarette, I do not remember when I came back in the room as there was virtually Amanda alone, alone in that moment, I was particularly touched by the image of this girl, because I remember as if I had before at this time, as if my brain had photographed this picture, this girl exhausted, exhausted with his head back on the wall. Since wore, had

neck out, had a suit with a zipper front or I was hit, my brain again, the extreme pallor of this girl who stood out in a red, but I got focused too much because I was interested I know at that point, "are you okay? Do you need anything? ", This.

QUESTION - So this red mark did not dwell more

that much?

ANSWER - I had no reason to do so.

QUESTION - Can you say if it was a pimple that was red? ANSWER - It was a red spot on a white neck. I then

I had no reason ...

QUESTION - But a more rounded stain or longer? ANSWER - No, this is not him say.

QUESTION - I spoke to someone this red mark?

ANSWER - No, because then there was the succession of other events, things to translate, I frankly did not I thought more.

QUESTION - Okay.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I asked the acquisition of another document, there is another report, more than 20, a document that has the same characteristic, is an account of interception of November 20, 2007.

PRESIDENT - If there is the consent of all the parties can acquire. The heads can go.

The Court suspends the process. The Court resumes the process.

PRESIDENT - At 15:00 resuming the hearing, all operators are requested to allow the resumption of the same audience with the continued examination of witnesses.

It is recognized that these are the parts of which date is indicated at the beginning of this hearing, it gives also acknowledge the presence of the Advocate Maresca for Part Civil.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - DONNINO ANNA -

THE HEADS, warned PURSUANT TO ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Donnino Anna, born in Viterbo September 17, 1962.

PRESIDENT - Please prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - What do you job?

ANSWER - I am reviewer translator interpreter at the

Police of Perugia. QUESTION - How long? ANSWER - For more than 22 years.

QUESTION - He has carried out his expertise in the investigation for the death of Meredith Kercher?

ANSWER - Yes, absolutely. I have seen several people in the course of full and I translated a lot of written material, and I also provided for the transcription of some environmental.

QUESTION - She was present during the hearing of Amanda

Knox on the night between 5 and 6? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Can you tell us what happened, when she came and what happened, except, of course, statements that can not be restored.

ANSWER - I remember receiving a call the Assistant Zugarini Lorraine, exactly the precise time and I can not say, but should have been roughly around 23.30 because I was already in

bed and in principle I go to sleep more or less a bit 'before that time. I received this call and Assistance Zugarini told me that I had to go to the police station that there was a need of my speech. So I did, I got dressed and I went to the police station. We must consider that I do not live in Perugia, dress away, are about forty kilometers, near Castiglione del Lago, so they are not immediately come to the police station, c'avrÃ² put a roughly three-quarters of an hour, but I think to be reached no later than half past midnight and at that point I started to do my job.

QUESTION - Then she came and began to

carry out the work as an interpreter at the hearing of the Knox?

ANSWER - Exactly.

QUESTION - Do you remember it was Amanda? What was his behavior? Then we more specifically.

ANSWER - I've been made into a room where there was just the Inspector Ficarra the table, another colleague of the SCO, I only remember the name of it was called Ivan, a policeman, and there was Miss Knox sitting, I sat next to her, introduced myself, I said I was an interpreter and I was there to assist it, to help you understand and initially I saw it was peaceful enough, was responding to questions that were asked.

QUESTION - There was at one point a change in its behavior? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - In particular when?

ANSWER - This time remember it very well, it is printed in my mind, there was a time when Miss Knox was asked why had not gone to work and she replied that she had received

a message from Patrick Lumumba in which Mr.

Patrick informed the ... DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - This is ...

PRESIDENT - Yes, please, maybe these will not be usable. The change that occurs when and in what does it consist?

ANSWER - The change was just after this message, in the sense that the young lady said not to have responded to the message of Patrick, the opposite was shown the response message she had a real emotional shock. It's something that I was very impressed because the first thing he did is that he immediately hands over his ears, made this gesture, shaking his head, hunching the shoulders, and said, "it's him! It's him! It was him! I can feel it "and so on.

PRESIDENT - So an attitude ...

ANSWER - An attitude extremely participated. PRESIDENT - These hands to his head as he described.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - the head or ears? ANSWER - To the ears, sorry, I made the gesture because of

mimic this gesture he did and he did repeatedly in the course.

QUESTION - From that point on?

ANSWER - From that point onwards. Over all I wanted to add that it all happened in an extreme emotional involvement, one thing I will not forget easily. She cried and made these statements, he was visibly shaken and scared and just based on this huge emotional all of us, me first, we have believed!

QUESTION - At some point what happened? The minutes shall be

closed?

ANSWER - The record at that point was ... were written in his, what he was telling, was stopped and the record was, I think, at that point she was asked if he wanted a lawyer.

QUESTION - And what do you answer?

ANSWER - You said no, I remember that he said no.

QUESTION - Were you present in the later stage when

over the preparation of the report where it was Amanda? She was always with her, Amanda or did you separate?

ANSWER - No, I have always remained in the room, I have never left.

QUESTION - And what did he do? Amanda had that attitude?

ANSWER - At a time when there was this emotional breakdown, she just has also slumped in the chair, we did move, she sat on another chair, we expected him to calm down a bit and by the time she has just begun to tell, so, again, rather owned, very anxious, very credile.

QUESTION - He was in the same room or was brought out?

ANSWER - Yes, absolutely, always in the same room. QUESTION - There was someone, some cop who was also he there?

ANSWER - Yes I explain Miss Knox was sitting at the table, I was to his left and translating what she was saying, his demands, his answers and in front of her was this ... an agent of the SCO in fact, I remember that his name was Ivan, who throughout the evening was comforted, he reassured, I remember perfectly that I was extremely impressed by the attitude of this person, his humanity

and by his patience, held her hands and pulled them stroked because he realized the girl was particularly overwhelmed.

QUESTION - How long did this phase before it was

did the other verbal, remember? ANSWER - Well it's been a bit 'of time.

QUESTION - Do you remember ... you described, however I ask him, suffered threats, suffered beatings?

ANSWER - Absolutely.

QUESTION - He suffered ill-treatment? ANSWER - Absolutely not.

APPLICATION - The relief supplies were offered?

ANSWER - So in the evening, yes, in the sense that I remember someone dropped on the ground floor, it was night melts, then to the police station at that time there are vending machines, there is nothing else, someone fell to the ground and took to all those who drink, hot drinks and something to eat. I myself took a coffee, so I think she took something.

QUESTION - Then what happened?

ANSWER - Then was heard from her doctor.

QUESTION - this hearing as coming out? It was a spontaneous statement?

ANSWER - Yes, absolutely. She was asked, it was already night melts, we were all pretty tired and was asked if he wanted to make spontaneous declarations and if he wanted to talk about what she remembered what had happened, she said yes because they also wanted to make it act and recuperation.

QUESTION - Do you remember the words he used when she was determined to make these statements?

ANSWER - I remember this continuous gesture to bring hands to his ears, shaking his head,

say ... he also said something against Patrick, saying, "it's him! It's bad. " I also had the feeling that he feared in his words, so he said, and he said, also told me that during the night she had made this gesture because it was hidden in the kitchen because he felt the girl's screams, the cries of his ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - But on spontaneous declarations ask ...

PRESIDENT - Here on this maybe this can not report.
ANSWER - This, however, has said to me the young lady.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - The act of bringing his hands to his ears alludes ...
ear is not to the head?
ANSWER - Yes, the ears. QUESTION - Does it mean? ANSWER - I look ...

PRESIDENT - Only the gesture, then the interpretation of the gesture each can
offer.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - So you decided to make these spontaneous
declarations.
ANSWER - Yes, naturally.
QUESTION - She was present as an interpreter? ANSWER - Yes, absolutely yes.
QUESTION - She made these statements, she has translated and it was Amanda when
he made these statements?
ANSWER - We say that his story was very clear. PRESIDENT - put aside ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - How was it like attitude. ANSWER - It was quite
proven, yes, it was quite
proven, was shocked but it was as if he had
freed of a burden.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, we're only ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I should mention that I can refer to the facts only,
without any kind of coloration nor of personal interpretations here because
we're not doing an evaluation.
ANSWER - Okay, I will.

PRESIDENT - It can be difficult to separate the two things, but need to do so,
the witness must report.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - He continued to cry, he continued to repeat these
gestures?
ANSWER - Yes, absolutely, yes.

QUESTION - Then at some point the report is finished. ANSWER - Yes.
QUESTION - After what happened?
RESPONSE - After she told me that she wanted to rest, he wanted to rest for a
while ', and so it was done, in the sense that there was a chair, we did sit
myself I brought a chair, she placed her feet the chair and was almost asleep
for a while 'time.
QUESTION - You were always present?
ANSWER - I was always there, I was there in the room.
QUESTION - What happened? She slept a little '?
ANSWER - I think he dozed, but not the deepest sleep he was resting, yes.
QUESTION - Then what happened? She until now remained

[.], on this [.] day

ANSWER - I am certainly remained until eight o'clock in the morning because I waited, I waited for my colleague to give me change, I absent but a couple of times after the transcript to spontaneous declarations because I went downstairs to take a coffee and then later also at the bar, when it opened, have been around seven and a half.

QUESTION - So then about eight ...

ANSWER - I left, yes.

APPLICATION - For that day she had done? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You took over the Colantone?

ANSWER - Yes, exactly my colleague Colantone.

QUESTION - She then said to have carried out other activities, translation?

ANSWER - Exactly. I have taken care of especially the correspondence say, all that ... correspondence from the prison and my other colleague I have treated this aspect, most have some environmental transcribed in prison too.

QUESTION - During training her, corresponding to his duties have perceived, you have come to the items for which you have immediately informed the Flying Squad and then investigations have been carried out, there have been developments in investigation on the basis of what you had seen?

ANSWER - I say this correspondence was large, was a large amount of material that us weekly provvedevamo to sort, read and then were referring to the Police for writing. We say big things are important for investigation do not think have emerged if aspects of their character, behavior of this girl.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Again! This is not a fact, this is an evaluation.

ANSWER - Yes, but I tell you what ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - On what basis then do these assessments on personality?

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - What she told the squad.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You have stated on the basis of your business as a performer you have decided to report some respects.

ANSWER - Certain aspects.

QUESTION - On which were then investigated or not? ANSWER - I do not think about the letters I do not think, I do not seems short.

QUESTION - Activities of interception?

ANSWER - Activities of interception I transcribed, I think, six environmental in prison and I am just write down the content of the environmental and then I handed everything to the squad.

QUESTION - What you know on the basis of these environmental investigations were carried out then? They have been investigating these developments interceptions?

ANSWER - Presumably yes doctor, but I do not know, frankly it's not my job, that I can not say.

QUESTION - On the evening of 2 she was present to the police station?

ANSWER - On the evening of 2 no.

QUESTION - So when she arrived?

ANSWER - I arrived the third morning, I joined the

3 morning.

QUESTION - And the third attend the hearing, he heard other times Amanda?

ANSWER - No, on the night of 5 was the first time I had never met her before.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - I pray Civil Parties.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI

APPLICATION - A completion and integration of cross-examination questions of the Public Prosecutor, I am referring to the morning of November 6, when Miss Amanda had to make the summary information. On that occasion Miss Amanda was hit in the head with fists and slaps?

ANSWER - Absolutely not.

QUESTION - In particular was hit in the head by a cop?

ANSWER - Absolutely not!

QUESTION - Miss Amanda was still threatened? ANSWER - No, categorically rule it out!

QUESTION - With thirty years in prison ... ANSWER - No, no, absolutely.

QUESTION - It was still injured, in the sense that she was told that she was a liar?

ANSWER - I stayed in the room all night and did not see any of this.

QUESTION - So the statements that have been made have been made spontaneously, voluntarily?

ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - This ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, we do the questions ... please.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - She attended thus also the summary information provided at 5.45?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - It took place in the same way and

same way as those of 1 .45? ANSWER - I would say so. Absolutely, yes.

APPLICATION - To remove any doubt at all that

each other, with regard to the limited information made at 5.45 Miss Amanda was hit in the head with fists and slaps?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - In particular was hit in the head by a cop?

ANSWER - No.

INTERVENTION - He said.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - No, I was referring to the 1 .45 ...

PRESIDENT - Yes, but sorry Lawyer has responded.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - No Mr. President, I apologize ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The previous question was more general, referred to throughout the night, so it is implied that the minutes of 1 .45, the question is ...

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - No, my questions are pertinent President for a very simple reason that I explain to Mr Dalla Vedova.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, no.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - All explain President! If time permits I would say ...

PRESIDENT - Lawyer do the questions.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - Miss Amanda says: "I was struck in the head" and that makes spontaneous statements to Dr. Micheli says explicitly and has been a matter of a fact then incredible media, has expressly said: "I was struck in the head by a police officer" . So there are two summary information ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - What is the question?

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - The question is at 5.45 when it makes the summary information in particular has been hit in the head by a cop Miss Amanda?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - We oppose this request because it has already been done previously in a general way all night, so I think I have already answered.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - I've done before for the minutes of the summary information 1 .45, now I refer to those 5.45.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry but I remember that Advocate had foretold this report refer to the next with the other question: "Also another interrogation were conducted ...".

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - was to abbondanziam for further clarification.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, but the need to avoid a repeat questions.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - It is not a repeat, are two summary information.

PRESIDENT - But we avoid more than words.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - Briefly in summary information 1 .45 and 5:45 someone has beaten in the head Amanda?

ANSWER - No.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But this is one more repetition! Is insisting on the same application already made, and to which, already there was no response, we oppose!

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - Thank you, Mr. President, I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - Please defenses.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga

QUESTION - On the evening of 6, because 1 .45 formally begin the summary information, this interview, let's call it, a plan that took place in the police station?

ANSWER - On the third floor, in the offices of the Flying Squad.

QUESTION - At the same time also being also the interrogation, quotes, reminder?

ANSWER - I did not know that.

QUESTION - No I asked her if, minutes before, minutes later, we have the one time opening and closing, the only other opening in the contemporary, slightly earlier, I do not know, in the same plane of Police headquarters was carried out the interrogation of Sollecito.

ANSWER - Yes, I'm aware of this.

QUESTION - Do you remember that someone coming from the room where he was being interrogated Sollecito walked into your room, where he was being interrogated Amanda, and said, that Sollecito spoke in some way, quote, had taken the 'alibi for Amanda or some phrase like that?

ANSWER - Let's say that I have seen, I remember that the Inspector Ficarra came out ...

QUESTION - No, I'm wondering if anyone ...

ANSWER - If someone came in then no, no.

PRESIDENT - So you remember the Inspector Ficarra coming out ...

ANSWER - I remember the Inspector Ficarra that came out.

PRESIDENT - But no one enters or especially if entering said this?

ANSWER - Absolutely not.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - After this someone came in, someone came out ...

PRESIDENT - No, someone came out remember.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No, no one came in, and eventually someone came out.

THE PRESIDENT - We are the responses of the heads!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - But we days ago we heard ... we were here in this room ... I commented aloud but 15 days ago we heard that someone has entered.

PRESIDENT - You can do it as a challenge.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Then I have the minutes of the previous hearing because they are not transcribed, but in this respect I challenge. After someone came out came out at the time of the incident or messaggino Patrick had already emerged before?

REPLY - Look exactly no, not at the same time, however, not immediately after ... that is, once the Inspector Ficarra came back, I mean this. That is the message that this was going on, now I do not remember exactly if it has already been said before and it turned out later, this particular frankly do not remember.

APPLICATION - The fact is that the message then there was a change of mood, what she said.

ANSWER - Exactly.

QUESTION - Do you know that there is a bar to the police station. ANSWER - There is a bar.

QUESTION - is managed by an individual or by some ...

ANSWER - It seems to me to be a private, frankly I do not know. QUESTION - Do you know when they open in the morning?

ANSWER - No, I do when I get service at eight o'clock the bar is open, now I do not know exactly when it opens.

QUESTION - Thank you.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - Always on the night of 5 and 6 you know, said he arrived at half past midnight.

ANSWER - Yes, more or less, more or less.

QUESTION - Do you know when Amanda came in The police station?

ANSWER - No, frankly, no.

QUESTION - So you do not know how long that day Amanda was heard?

ANSWER - No, I was notified by phone, I was

told to go and I went, other aspects frankly do not know at that time.

QUESTION - She was always there with Amanda until it is gone?

ANSWER - Yes, I was always present. I have, as I said before, absent a couple of times, but it was already morning to go for a coffee, but I say it was already almost daily, it was daytime.

QUESTION - She was a translation of the questions that were asked by exactly?

ANSWER - Inspector Ficarra in the first place and also the agent of the SCO Ivano, I do not remember the name, which in fact was going to feel it, they were both the questions and I provided the translation of one hour and then another.

QUESTION - Do you remember if some of the questions have addressed the fact that there was no evidence that Amanda was in Via Della Pergola?

ANSWER - I can not understand in what sense?

QUESTION - I mean not remember if it was said to Amanda that now there was evidence that she was at the house in Via Pergola that night, the night of the murder?

ANSWER - No, I do not think she was told.

QUESTION - There was someone who used the word: "You are a liar"?

ANSWER - Never.

QUESTION - Always in translating these questions if you remember one of the questions concerned the fact that Raffaele Sollecito had made statements other than those of Amanda?

ANSWER - This was mentioned, she was told, if I'm not mistaken, I repeat, however, it's been a lot of time so the details of the questions I can not tell its report accurately.

QUESTION - Only the topic.

ANSWER - Yes, maybe something about it she was told. QUESTION - What ...

ANSWER - What Raffaele perhaps not giving was saying different things than his.

QUESTION - Do you remember even the reference to the message mobile phone text exactly as it was used? The questions focused on this message?

ANSWER - There was a very simple, very straightforward, I asked her if she had the young lady responded to the message and she said "no".

PRESIDENT - only the questions that were asked. ANSWER - Yes, this about the message.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But the reference to the message as born? What was the first question? Who brings out the message?

ANSWER - Because she was asked why had not gone to work that night.

QUESTION - So what?

ANSWER - It turned out that he had received the message from his employer stated that the fact that you had to go to work and from there came forth the whole.

APPLICATION - Everything, that is, there was a response Knox? ANSWER - There has been a response.

QUESTION - Do you remember the text of the reply?

ANSWER - The answer ...

PRESIDENT - But maybe this ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - He did the translation. ANSWER - The text was in Italian.

PRESIDENT - Maybe we are the questions.

ANSWER - It was in Italian.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - (Unintelligible - off microphone).

PRESIDENT - Please, maybe we can ... INTERVENTION - If you want them to do lasciamogliele.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - On the agreement of the parties there is no limitation.

PRESIDENT - Please.

ANSWER - The response text was in Italian.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - As ever in Italian, Amanda is American?

ANSWER - This I can not say, ask ... I do not know.

The text, the response message of Miss Knox was in Italian.

QUESTION - She had the ability to speak and write in Italian according to his assessment on the evening of 5 and 6. To

REPLY - Look ...

PRESIDENT - He said a few words in Italian Amanda?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, included enough what she was asked.

PRESIDENT - So spoke a little 'in Italian? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Returning examination, it lasted, these questions have lasted up to 1 .45, then they are interrupted and then resumed at 5.45 with the drafting of the minutes?

ANSWER - No, no. Let's say there was an interruption in the sense that it was ... Now the time I frankly do not remember, but I think the record was extended in a short time, after all, when closed then there was a short break because he was also trying to comfort the girl, she just had this shock emotional then I have also personally tried to help her and then there was the hearing of Dr. Mignini.

QUESTION - Do you remember more or less what time it arrived this

emotional shock?

ANSWER - This just can not remember.

QUESTION - But before the preparation of the minutes of 1 .45 or after?

ANSWER - No, there was this emotional shock when which was referred the message.

QUESTION - And so the message of Lumumba, then connecting the call because of Lumumba? You may remember at what time?

ANSWER - This I do not remember exactly, I'm sorry, but exactly in the time that it was, I do not know, I have come to this hour, and frankly I have not looked at the clock.

QUESTION - 1 .45 But the report was written before or after this emotional shock?

ANSWER - It was written at a time when she had this ... was looking at that moment to understand how he had spent the evening. So he was trying to figure out what he had done by a certain time until the next morning and in the course of this series of questions also came out the detail of the message and at that point it was written report, which was closed, then all the rest.

QUESTION - Why the message had this reaction like that.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry lawyer, but we can not ... ANSWER - I'll tell you.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, no, wait I did not finish the question. I wanted to understand this emotional shock was caused by the message, the message has been read or view that has been done or is there any other matters of fact relating to the analysis?

ANSWER - I'll tell you why I remember it distinctly, she said she did not reply to the message. But once the message was shown the course that was a blatant lie!

QUESTION - You remember he found the message on my phone? PRESIDENT - I'm sorry lawyer, who was in that moment?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Yes, who noticed that the phone had a message.

ANSWER - I think the Inspector Ficarra ... that is, there was this cell phone on the table that was controlled, I now ...

QUESTION - But it was Amanda see this phone? ANSWER - Yes, yes, she has delivered, it was showing, had already been delivered to the agents.

QUESTION - Do you remember in particular if the message has been done to see Amanda?

ANSWER - If the message is done see? PRESIDENT - Lawyer if can be concluded.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - In particular, Amanda has been to emphasize the message, to see the message?

ANSWER - No, no, she said she did not respond to this message.

QUESTION - But she also said that he gave phone. ANSWER - Yes, but he had already delivered first, already the Agents, if I'm not mistaken, you were viewing, already bef

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry doctor, maybe the lawyer asks if she can point out right now and that is if your phone is Amanda Knox was angry and had made available to those who were examining, questioning. The message he found on the phone or Amanda Knox was someone ...

ANSWER - No, it was someone else.

PRESIDENT - The operating that has found? ANSWER - It was someone else.

PRESIDENT - Not the Amanda Knox showed?

ANSWER - not you personally.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The message was spotted by an officer who was there in the room?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember who? In the end there were so many.

ANSWER - I think the Inspector Ficarra, but I'm not sure.

QUESTION - You said that this message has caused an emotional shock it must have been a special moment when he came out of this?

RESPONSE - Very special, yes, it's true.

QUESTION - And she does not remember how they found out about this message?

ANSWER - Then someone, I think the Inspector Ficarra or Assistance Zugarini, I do not remember, he picked up this phone and this message has been read, has been recovered, perhaps she had not deleted. I do not know frankly Lawyer in detail.

QUESTION - Lady she was the victim of an accident where he had a fractured leg?

ANSWER - Yes, it's true.

APPLICATION - This episode she told the Knox that night?

ANSWER - Yes QUESTION - Why?

ANSWER - Because I saw that the girl ... I would like to start by saying one thing, usually when I'm doing these hearings, the first thing that I take care of is to try to cure the report immediately, to get in touch with the person ... over all these are young girls, I'm a mother of two girls more or less the age of the Miss Knox and I fully realized that she could be in need of assistance.

QUESTION - Do you remember that you have also referred to the Knox from this experience his staff has experienced trauma under which failed to recall the incident fracture of the leg?

ANSWER - Yes, it is true, let him know.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - President, I apologize, Defense Knox is in cross-examination then you should also limit the object ...

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - We are evaluating the activity of the heads.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - But if we cross examination of these questions ...

PRESIDENT - The lawyer, however, is indicated in paragraph 60 in relation to the evening / night, so we can return ...

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - has not been examined. PRESIDENT - Please.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - then you said that you have created a relationship of human assistance for a difficult time that he said.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And he told this personal experience where she, by virtue of this incident, he had a lapse of memory.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So he proposed to Amanda that perhaps she, who was in that situation so difficult at that time could have a lapse of memory in relation to all the questions that were laying?

ANSWER - No, I did not say.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - President's opposition to this ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - (Unintelligible - off microphone).

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - No, I do when I want to opposition first, then the President shall decide. In any case, since it is in cross-examination I am opposed to this form of a formal examination.

PRESIDENT - It is true that in cross-examination, however, the scope is a bit 'track list lyrics indication that the prosecutor had formulated and here it comes "for the evening / night between November 5 and November 6." So we can be part of this.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - This is a finding of a fact that has occurred in the evening of 5-6, it is a fact, so I'm trying to understand.

THE PRESIDENT - We can proceed.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - So she proposed to Amanda the possibility of life in times of trauma one can have a lapse of memory?

ANSWER - No, I do not let him know so Lawyer.

Again, since my main goal, I do it regularly, trying to best assist the person who is in a condition like this, I often use also of the aspects of my private life. I spoke also had two daughters, who had been thrown out of bed, joking that night to come to the police station, that I had left to sleep, we even, perhaps Miss Knox does not remember it, we even exchanged a couple of jokes in German because she told me that she had been in Berlin. So in the context of all this I I've also spoken to have had this experience because I do it regularly because I realized that it was still a situation that required a minimum of solidarity.

QUESTION - However, his experience was based on the fact that he had, in a difficult time, had a lapse of memory?

PRESIDENT - This aspect.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - (Unintelligible - off microphone).

PRESIDENT - Do not translate, let us translate the answers,

are the responses of heads!

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But you say so. PRESIDENT - If he said it was registered.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - She was recorded response.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - But it is impossible to make an examination in this way, if I have to be constantly interrupted! I'm doing absolutely legitimate questions of a fact that has elapsed ...

PRESIDENT - The important thing is that you would like to avoid returning to the same questions, that maybe if you can avoid taking into account the responses coming.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - In the face of these his stories she noted that Knox had some difficulty remembering even the night with the urge?

ANSWER - Yes, I've noticed. I say it was a tale its extremely vague, uncertain, inconsistent, he could not remember, to give precise answers.

QUESTION - When did this crying? ANSWER - No, not at that time.

QUESTION - But she said that at one point she cried, when he had the emotional shock ...

ANSWER - Yes, yes.

QUESTION - You do not remember exactly what time did this happen emotional shock? Sorry to insist on this but it seems important.

REPLY - But if I keep saying that I do not remember, I can not ...

QUESTION - I get it. On the issue of the Advocate, she at one point said that someone would ask

if he wanted to ... ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - How come this has not been put in the minutes, in two statements?

ANSWER - This lawyer does not really know him say, I was not to draw up minutes.

QUESTION - Do you remember who did this party, who has offered to Amanda who said: "If you want you can have a lawyer?"

ANSWER - The Inspector Ficarra.

QUESTION - Do you remember if it was before 1 .45?

ANSWER - Lawyer again, I do not remember the time. QUESTION - But it was in the morning when the sun was already there?

ANSWER - No, no, I have not looked at the window, it was focused on other things, I do not remember if there was light outside.

QUESTION - You do not remember that someone said: "At this moment the lawyer is worse for you?"

ANSWER - I do not understand.

QUESTION - What the he said: "at this time a lawyer is bad for you, having a lawyer is worse for you?"

ANSWER - The Miss Knox? QUESTION - Yes.

ANSWER - Absolutely not.

QUESTION - Do you remember also that in the report that Raffaele had just made statements other than someone said, "unless you tell me what you know will put you in prison for thirty years?"

ANSWER - No, I reiterate that these things were not spoken!

QUESTION - You've never translated a word like this in English?

ANSWER - No, I rule that out.

APPLICATION - The correspondence can be more precise? What are these correspondences that you have translated?

RESPONSE - guideline will be more than 600 letters incoming and outgoing

QUESTION - In that period he was given this assignment, since until when?

ANSWER - The whole period after the detention of Miss Knox, just now when I started this activity is specifically I can not say, but I guess in the month of November has begun.

QUESTION - Up?

ANSWER - Until the end of the investigations. QUESTION - Then in May-June 2008?

ANSWER - Yes, roughly.

QUESTION - She also participated in the eavesdropping?

ANSWER - A few, yes.

QUESTION - Can you tell us how exactly took place? ANSWER - Either I or my colleague, this aspect of the

investigation was handled particularly by my colleague Colantone, I have assisted a few times when she could not bear to prison. So one of us with the agents of the Flying Squad went to prison, we were put in a room next to the place, to another room where the interview took place between Miss Knox and her parents or those who came to visit and we listened through headphones full, live interview and then were referring immediately if there were any particular things that were skipped eye. Obviously, in a second time they proceeded to the playback dell'ambientale we saw also in video and transcription of the content.

QUESTION - In relation to his claim that sottolineavate what you jumped to the eye, in what way and with what criteria? What were the topics that you think might be of interest to the

investigation? He had specific instructions or was at his discretion?

ANSWER - No, absolutely not, I attenevo to what

I was told to do. For things relevant to the investigation I refer to everything related to the case, then every time you talked about the process or of the fact itself of course these pieces were made in full, the rest, such as when the father often told so fun of his misadventures in Italy, of what he had done during his days of course these traits of the interview were made, including a lack of time, in indirect speech and summarized in this regard.

QUESTION - So summarized from you?

ANSWER - Abstracts from us of course.

QUESTION - So it was not a translation of the words, but it was a summary of the context and the subject matter?

ANSWER - Yes, but in an increasingly detailed. QUESTION - But what were the instructions she had received

to assess the importance of these documents?

First, if we can even say who gave you the job and if he said "check this subject," I wanted to know.

ANSWER - Lawyer we have been asked to point out and to report fully all that was said in the course of environmental regard to the case in question. Whenever Miss Knox made reference to his particular case, in the process, of course, these issues were listened to, transcribed in full and he was given some significance, even graphically. This we were told to do.

QUESTION - But the arguments they were, what she considered to be important?

ANSWER - These related to the case, the case of the case, the

His memories of Meredith, to what he had done before, everything about this process.

QUESTION - So you found in the correspondence items of this kind?

ANSWER - No, I'm talking about the environment.

QUESTION - Yes, I'm sorry, but it also refers to correspondence?

ANSWER - Yes, even the match.

QUESTION - You have made a total translation, oath, word for word, or have made a summary of the correspondence?

ANSWER - I'm telling Lawyer that are more than 600 letters, Miss Knox over all is a skilled writer, in the sense that she loves to write, she also writes letters to ten pages, of course, in relationships, in the transcripts of the letters we have translated into Full-important letters related to the case, while the other, less interesting, for example, when he spoke of his friends, when he spoke of his family, of course those were ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, friends with reference to what friends, those found in Italy?

ANSWER - No, friends in America, everything about ... and of course those were reported in a summarized because there was just the time over everything to make a complete translation of all that material.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - You participated to environmental of 17 November, the report dell'ambientale?

ANSWER - No, that's if I'm not mistaken was transcribed by my colleague.

QUESTION - Do you remember those environmental attended? ANSWER - I think I've taken care of six environmental, but not

those of November, the ones that took place in March if I'm not mistaken.

QUESTION - Do you know if as a result of your activities were made activities further investigation in relation to environmental and correspondence, these 600 letters?

ANSWER - We have delivered all the material, then obviously this is not our responsibility, not ours.

QUESTION - So you do not know if there were other activities?

ANSWER - No, I do not know.

QUESTION - There was correspondence between the two defendants that she has analyzed?

ANSWER - No, I have not translated anything about it. QUESTION - With Knox and Lumumba?

ANSWER - Not. QUESTION - E Knox and Guede? ANSWER - Not. QUESTION - And Lawyers? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Not even Americans?

ANSWER - No, no.

QUESTION - With journalists? ANSWER - No.
QUESTION - With politicians? ANSWER - No.
QUESTION - So who were family and friends?
ANSWER - Family and friends, of course.

PRESIDENT - Lawyers so, but politicians ... could be a politician who does not ... becomes a bit 'in trouble if the heads or categories of persons, it would mean that the witness should know which category the destination is to avoid make it difficult.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I did it only because the President came out information in the media that made reference to alleged correspondence with characters, both Italian and foreign, who hold the political office, in this sense was wondering if you had knowledge. One last question, its activities, in relation to the media, she has been in contact with the media?
ANSWER - Absolutely not.
QUESTION - Thank you.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga

QUESTION: A question about letters, but these 600 letters she has translated, has translated Dr. Colantone, you've translated ... translated, look, first because it seemed sunteggiate activity of the previous heads, now it looks like his work. The correspondence of 600 letters, it is not a major issue, you did together, split the tasks?
ANSWER - We are four performers to the police station and we All four worked, we worked all those in this case and generally work as a team in the sense that we distribute the work, we check it at each other, then we are all aware of everything and also about these letters was made a thing similar.
QUESTION - If I show the summary information for Amanda 1 of 6 .45, but I tell you that there is a question, and I ask: why is not verbalized any questions from those who ... even the acronym ADR, nothing?
REPLY - this I do not ...
QUESTION - You said you had questions, translated them, there is not one.
ANSWER - If there is ...

PRESIDENT - Ask the Lawyer as never lists the questions nor the ADR?
ANSWER - I do not know about.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - There is opposition, the spontaneous declaration was interrogation, with the questions and objections.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Meanwhile summary information are those of 1 .45 and I repeat it is not verbalized any question why? Clearer than that you can not be. There are currently no questions or have not verbalized?

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - President, I apologize, there is opposition because the doctor did not draw up the minutes and then ...

PRESIDENT - But we do meet the heads. DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - Translated all!
CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - But it is not the one who wrote the verbal, for which it can not respond.

PRESIDENT - But, sorry, let the questions asked. We have heard, are the summary information and not the spontaneous declarations for which applications well ... So on this summary information, ask your lawyer, would not be given the questions asked to the person who was examined, either in terms of ADR, this symbol, if you know.

ANSWER - I Mr. President, honestly, the appearance purely technical and formal do not know the answer.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - I wanted to respond to the Public

Ministry ...

PRESIDENT - No, let's just text and questions to all! DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - The spontaneous declarations memory that if they are in the file as the body of a crime of slander, which is fine, these spontaneous were declared absolutely useless even to the end of clarification.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Questions Mr President, there is opposition!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - No, because someone always calls ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, we are now examining the witness, if there are any questions to ask do it otherwise we go beyond!

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - But also be clear. That's all the.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - Still under cross-examination that night of what has been said so far. Reported responding to colleagues that she would talk to Amanda that had children, who had been awakened at night etc.. to create a human relationship. I ask the reasons why his role was merely an interpreter, and then translate, it was necessary to create a human relationship.

ANSWER - It was necessary Lawyer, yes, because it is something that I usually do and it's important because it establishes a relationship of trust with the

person that you have next. Over all I do as a mediator, so I'm not, as you say, a simple executor and a machine that translates words. I have a next door person who is still in the midst of people who do not speak your language, I'm through it and I just feel compelled also to establish a relationship that goes a bit

'more beyond what purely technique. I do it with all its usual, I did not only that night, I do it always.

QUESTION - I ask only what he wants to say "I am a mediator "? Its role is not to be, when you make a formal report, with questions and answers, the mere translator or she ... I mean, I better define the mediator.

ANSWER - The mediator, however, means that I can also, in the words of the person to perceive the needs. So I do also in charge of this and I represent them.

QUESTION - So as part of this role in which he made a mediator then decided to tell Amanda also a personal experience regarding the breaking of legs, etc. ..

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - In which time he decided to tell her this story, broken legs, missing memories?

ANSWER - I specifically ... this Lawyer

QUESTION - In the beginning when trying to create a human relationship said: "I must point out that I am a mother of two daughters etc. etc.. "or during the interrogation?

ANSWER - I think it was during the interrogation.

QUESTION - In particular, at what stage of the interrogation?

Already there was the shock of Amanda or not?

ANSWER - This Attorney I do not remember exactly when I did this ... when I told this

thing in my life I do not know.

APPLICATION - This episode she told her, listened to this narrative only Amanda or all of those were in the room?

ANSWER - No, no, everybody.

QUESTION - this was verbalized its externalization and then we find documentation or not?

ANSWER - No, no, it was not ... I think.

QUESTION - She has not raised the issue of whether somehow this kind of narrative his own, personal interpreter could influence the questioned?

ANSWER - How could condition it! I honestly I do not have mail.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - There is opposition to Mr. President

THE PRESIDENT - We are just the facts, the opposition is ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - I have no further questions.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE

QUESTION - When she saw Amanda Knox as an interpreter at the end of the minutes she re-read the report?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Amanda has somehow challenged the content of verbalization?

ANSWER - Absolutely not. I also remember that she wanted to see, read and follow the verbal Italian word for word what was written and asked me if it did not include supplements.

QUESTION - Integration in English?

ANSWER - Integration in English of course.

APPLICATION - The Amanda Knox has asked that at the beginning

which were minuted with your questions? ANSWER - No, I am not.

APPLICATION - The show that has requested a minuted questions and answers in their native language, ie English, as well as Italian?

ANSWER - I do not know. QUESTION - Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - Only one circumstance if you can tell, if you remember, she was called to examine Amanda Knox, its summary information was already in progress, or had not yet started and then watched from the beginning to this?

ANSWER - I was on the phone I did not say anything, just went and went. When I arrived I was made to enter the room where there was already Miss Knox.

QUESTION - Who was there with you?

ANSWER - The Inspector Ficarra course, I do not know if at that time there was also the Assistance Zugarini, I do not remember. Then surely this agent SCO Ivano Raffo, he did.

QUESTION - So she came in and already ... REPLY - And already there.

QUESTION - But Amanda Knox was already speaking, were already doing ...

ANSWER - Yes, I was responding to questions, they were talking about.

QUESTION - So were talking in Italian at this juncture?

ANSWER - Yes, they were talking in Italian.

QUESTION - You can tell if the phone when she arrives Amanda Knox had already been made available to a person who was interrogating or this is a time

Following

ANSWER - I think it is a later date. QUESTION - Okay.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - She came exactly at what time the police station? ANSWER - I tell her lawyer ...

PRESIDENT - At 00.30, had already reported.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - How can you be sure that it was 0:30?

ANSWER - In fact I did not say that I'm sure.

QUESTION - So how do you tell which are the 0:30?

ANSWER - I said that I considered that I received a call roughly around 23:30 to 23:40, whereas it takes me from my house about half an hour, whereas I was also due to prepare a calculation I did, I went away, and I arrived roughly around that time.

QUESTION - How do you know it was eleven and forty-five when they call?

ANSWER - Because I was just ... was little that I went to sleep and usually go to bed more or less around half past eleven, eleven and twenty.

QUESTION - But a watch has seen it?

ANSWER - I still do not sleep though, so I was in bed. QUESTION - Did you see a watch you?

ANSWER - No, I did not see the clock.

QUESTION - But when she went out of the police station on the morning of 6?

ANSWER - I left at eight, I waited for my colleague Colantone arrived.

PRESIDENT - On this ... only on questions that have been

made. My question is there is a ticker tape to the police station? ANSWER - Yes, yes.

PR

ESIDENTE - But you put the ticker? ANSWER - No, there is a statement of the extraordinary. PRESIDENT - And she on?

ANSWER - Yes, yes.

PRESIDENT - So you can go back to that time has come? ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - Okay can go. The parties are invited to express at this point, before you bring in the next witness, its opinion on this application for release from seizure. They have already heard of this instance made ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - At express a negative opinion.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - For all intents and purposes the opinion of the private parties is negative. I just wanted to add one thing, I think it is appropriate and necessary, it is a request made by the defense to urge that is being carried out by the Court an inspection of the house of the crime. We believe it is very important that you access by judges so that you can make on behalf of those who actually are the places, the places when the tragic events that took place on November 2, and precisely what you feel now the various testimonies . Our request, we would have wanted to do at the end of the investigation hearing, however, we believe it is very important to do it now because were heard witnesses who gave the instructions clear enough, precise enough facts and

circumstances that have challenged us as a defense. For example, when were heard both texts Napoleoni, the head Zugarini in relation to grass, question the President, whether or not it had been trampled grass, and actually know how the sites were at the time. Then also the question asked the witness Napoleoni in relation to the fact, the distance between the embankment and the window, that too in relation to the possible launch of the stone with the evocative presentation of the stone made to the gentlemen of the jury. I think it is important, is decisive.

PRESIDENT - If you want to specify whether this instance is relative to the condition of the premises outside or even inside.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - External and internal. Externally it is very important and I can tell you as my personal impression, when on August 27, 2008, for the first time together with my colleague went Bongiorno, authorized by the examining magistrate to review the survey we have seen and noticed things that in the video, in photographic illustrations and videos that were submitted in the dossier of the Public Prosecutor absolutely were not detected either. For example, the famous nail, the famous nail under the window of the room of Romanelli we saw it for the first time on that occasion and that nail we consider it a foothold, it was a pretext for the person who then came inside the house. So it is important on your part gentlemen of the Court, to ascertain and see. The most important thing, then conclude, the inside of the house, ie clearly see it was this room, the room of Meredith, the fact that a video footage may appear to be a room

large enough it is actually very small, so I would say that is very unlikely to have been committed without a specific fact so bloody that have been left clear traces of by those who have been accused of such facts. So insist on an inspection internally and externally.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - We associate ourselves because we believe that a direct view of the places is necessary, both in relation to the position of the house, then with respect to the camera that we discussed today, or the outside in order to understand exactly the position of the window, where there is a broken window and also is important because we believe in the story that we heard about the killing of the door is easy to understand, it gives a direct view of the size of the hall and the room, in order to figure out who was in front, who was behind, who threw who is not entered, etc. .. Furthermore, as an important element, which will be discussed later, but the acts there are already documents on this point is the location, the location of the alleged victim. Have been made in our assumptions and, depending on how it provides a competition in that particular place, that is, in Meredith's room and by virtue of the above dimensions and other facts that you need to ensure, we believe that it is absolutely recommend a visit. We also believe that the request of the owner of the Civil Party is also in part to consider because it's been so long, we had a very unpleasant episode with a visit dell'effrazione absolutely for now ascertained, that could perhaps be repeated and which is not is good in our opinion the celebration of this

process. So after completion of this activity made a finding on, I believe that the request is also legitimate after all. Thanks.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - The Prosecution does not object at all to the request of the defense, in fact adhere to this request because a visual inspection, direct the house, especially the exterior, but the interior can clarify some aspects that are crucial in this matter.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - Civil Parties are submissive to the decision about the kidnapping, certainly in the event of a decision by the Court dell'illustrissima return of the property is associated with the request for inspection. In my opinion the Court to decide on this request will have to take into account the fact that the texts mentioned, belonging to the Scientific, relate and show, and acts there is already a request, an important video of the

first and second inspection, also refer positions of footprints and fingerprints. I think you need an inspection after the calling of all the texts of Scientific, according to the timetable that has been made by the prosecution, or at least at the same time because otherwise the memory of places compared with the enforcement of the texts that probably should be in May would not give the benefit of the inspection that it should be immediately following the viewing of videos and photos and listen to what we refer the texts of its Scientific positioning evidently those which are the results of biological and so on . So I support the request, but ask to be made immediately after

the witnesses of Science.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - The Defense of Patrick Lumumba is associated with the demands of the owner of the Kercher family.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Since the Civil Party made reference to the timing, I could not agree on what he said, but because it will be to describe here an inch, an inch less are much more time to ask questions to the witnesses to describe to you something, if you have already seen the house probably would reduce the examination of many questions. So I ask, but for a need for speed, right next to the first Scientific but because it also reduces the time and do not need to say, "but to the right or left", so this is a problem speed only.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - President just to add, I also believe that we need to be allowed to have our consultants present, we especially would like to have Professor Torre and Dr. Patumi at this visit possible.

ORDER

THE COURT on the application for restitution of property made by the Defense Tattanelli, established Civil Party; heard the advice of the Public Prosecutor, also took note of the arguments advanced by the other parties also noted that has been advanced by the Defence request for inspection of Sollecito Raffaele, which joined all the other parties do not accept the request for restitution of property in

view of the negative opinion of the Public Prosecutor and also taking into account the respect shown by other parties reserves to provide hereafter about the required inspection.

THE PRESIDENT - We can continue.

DEPOSITION OF HEADS - VOLTURNO ORESTES -

THE WITNESS, a warning under ART. 497 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE LAW OF FORMULA RITE.

GENERAL: Chief Inspector Volturno Oreste in service with the Flying Squad of the Police of Perugia, born in Montegabbione (TR), June 12, 1956.

PRESIDENT - Please.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - You have carried out investigations into the death of Meredith

Kercher? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Do you remember what kind of tests did you do? Before we list them and then describe.

ANSWER - Pretty much the first finding that I face in about two bottles of bleach that Ace had been seized at the home of Raffaele Sollecito on 16 November 2007. Soon after the seizure I went around the shops adjacent to the home of Raffaele Sollecito trying to figure out where they could have been purchased and in this regard sported a picture of Raffaele Sollecito, Amanda Knox's photograph. After a few days rintracciammo the shop was a shop Conad - Margherita site immediately at the beginning of Corso Garibaldi, where he is the holder of the contracts recognized in the photographs that we put ourselves in a vision,

Raffaele Sollecito and Amanda Knox. Raffaele Sollecito was a regular customer of this store, while the girl had been seen two or three times in his company.

QUESTION - Along with Sollecito?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, in his company. This shop also asked if by chance they had noticed in the days immediately preceding or immediately after the murder if they remembered that these people who have bought this product, but did not remember. I state that the store is split over two levels, one is at the top where there is the entrance and the other is lower. The top mattress are all the products that people take and then goes directly to the case, while the lower one is the counter cold cuts, cheese, etc.. etc.. where they worked over the holder, it seems to me to remember to call Quintavalle, two girls. One in particular, a young Ecuadorean, remember that at the time she was pregnant, she told us that she had a friend who was a cleaner at the home of Raffaele Sollecito and showed us the mobile users with whom then contacted, however, on the bleach not come out and no one told us anything. We discovered that ...

QUESTION - What was this woman?

ANSWER - (Kiriboga) ... I do not remember the rest.

Practically through the mobile phone number that you gave us, we ascended to the person who was then the cleaning at that time, until 5 November, the last day we did the cleaning, and another Ecuadorian girl named Natalia. This was enforced in the minutes but could not tell us anything about this bleach. Then after this episode was also felt (Kiriboga), which gave us a version where he said basically that this bleach had been seen by her at Sollecito's house and even a bottle of the

was told, had been bought by Raffaele Sollecito at his request. I asked her why she had not reported at the time when I asked the first day, in the early days after the search, ie between 16 and 19 November, and she told me the same questions them to him had been made at the study Advocate Maori and at that time he did not remember this, but after being reminded by the lawyer Maori have bought this bleach. However, the investigation then went on because I asked the store owner if you use the barcode of the product could be dated to the period in which it was delivered or sold, or at least ordered and who was the company

that provided this bleach. He told me that the company had a firm belief in Ponte San Giovanni, CAP and contacted the Head of detergents was Mr. Cicognola, it seems to me, who told me that being a product to maturity was not required by law to store ie computerizzarlo and therefore could not trace accurately the shop which had been sold, period. Provided us with the information anyway, so the cartons were 18 flasks and were delivered to the store which is a Conad Quintavalle - Margaret, the same label on the bottle that was seized at the home of Sollecito on 1 October, 11 November and 5 November the last delivery. Another carton of the same product had been delivered to another shop Conad - Margaret, who is about 50 yards of the house of Raffaele Sollecito which I can not remember the owner. Until 11 October the price recommended by the PAC for this product was 0.85 euro cents, while from October 11 onwards, the recommended price was 1.09 because it says that before there was a market more favorable and

so they had a lower price, but did not exclude anyone that the product, being old price had remained among others, however, and bought for the price of 0.85 cents, the price was then be applied on the bottle seized at the home of Sollecito . With regard to the assessment of bleach is not done nothing.

QUESTION - You were at Sollecito's house?

ANSWER - Yes, I was on two occasions. The first occasion was on November 8, during a raid where they were seized several items of clothing, as I recall. In a second occasion the day November 16 ...

QUESTION - First things first, you had on November 8, shoes, gloves?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, the boots and gloves because we have always have them in the car, are included in the office.

QUESTION - New?

ANSWER - Yes, inside the boxes are taken from time to time.

QUESTION - So did you get the 8?

ANSWER - We entered the first time on 8 and I remember that were seized numerous items of clothing, underwear, T-shirt ...

QUESTION - There was a peculiar smell the 8?

ANSWER - Yes, it was filled with the smell of bleach in the apartment because it was sealed and we riaprimmo because the seals had been seized earlier.

APPLICATION - These garments where you put them? ANSWER - The clothes were shown to

colleagues from the Scientific Police who pick them up and put them into envelopes of cards, one by one, and then were sealed and cataloged in a box.

QUESTION - So also had the suit practically?

ANSWER - Colleagues of Scientific yes, we only boots and gloves.

QUESTION - And then, the second time?

ANSWER - The second time is November 16th but I did not go inside the apartment because his shoes were gone and I was out on the landing to catalog the finds that came delivered in envelopes and put them in a box.

QUESTION - But he had the gloves?

ANSWER - Yes, yes, I had gloves. REQUEST - Other investigations?

ANSWER - Another assessment was made by me personally on credit cards of Kercher, because of a statement that was faxed by the parents of the girl was a charge of 20 euro to IMI - St. Paul of Perugia. Contacted, I think towards the beginning of the month of December 2008, I think the 8, 9 or 10, can not remember exactly now, I contacted the

bank manager, IMI - St. Paul in the person of Dr. Farsi and asked him if it was possible to trace the person who did this drawing, or at least check if it was done this collection. I asked him if there were cameras and he said: "Yes, there are, however, only the camera cuts to the entrance of the bank and the ATM and in any case, are reset every week the video tape," was already spent time there because the statement was faxed to the end of November by the Kercher family, the first tests I already had them on December 10 or December 8, then from November 2 had already been over a month and the

records had already been reset several times. Mr. Farsi made an examination of the papers found all four ATMs, the central and branches that are in Perugia and he replied

letter that, according to the newspaper background was not no money like that neither the day nor the days before Nov. 2.

QUESTION - If you recognize ...

ANSWER - Yes, this is it.

QUESTION - I do not know is in the file, otherwise ask the production.

PRESIDENT - What is it?

ANSWER - It is the answer bank Intesa - San Paolo about the findings on the levy of 20 euro.

DEFENCE - AVV. Ghirga - What date is this letter?

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - January 4, 2008.

ANSWER - Virtually in the letter he told me that the removal of 20 euro, that sum had not been done either November 2nd or in the days immediately before and at this point that nearly stopped the investigation because since there is no levy ...

QUESTION - I wonder production then.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President is a finding on which we have done, as you will recall, the last time questions to a witness and we were told that there were no further investigations. The file did not exist until now this letter, we want to examine it calmly and give its opinion tomorrow maybe.

PRESIDENT - Yes. Meanwhile, we can continue.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Other tests you have done and where you attended?

ANSWER - Other investigations that have been made by me

I personally am of the findings made on a delegation, his delegation about Giovinazzo, the

schools attended by Sollecito.

QUESTION - What have you found in particular? It was a supplementary activity for investigation?

ANSWER - Yes, practically examination of the class registers, from the personal file of the boy and everything was not found what you were looking for, that is a fact that occurred at school. Among other things it was not possible to ensure because the registers of the class

2003, which is the last year of high school that has

attended Raffaele Sollecito, every five years, are sent to the shredder and then we are already there when they were already gone through the shredder, so this type of assessment has not been possible to do so.

QUESTION - What you should do?

ANSWER - If there had been injured a girl by Raffaele Sollecito during school lessons. I state that the Dean of the school, then Dean of the school attended by Sollecito, who was also heard in summary information for me personally, has made some resistance, as if to hide something. This I think I also ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President these are ...

PRESIDENT - Maybe if you can report the behavior he had.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - President also as a way to love, I think there is a ban on them to report things heard on the record, but if they are still witnesses heard on the record, if you should feel or not or tells us a synthesize it and then we feel or comments whenever really avoid them.

ANSWER - Lawyer I said I heard a summary and

in my opinion was conspiratorial.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - No, this does not look that he has to make in the transcript!

PRESIDENT - Please! The parties are requested ... ANSWER - It was a bit 'reticent.

THE PRESIDENT - We avoid, "in my opinion" introduces an assessment and then not be expressed, only the conduct that gave, maybe if all questions were answered or not answered a few questions, this.

ANSWER - He answered all the questions, but in a very vague. PRESIDENT - Please.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - What other things have you found specific?

ANSWER - We found at the Police station in Giovinazzo, the documents before the urge, there was a previous report by the Carabinieri station Castellaneta, in the province of Taranto, for the year 2003, I believe, where he was apprehended with two other boys held because the amount of two and a half grams net of drug, in this case hashish.

QUESTION - Then other things?

ANSWER - then investigations have been made on the death of his mother. There have been investigations by the coroner, at 118.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry things relevant.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Well this substance ...

PRESIDENT - Yes, the substance, yes, but introduced another.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Other surveys you have played?

ANSWER - I ran wiretaps and environmental.

QUESTION - What ideas were investigative data from eavesdropping and telephone?

ANSWER - Ideas investigative virtually all but a few

the work that has been done has shown that part of the family there was an intention to cover up, mislead ...

INTERVENTION - You can not!

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry. I'm sorry. If you can tell the facts, circumstances.

ANSWER - There are some things ...

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Those are the facts, however, is not an assessment, then it is true or not true ...

PRESIDENT - In what has manifested this attitude that she now briefly, but the synthesis is evaluated.

ANSWER - Almost wanted to eliminate the staff was doing the investigation, both professionally and physically.

PRESIDENT - What has emerged in this respect?

ANSWER - Forms of threats, contacted politicians, such as Ladies currently the Government, as the Honourable Nania, the Honourable Formisano, the Honourable Mastella had to intervene to try to stop this thing and that's before the judgment of the Supreme Court, then obviously, after the judgment of the Supreme Court, these

people have departed, I do not know, though the names are ...

PRESIDENT - Judgment of the Supreme Court on the review?

ANSWER - Yes, to the review. These people are named and are in the wiretaps, with the minutes, transcripts and everything.

PRESIDENT - Please.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - Other investigations it has made?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Do you remember a dossier that was acquired, was acquired for a full investigation had been carried out?

ANSWER - Yes, the file has not been acquired, was kidnapped and is still seized.

PRESIDENT - If you can be more specific about this that we do not know ... what's this?

ANSWER - This is a folder where practically family Sollecito, with this issue, wanted to make a media attack for the ongoing investigation, the prosecutor who had been following the case and everything and was given the broadcaster

Telenorba and also the newspaper Panorama and again there are interceptions that talk about it. Then he was seized because it was made a decree and our staff has visited the head office of Telenorba and seized this issue with the video.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - And on the occasion of the publication of the images?

ANSWER - Yes, the images were ... On the occasion of publication of the images for a program that I can not remember the name, which was transmitted by

Telenorba.

QUESTION - Images depicting?

ANSWER - depicting the body of Kercher completely naked in the middle of the room and some other things.

QUESTION - I have no further questions.

PRESIDENT - The Civil Party? No question. Please defenses of the defendants.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE MAORI

QUESTION - Lawyer Maori, Sollecito Defense. Inspector Volturmo start to order: assessment made by you in connection with the famous bleach. She did the service records?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Can you tell us what are they? ANSWER - I'm a Day 19 ...

APPLICATION - The take it if we need it. And the day 28. ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - You may consult his acts.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - start from 19, so she does This annotation service where reports of the activity that took place a few days before.

ANSWER - From 16 to 19 days from the day on which they were seized two bottles per day 19 when I do the annotation.

QUESTION - Exactly. She feels summarily people. ANSWER - No, I've heard informally to the store, do not summarily.

QUESTION - Who has heard?

ANSWER - Quintavalle, the (Kiriboga) because it is his job and another girl whose name escapes me now.

APPLICATION - This Natalia.

ANSWER - No, Natalia was not at the shop as a contractor, was Natalia's friend (Kiriboga) who used to clean home Sollecito, but not working in the shop.

QUESTION - You first said he had photographs of Amanda and Raffaele. ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - It has made them see the people who were inside dell'alimentari? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So is the owner of both the orders. ANSWER - Yes.

APPLICATION - and asked if these people were presented or not in the store a few days earlier.

ANSWER - No, I asked if they were customers of the store, because I did it with all the shops of Corso Garibaldi, not only with the Quintavalle, showing photos wondering if they were customers.

QUESTION - But she said different things in his record, not different in the sense that specifies who heard the owner, Mr. Quintavalle, and reported that Sollecito was his regular customer and Knox had entered the store only a few opportunities together with the boyfriend.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - This is confirmed?

ANSWER - Yes, it is written in the record.

QUESTION - Then ask after the acquisition, production of the two records. So in the terms of Mr. Quintavalle note that the Sollecito and Amanda Knox ... indeed, the Amanda Knox came inside the house always in the company of Sollecito?

ANSWER - Yes, in the shop, in those two occasions that entered came in the company of Sollecito.

QUESTION - Why did not these have been noted, was not made a report of summary information?

ANSWER - Because the record is enough for me. APPLICATION - They are two different things.

ANSWER - Yes, but I informally about the findings

I hear them and put it in record, this is also an investigation.

QUESTION - On that occasion, that I always speak of 19, had been asked to (Kiriboga) if it was home of Sollecito?

ANSWER - No, but since I had asked ... aspects, I

asked the owner and the two contracts if they had never seen these people in the store and they said "yes, they are repeat customers, just that he is more often and she came in a couple of occasions." Then I asked if they had purchased the bleach in the days after the murder, and no one told me, "no, I can not" and (Kiriboga) told me: "I am still capable of less than Quintavalle because I'm in the sausage department that is below, while the bleach is on the side of the store is above that in view of Mr. Quintavalle".

QUESTION - But did not ask the (Kiriboga) if it was home of Sollecito?

ANSWER - No, I did not ask if it was home.

QUESTION - She then talked about the fact that (Kiriboga) thereafter, as she felt, he pointed out that it was in turn heard it from me.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - You this report, which I then deposited immediately, once prepared with my colleague read it?

ANSWER - The minutes of the (Kiriboga)? QUESTION - My report I filed.

ANSWER - No, I have not read his, I have mine.

QUESTION - You did not read it?

ANSWER - No, I read my, what have I done to

(Kiriboga), I have not read her.

APPLICATION - The (Kiriboga) during the report says that "the same questions that come my way from you ..." you cops, to her, were also made by me.

ANSWER - Yes, he says, it is written in the minutes.

QUESTION - So you confirm again not have read the report that I filed?

ANSWER - No, his record I have not read it. She says, "the same questions I was asked by the lawyer Maori" and I have put on record.

APPLICATION - All right, there is an identity of questions from my first and by her later. Go to the second, as it pertains to bleach her the November 16 performs the search of premises. ANSWER - Yes, I was present at the search, but are not entered the apartment. QUESTION - Yes ..
REPLY - And November 16th is seized two bottles of bleach

PRESIDENT - In the house in use Raffaele Sollecito? ANSWER - Yes.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - I see in the minutes of seizure from which she signed for the bleach brand Ace "on the cap, there is a small label Conad brand bearing the numbers 085."

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So that means what? ANSWER - 85 cents.

QUESTION - So due to the price? ANSWER - The price.

QUESTION - And how this label?

ANSWER - Conad - Margherita.

QUESTION - But it was faded, it was old, it was new?

ANSWER - I do not remember, there was a label, you could see the price and you could see ...

QUESTION - Who wrote the verbal material?

REPLY - Maybe ...

QUESTION - Take it so at least let's see ... ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Go to page 2, the first department, finding RS3. ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - It says here: "figures - after the numbers 085, which has explicated as the selling price - figures barely visible with a magnifying glass. "

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So what does this mean?

REPLY - That was faded but you could read the amount. QUESTION - So it was the old label?

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No!

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Excuse me, I'm asking questions because she prosecutor to suggest ...

PRESIDENT - Lawyer please!

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - He's right because I ran too high no.

PRESIDENT - Here, however, even the heads we hear, but you all pray to avoid.

RESPONSE

- 085 label was barely readable.

of protection

CHAMBERS. MAORI - So what does this mean?

RESPONSE

- That was a bottle ...

question:

- She remembers her this label
right now, if you wish
that
we located? ANSWER - Yes, yes, of course.

QUESTION - So it was old or new label? ANSWER - Old.

QUESTION - So certainly prior to 11 October as she
had indicated?

ANSWER - Yes, but as the price front.

QUESTION - How to sell we'll see. In relation to credit cards you have received
the letter of January 4

2008, in this letter, the owner, director Paul Farsi, tells you that you can not
control, a control based on the fact if the levies were made with that card on
November 2 at ATMs or neighboring Perugia, and need to ask this thing directly
to the issuing bank.

ANSWER - Yes, it is correct. QUESTION - I read here.

RESPONSE - Mr Farsi said that the day on November 2 and in the immediate
aftermath of that amount is not made no money at that bank. If we wanted to know
something more precise had to contact the British bank issuing the credit card,
which has not been done because since he tells me that neither the day nor in
the two previous days has been no withdrawal of that amount I I have not seen
fit to make this determination.

QUESTION - I read them what is written in this letter: "the

Our center accounting, specifically asked, tells us that "

ANSWER - I have it.

PRESIDENT - Yes, to facilitate the listening part of the witness. Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - "Our center accounting, specifically asked, tells us
that the only way to get to know the data that withdrawal

(Branches of execution, time and date) is to require these data to the UK bank
issuing the card itself, since every bank card issuer is certainly able to
recover all the changes made by each card issued by it. " So my question, to
which she has already responded partially, since November 2, November 2 there
was at least an indication that I was given, which was carried out testing ATM
cash withdrawal and that this was not was then contextualized by the bank San
Paolo, and the fact that the bank San Paolo you said: "it is necessary to make
sure those who made this withdrawal request to the issuing bank" because you
have not felt compelled to ask the British bank who had made this drawing in
now, on what date and at what door?

ANSWER - Because I have not found it useful, because the period

before this that you have read tells me that the 2 November or the days immediately before is not no money in the bank or 20 euro IMI - St. Paul of Perugia nor the other three branches in the province of Perugia.

QUESTION - What if it was made this withdrawal from the other hand, in the province of Terni, she knows ... is an experienced policeman ...

ANSWER - No, because the statement ...

PRESIDENT - aspects that the application is complete.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - She is an experienced policeman and he knows perfectly well that all ATMs have a camera and then who, and if it was actually taken that day, November 2nd through the credit card, the person who would have taken

would certainly have been framed by the cameras. Did not think of this?

ANSWER - Lawyer I said before control is been done, the camera cuts to the entrance of the bank and the ATM and we came the statement at the end of November and the bank every week resets the video. If I have to tell you even tell me!

PRESIDENT - Do not worry, we too can be useful because they are very technical topics and then maybe sometime ...

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - A part that exists in the memory cameras for which it is possible to perform ...

PRESIDENT - The lawyer noted.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - A person trained as she was supposed to do ...

ANSWER - It is.

THE PRESIDENT - We only questions please.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - I'll show you now this letter from the National Wide, a fax of 30 November 2007, referring precisely to Miss Kercher, with "cash San Paolo - IMI, Perugia, 20 â,¬ on November 2, 1440 AT", are given of course you have.

ANSWER - I have it here too it is IMI - Sao Paulo

Perugia, Terni no lawyer.

QUESTION - I did not say Terni, I only said ...

ANSWER - No, she said, "if it had been taken from Terni," I tell you of Perugia investigations have been

facts and IMI - Sao Paulo central to the three branches in the province of Perugia and the newspaper background of all four branches me no trace of this collection of 20 euro, nor the second, nor the day before.

QUESTION - So you did not feel compelled to take the issuing bank ...

ANSWER - No, for me the investigation was over.

PRESIDENT - The lawyer has already given an answer on this, maybe ...

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Maybe you could have found the figure of the murderer if he had proceeded differently! Take note of this, it was not done and so we can not ask any more questions on this issue.

PRESIDENT - Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Findings in Giovinazzo. She spoke first to demand the prosecution of the fact that you had some ideas in relation to an investigation carried out by injury Sollecito within the school and then you went away to perform these tests. Have seized the records of class?

ANSWER - No, we endorse photocopied and made some some notes on class registers.

QUESTION - What were the notes against Sollecito, these serious note?

ANSWER - No, they were serious note, were known to load urge that we still have photocopied because we felt it appropriate to do so.

APPLICATION - For example, "Sollecito plays with the pen despite

reproach "? ANSWER - It was a note.

QUESTION - So a person who is accused of murder
y

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, Lawyer, however, avoid comments!
We are the facts!

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Another note "I urge pulls balls of paper mate"?

ANSWER - Another note Lawyer.

APPLICATION - These are the notes that you have ...

ANSWER - I note, however, that I had had provisions to capture, verify, I copied and got them stamped.

QUESTION - You did not find a note that Sollecito has injured ...

ANSWER - No, because ... QUESTION - Let me finish.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry, always expect that the application runs.
Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Not found notes that Sollecito injured a classmate or a classmate?

ANSWER - No, because as I said before the registers of the school of Molfetta, high school Einstein, via Togliatti, were sent to be destroyed because every

five years are sent to be destroyed and we have found no trace of those records where perhaps there was this note we wanted, but we were not lucky.

PRESIDENT - Depending on what you're looking for this?

ANSWER - There was a hint investigating an incident that had happened during the school attendance of Sollecito

where she had been hurt a girl with scissors and we were looking for a note like that, but the records have gone through the shredder and we have not found.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - What do you mean "inspiration"?

PRESIDENT - You know what? Which was wound a girl with scissors?

ANSWER - Yes while attending school

Sollecito and we had gone to see if the class registers, during the middle and high schools, it came out a note that made reference to this episode, but unfortunately the records have gone through the shredder.

PRESIDENT - It could have been anyone of the class to have caused this, not necessarily ... ANSWER - No, no, we went to see if there was trace

of this fact. PRESIDENT - Who was? ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - The hypothesis was that it could have been Sollecito Raffaele.

REPLY - And we went to check, but the records were to be destroyed, and so it was not possible to go back.

PRESIDENT - You do not have to know the truth or the fact neither the author?

ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - Please Lawyer.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Just to clarify Mr. President, since his curiosity is our ...

PRESIDENT - No, it was a question relevant to the question and also to answer otherwise you could not understand, it was a curiosity. Please, go on then.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - this inquiry is investigating what it means? Someone said: "It was a wounded person in the class of Sollecito with scissors"?

ANSWER - He had had news of this incident and we went to find out.

QUESTION - But "there had been news" that means? A confidant?

ANSWER - A confidant.

APPLICATION - This confidant as ...

ANSWER - I do not have to report on confidants.

APPLICATION - The name of the informer no, this is true, but you are required to tell us how you came to this confidence, by phone, by a person who came to the police station and I pointed out that a girl or a boy been injured, we can say this.

ANSWER - A voice, a meeting in the street with a person who

was aware of certain things and it was reported this fact and we went to find out.

QUESTION - And you found that Sollecito pulled the balls of paper!

ANSWER - We have not found anything because the records no longer existed.

QUESTION - It disturbed the lesson!

PRESIDENT - No, sorry Lawyer! We are only questions. Please, go on then.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - She first made reference to eavesdropping, cover-ups, etc. ..

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - It was referring to a proceeding in the Supreme Court. ANSWER - For an appeal to the Supreme Court.

QUESTION - Where proceedings before the Supreme Court of

Supreme Court, and an appeal to the Supreme Court. Given that neither I, Lawyer Maori, nor my colleague, lawyer Bongiorno, the defenders were at the time of the proceedings of the Supreme Court, but I was in the process of merit, not on the process of Cassation, and the lawyer Bongiorno was not nominated for any type of procedure, can you explain what kind of cover-ups there would be by these guys and then if the final results were in relation to the interception?

ANSWER - I have not spoken to cover up, I talked about attempt to foil and bury and pollute.

PRESIDENT - Maybe if you can substantiate these aspects. ANSWER - There are eavesdropping on Mr. Judge which I can not tell.

PRESIDENT - Go back to the contents of the intercepted conversations?

ANSWER - Yes.

PRESIDENT - As a result of these conversations intercepted, however, about which she says she can not tell, but you did the inspection, the subsequent investigations?

ANSWER - Yes, that's also a result of these intercepts was made famous seizure of the dossier which had been made by the family and Sollecito had been aired by the issuer Telenorba and Panorama.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - are resulting in criminal proceedings? ANSWER - Yes, were the result of the proceedings in any case

I do not think they are part of this process, other processes are.

QUESTION - Do you know if the subjects have been investigated? ANSWER - I do not know.

QUESTION - Another inspector. She had in her experience, not only in this case but also in others, direct activities with imprisonment for the acquisition of documents. In this process she has acquired the documentation type of memorials, notebooks, of any of these defendants?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - She is certain of this?

ANSWER - I do not remember, let me see the report but I have acquired ...

QUESTION - I'm not talking about the record, I'm talking about ...

ANSWER - If you have acquired is recorded.

QUESTION - I'm talking about if you have ever received from a graduate of the Penitentiary Police a notebook, a memorial of Raffaele Sollecito around half of the month of November 2007?

ANSWER - No.

APPLICATION - The notebook, this notebook photocopied prison in Perugia, travel notes, which were reflections of Raffaele Sollecito and had to be delivered to us lawyers, were copied into the prison and were delivered by someone.

ANSWER - Me?

QUESTION - I confirm whether or not this condition? ANSWER - I tell her no.

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry Lawyer has already replied twice no.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - formalize more strongly his answer is no, then we will see it in the process if his claims are true or not. I call for the acquisition, rather I would like to ask, therefore, produce the documents before the acquisition trial of the two notes of 19 November 2007,

of 28 November 2007 Inspector Volturmo, as well as a copy of the records of Raffaele Sollecito class, third class of high school in Molfetta, and a copy of the letter Intesa - San Paolo, January 4, 2008, signed by director Paolo Farsi.

ANSWER - I beg your pardon those photocopies are school

average of Giovinazzo, not high school Molfetta. QUESTION - Yes, middle school.

PRESIDENT - So are middle school?

ANSWER - Yes, middle school because as I said above those of the school ...

PRESIDENT - And why have a different discipline? ANSWER - They have a different storage discourse. PRESIDENT - On these requests? But the letter of the Entente San - Paul had sought production the Public Ministry.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - But why do we always triple production, we have already produced us.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Is your letter.

PRESIDENT - The letter has produced the prosecutor. PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA

COMFORTABLE - So is production

Public Prosecutor.

PRESIDENT - Yes, production and other productions prosecutor Raffaele Sollecito Defense.

It is recognized that, given the absence of opposition, are acquired for the purpose of usability.

DEFENCE - AVV. BONGIORNO

QUESTION - Lawyer Bongiorno. Compared to the three politicians to whom he referred intercept environment, she has done her subsequent investigations or third party personally to see if these politicians have committed the offenses were registered in the register of news of crime, or were politicians, these three to which you referred, mentioned in conversations and stop?

ANSWER - Cited in conversations as people to which to turn.

QUESTION - He then actually known whether these politicians were contacted, they pressed the Supreme Court?

ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - Respect the thing instead of Telenorba ...

PRESIDENT - I'm sorry Lawyer, has not found or were not ...

ANSWER - No, I have not found, will only come from eavesdropping as persons to whom ...

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Why then create some confusion in the interceptions you make these names, from what I understand them, and he confirmed to me, then did not continue the investigation of these points and stop. However, the fact of Telenorba is a matter on which there are no investigations or inquiries?

ANSWER - There are investigations, was seized the dossier.

QUESTION - Who follows the investigations of Telenorba? ANSWER - Bari I think.

APPLICATION - The Prosecutor of Bari? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - With respect to these investigations knows how successful have they been? ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - When I started?

ANSWER - I think after the seizure of the dossier, the

videotapes, of the material.

PRESIDENT - So what time are we? ANSWER - I do not know, I do not remember.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - Do you know if there are requests for storage of trial? I do not know anything about this?

ANSWER - No, I do not know.

QUESTION - I mean her at that moment, on an accrual basis, the territory of what concerned Telenorba, he occupied Bari? This is the concept?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So then you do not know what ... ANSWER - No.

QUESTION - No further questions.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW

QUESTION - Lawyer Dalla Vedova for Knox. I wanted two questions, the first one in relation to which she has carried out activities against Marco Quintavalle.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - She said she asked the manager of the business if he ever saw the two defendants.

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - Exactly what was the answer?

ANSWER - He said that Sollecito was a regular customer while Amanda Knox had been seen in a couple of occasions in the company of Sollecito.

APPLICATION - This question she has done to other managers?

ANSWER - Yes, I made the rounds of all the shops of the street, but the others I do not have recognized them.

APPLICATION - The Corso Garibaldi?

ANSWER - Yes The only one I recognized the photo of Sollecito was the other Conad above his house, where he had been seen once or twice, rarely anyway.

QUESTION - And Amanda?

ANSWER - No, not Amanda.

QUESTION - You did other investigations in the surrounding areas or just Corso Garibaldi?

ANSWER - Corso Garibaldi and the surrounding area but I did not ... QUESTION - But more businesses?

ANSWER - Yes, business that had sales in that product, the famous bleach which was then seized, had been done by virtue of that.

QUESTION - So only shops selling this product, in general?

ANSWER - Yes, no.

QUESTION - In relation to another activity where she is participating, ie 16 November the home ransacked Sollecito, resulting in objects repertati entire contents of the top drawer of the high kitchen cabinet, exactly 6 cuchia, 4 knives, 5 (..), 2 ladles, 1 bread knife with serrated blade 35 inches overall. You acknowledge that had been done, executed a seizure in the same drawer of another knife?

ANSWER - This not because I'm back to November 7

work and the next day I did the first house search where Sollecito were seized clothes and undergarments and then the second time, the 16, the one to which she refers, I participated in the search, but not entered into the house and took me to the envelopes that I put in a box so I'm not able to tell what was in the bags, I can see the minutes later.

QUESTION - I wanted to know if she had been a willing
- Kidnapping?

ANSWER - No, because I am back at work and that the seventh day was the fact that I was not kidnapping.

QUESTION - Why is ...

ANSWER - The seizure of the knife that she says was made when I still was not working, I went back on day 7.

QUESTION - however knew that already ...

ANSWER - No, I was aware of it as it went on the investigation, but did not know.

QUESTION - So when did you know? On the 16th she went to 16.30 ...

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - At 16.30 she already knew that in this case Dr. Chatting and his assistants had already started to make a seizure of a single knife on Nov. 6.

ANSWER - I'm on 16 I knew what we

seized that day, not what had been kidnapped as they were before because more apartments and a flood ...

QUESTION - No, I have not asked if he knew.

ANSWER - No, I did not.

QUESTION - I asked if he knew it had already been made a seizure at the house.

ANSWER - I do not know, I came to know later.

QUESTION - So after her?

RESPONSE - After.

QUESTION - However, she is aware that there is also seized a knife with a blade of 31 cm to 17 taken from the same drawer?

ANSWER - If the kitchen is yes. QUESTION - Today knows.

ANSWER - No, not today, I knew before but not to that date she says, later.

QUESTION - Thank you.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI

QUESTION - Do you know of an article that appeared recently on the issue of Panorama containing an interview to members of the family Sollecito?

ANSWER - No, I am not aware of this.

QUESTION - Thank you.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE

QUESTION - Let's go back to bleach. She explained perfectly the investigation that he did, even on the hills, the various packages that were shipped from the CAP

2000 to two shops in Corso Garibaldi, and will not go back because I imagine that the Court has understood perfectly. You said that bleach also found, one of the two?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - One of the two bottles found at home Sollecito had ... I can repeat the label?

ANSWER - The label Conad - Margherita with the price. QUESTION - With the price?

ANSWER - 0.85.

QUESTION - What then matched according to his investigations in which neck?

ANSWER - For a lot of bleach delivered or to one or the other store before 11 October.

QUESTION - And they were all sold by 11 October? ANSWER - No, usually the dealer makes a request for a

new carton when he sees that is going to end. QUESTION - When there was a subsequent request?

ANSWER - The next delivery there was November 5th and 11th October the price recommended by the PAC was

1.09 euro, while up to 11 October was 0.85 cents.

QUESTION - When you talked about the old label what he meant?

REPLY - That was a bit 'worn above.

QUESTION - And the price was old?

ANSWER - No, the price could be read with a magnifying glass you could read it corresponded to the price that was in effect prior to 11 October.

QUESTION - When she heard the cleaning lady of the house of Raffaele Sollecito

...

RESPONSE - The (Kiriboga) or Natalia?

QUESTION - That which has asked ... we say: what questions did both with regard to the bleach?

ANSWER - If you have never used bleach inside the home of Sollecito.

QUESTION - And what did they say?

ANSWER - The Natalia, who is the one that practically ran the last cleaning and Monday 5 ...

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - We can respond directly to the two when they are feeling?

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - There is no prohibition on this because they are in the text list.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - Asks the content of the statements!

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Yes, but the text list so that there is no prohibition, the important thing is that the witnesses are heard derelati then.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - No, the officer of the judicial police can not answer this.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - No, I can report

Instead, why not?! PRESIDENT - I'm sorry ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - Let's have a discussion of Criminal Procedure, however, you can report the important then are mentioned and have been mentioned.

PRESIDENT - So you've heard ...

ANSWER - Yes, both the two girls who carried out the cleaning at the home of Raffaele Sollecito. The Natalia, who was the one who pretty much did the cleaning until

November 5, 2007, reported that he had never used bleach because Raffaele Sollecito asked her to use the Lisoform, that is her in the house of Sollecito used Lisoform, Viakal and other products but never bleach and did not remember to have seen two bottles under the sink. While (Kiriboga), when it was felt, after a meeting at the studio Maori, he said he was from a bottle she used to wash the rags of the floor and that was why he had asked Sollecito to buy some bleach, he went out and returned with another bottle of bleach that was always Ace, like the first, and with whom she then washed rags and remembered very well that there were two bottles under the sink one in the middle and the other intact.

QUESTION - And this washing as it lifts?

ANSWER - So the (Kiriboga) told us that he had worked as housekeepers at the home of Sollecito until September, then because of her pregnancy, she sent her friend.

QUESTION - How did you find these bottles when you have them seized?

ANSWER - One was in the middle and the other was intact.

QUESTION - So the woman, we repeat once again, the woman who did the cleaning instead then?

ANSWER - Then he said to him that he had never seen the two bottles inside the house.

QUESTION - Thank you.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA

QUESTION - Lawyer Maresca Family Kercher. Inspector tells us a clarification if the people who were subject to phone taps.

ANSWER - So there was Francesco Sollecito, Vanessa
Reminder ...

QUESTION - It also tells the ...

ANSWER - Francesco Sollecito's father, Vanessa Sollecito's sister, then there was the current wife of Francesco Sollecito, Marisa Papagni, then there was his uncle, Giuseppe Sollecito, and there were still people that are part of the family I do not remember the names.

APPLICATION - These wiretaps were all followed by her?

ANSWER - Yes, me and my colleagues.

QUESTION - And they were all authorized by decree on course?

ANSWER - Yes, there were only telephone, were also environment.

QUESTION - You mentioned in the first attempt to pressure politicians etc. .. It tells us the names of these politicians?

ANSWER - Yes, I've already said before ...

QUESTION - And then if they were direct object interception, that specific question, if you have also intercepted the call to the political figure or was it an act of will.

ANSWER - No, it was an act of will, call

directly with these politicians have not been intercepted and politicians, however, were the Honourable Nania, the Honourable Formisano and Mr Mastella.

QUESTION - Do you remember ...

ANSWER - People who were appointed by them as possible ...

QUESTION - When you say "they", from all or some of the members in particular?

ANSWER - For some family members, especially from his father and sister.

QUESTION - Do you remember if the complaints submitted by the Kercher family for the episode Telenorba and the Panorama episode were rubricated at Perugia or at Bari?

ANSWER - I do not remember, however I know that Bari is proceeding in respect of the seizure of the material aired by Telenorba.

QUESTION - Thank you.

PRESIDING JUDGE

QUESTION - But the two people who worked at the home of Raffaele I urge you to feel you have the summary information? ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - So those circumstances reported on the basis ... ANSWER - Yes, on the summary.

APPLICATION - The house used to Raffaele Sollecito how big?

Do you remember?

ANSWER - So I seem to remember that you access from a landing on the ground floor, there is the main entrance, on the right is the kitchen, a room used as a kitchen, then the bathroom is immediately to the left of door, then go up the steps and there is a bedroom above.

QUESTION - So develops in these environments?

ANSWER - Yes.

QUESTION - And she first made reference to the presence of the smell of bleach when ...

ANSWER - Yes, as you enter is the kitchen and you could smell bleach.

QUESTION - Where, if there was an environment where it was most ... ANSWER - Yes, the kitchen because there is a tiled floor and given that the apartment had been subjected to seizure and sealed when I went for the first time on November 8, to make the search even permeated the smell of bleach in it.

QUESTION - What about the bleach in the kitchen field?

ANSWER - kitchen environment.

QUESTION - But the kitchen had the tiles. ANSWER - He had the tiles.

REQUEST - Other operating environments than type of flooring went?

REPLY - Look, it seems to me that there was the parquet in the bedroom but I'm not sure.

QUESTION - Okay, you can go.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - President since today we discussed a lot about both environmental and telephone interceptions ask from now on the appointment of an expert for the transcription of all the interceptions, both environmental and phone calls, except those that are I have been transcribed at the request of the defense, I do not remember, or the same prosecutor in the preliminary hearing.

PRESIDENT - On these requests parties should specify the conversations that ask ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - All, partly because there are so many, it is a process for drugs, in fact.

PRESIDENT - Just to be specified because otherwise it is a request ...

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - So we reserve list.

It is recognized that the prosecutor asks the transcript of the intercepted conversations and whose transcripts have not yet been acquired, reserves the right to specify the telephone conversations and environmental aspects of which it requests the de-registration and registration.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. MARESCA - It is associated with the Party of Defense Civil.

CIVIL PARTY - MR. PACELLI - He puts President.

DEFENCE - AVV. MAORI - We reserve the right to give our opinion after the time in which it will be filed by the Office of the Public Prosecutor, the full list of interceptions applied for transcription.

PRESIDENT - Okay, take note of this reserve.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Although we will do our comments when we see the list.

DEFENCE - AVV. Bongiorno - For the hearing tomorrow I wanted to do that we would like to present our technical consultant with the computer because I believe that the subject of the deposition are computer analysis, and technical data, and then I was present this request.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - I just wanted to supplement the documentation we have filed earlier, I also wanted to submit this report wiretap of 20 November 2007 and which has also been examined before the translators.

PRESIDENT - What is it?

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Technically is defined as the record of the interception, I think it's a summary.

PRESIDENT - The summary to which was referred to the texts.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Is a report.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - has already been produced. DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - No, I have produced what above, this is one of the 20.

PROSECUTOR - DR.SSA COMFORTABLE - but instead we asked for expertise, we have sought to avoid issues, however we are happy to bring also the entire file of the Public Prosecutor.

It is recognized that this account is acquired on the request of the defense of Amanda Knox.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - President is a voluntary statement of our client.

DECLARATIONS SPONTANEOUS of the defendant - AMANDA KNOX MARIE -

Thank you, Mr. President, I wanted to emphasize a point for me very, very important. The witnesses are avoiding and even denying the facts about the events, sull'interrogazione the night of 5 and 6 November 2007. I wanted to clarify some elements that are very important to me and I can not leave alone. First there are hours, hours and hours that they do not say that I have always confirmed my own story when I said that Raffaele would have said that I would leave my house. There was this aggressive insistence on the message that I received and that I replied to Patrick, just aggressivissimi! Have called a "stupid liar", from all sides ... There was also the story of the trauma that this Donnino told me and then later suggested that this would have been the same situation for me. In the sense that just as I did not remember well because I was traumatizzava and so I had to try to remember something else. Then there's these slaps on the head that I really got ... it is true, I'm sorry, that's it! I wanted to say these things, thank you.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - At this point, the prosecutor asks the transmission to our office of the statements made by Amanda Knox.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - The also ask ourselves effectively, if the President believes that there are profiles of investigations ...

PRESIDENT - There is the request of the prosecutor.

PROSECUTOR - DR. MIGNINI - There are profiles of crime.

DEFENCE - AVV. THE WIDOW - Profiles of responsibility of course.

ORDER

THE COURT, on the basis of the request of the Prosecutor, provides for the submission of this report to the Prosecutor itself. Refer the proceedings at the hearing already indicated on 14 March 2009 at 9.00 am with the continuation of the investigation as indicated by the Public Ministry, and all parties are invited to appear at this hearing without any other communication you have also for the translation of the defendants, it also provides that the interpreter will recur to that date.

COURT OF PERUGIA COURT OF ASSISE

Ticket Audience: 20090167713

Ticket Verbal: 20090189436

This report consists of total characters (including spaces): 325,888

This report has been prepared by Court Reporting
Centro Srl

The auxiliary technical: Amedeo Salvatore

The writer: Katia Russano

Katia Russano